Central Student Technology Committee (CSTC)
Meeting: Thursday – December 3, 2009
Location: ITC 106

Attendees: Maggie Morrison, Jesse Ballard, Nick Anderson, Steve Boss, Michael Doherty, Ken Driese, Kyle Ferris, Matt Fournier, Cheryl Hilman, Kelly Wiseman, Erin Ferrara, John Nutter, Reid Olson, Dee Pridgen, Bret Sorensen

1. **KeyServer**

Maggie explained that last year the committee requested that the Systems group evaluate a concurrent licensing service. After evaluating services the product chosen for consideration is KeyServer. The KeyServer product will allow programs to be installed on all computers, but only a select number can be run at the same time.

Discussion took place on the technical capabilities of the program. Cheryl Hilman asked if this product could work across domains. Jesse responded that he thought it could since it was client server based, but was not positive because he was unsure how access to the server was granted.

Cheryl mentioned they have built a product in-house that provides a similar concurrent license service but that it is used in a limited fashion and probably is not as robust as KeyServer.

Discussion took place on what type of software could be controlled with KeyServer. Jesse explained that initially the Adobe products will be monitored, but KeyServer has the ability to monitor other programs as well.

Mike Doherty moved to approve 1400 key server clients and the first year of maintenance at a cost of $21,320
Bret Sorenson- seconded the motion

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

Discussion took place regarding the number of adobe product licenses to purchase initially. After discussion the following breakdown was proposed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Software</th>
<th>Licenses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adobe Acrobat</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photoshop</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flash</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrator</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InDesign</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DreamWeaver</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ken Driese move to fund $15,130 for the initial Adobe product purchase with an additional $4000 as a contingency to purchase additional licenses if needed.
Nick Anderson Seconded the motion.

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

2. Replacement Scanners

Maggie explained that a number of scanners in the labs have begun to break. The primary failure has been the hinges and glass tops. There has also been an increase in the number of requests for scanners.

Nick Anderson moved to approve $2,700 to replace 4 scanners and purchase 2 new
Cheryl Hilman- seconded the motion

Nick asked about the quality of the scanners. Jesse indicated that the new scanners have much more robust hinges and the glass tops appear to be more secure and can handle a greater weight.

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

3. Replacement printers for BS 37

Maggie explained that the current printers in BS 37 are going into their 5th year. One printer has printed over 1.7 million pages. ASU plans to cycle the old printers into a spare pool to be available to provide a printing option in a lab if the printers go down.

John Nutter moved to approve $2,500 to replace the 2 BS 37 printers
Cheryl Hilman seconded the motion

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

4. Student fee funds for instructional technology

Maggie explained that she wants to maximize student representation to get the greatest feedback on a possible change in the language of the operating guidelines.

Matt Fournier stated he could bring the issue forward to ASUW to get a much greater student participation in the discussion. The committee voiced support for the offer. Matt and Maggie will meet early in the Spring semester to discuss the language of the legislation.

Discussion took place on what change is being proposed. Maggie explained that currently the Operating Guidelines do not allow for student fees to be use to purchase instructional technology. The change being discussed would alter the language of the Operating Guidelines
to allow for student fee funds to be used to fund instructional technology. The change would not mandate that funds be used, but give the committees greater flexibility in how funds are allocated.

The discussion was tabled until the Spring semester.

Maggie adjourned the meeting at 12 noon