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Long-range goals

• Find out how to best manage Thunder Basin for multiple objectives
  – livestock production,
  – conservation of both short-grass and sagebrush ecosystems and associated species,
  – energy production

• Produce updated STMs, ESDs, decision support tools and/or best practices for public and private land managers.
TBGPEA-ARS Activities

Projects initiated 2014-2015
• Historical Wildfires Project
• Thunder Basin Research Initiative:
  – Nested Exclosure Project
  – Overlapping Bird Habitats Project

2016 plans
• Historical exclosure sampling
• Songbird responses to wildfire
• Assist with ongoing projects
Historical Wildfires Project

How does wildfire impact Thunder Basin ecosystems?
Historical Wildfires Project

1a. Reduction of shrubs: temporary or long-term?
1b. Change in forage available to livestock?
2. Brome invasion?
3. Soil erosion?
4. Change in bird habitats?
How does **wildfire** impact Thunder Basin ecosystems?

Comprehensive vegetation and soils data at 159 transects spanning 3 counties, 32 historical fires (1937-2012), and 7 different ecological sites.
1. Shrubs and forage
Wildfires increase grass and forb cover, reduce shrub and cactus cover.
Long-term effects of wildfire?

Shrubs: long-term reduction
Perennial grasses: long-term increase

In oldest fires, shrub cover is replaced by perennial grass cover.

Cactus: fire reduces temporarily
Forbs: fire increases temporarily
What about biomass?

In 2015, we clipped herbaceous biomass at 110 transects. *Note: excludes shrub biomass and residual from 2014, doesn’t account for differential utilization.*
2. Abundance of annual bromes
Wildfires did not cause brome invasion
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What do bromes care about?

1. **Soil.** Cheatgrass is found on sandier soils, while Japanese brome is found on more clayey soils.

2. **Site potential.** Both species are more likely to occur in grassier places with more plants.

3. **Microclimate.** Both species are more likely to occur on SE-facing slopes.
3. Soil stability and erosion

Historical fires do not cause soil erosion.
4. Bird habitat

• (Fire removes sagebrush)
• (Fire increases forb cover and biomass)
• No difference in forb diversity due to burns
Grass is shorter inside fires and on clayey or saline soils.

Grass height (cm)

- Clayey
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- Loamy
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- Sandy
- S. Sandy
- Saline

7 inches
Building a general picture of long-term wildfire effects in Thunder Basin

**Long-term reduction of shrubs**

**Increase** in cover and biomass of forbs and perennial grasses

**No** brome invasion

**No** soil erosion

**Bird habitat:**
shorter structure; no shrubs; more forbs
Take home messages

• Fires can cause a conversion (on the order of 100 years or more) from sagebrush to mixed grass.
• Burned areas may provide important forage resources (e.g., forbs) that are less abundant elsewhere.
• Fires can help reduce cactus, at least temporarily.
• Fires are not associated with erosion or invasion.
Next steps: build fire into conceptual models of the ecosystem
Thunder Basin Research Initiative
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THUNDER BASIN RESEARCH INITIATIVE: How do we manage a large landscape for multiple ecosystem benefits in the face of external drivers?

EXTERNAL DRIVERS: Biophysical

- Climate
- Fire
- Invasive Species

EXTERNAL DRIVERS: Socio-economic

- Markets
- Regulation
- Values

BIOPHYSICAL HETEROGENEITY
- Soils/Topography
- Sagebrush
- Shortgrass
- Ecological Site Descriptions
- State-Transition Models

OBJECTIVES / SERVICES
- Production
- Conservation
- Social/Cultural
- Socio-economic models

SOCIO-ECONOMIC HETEROGENEITY
- Economic Units (companies, ranches)
- Land Ownership
- Values
TBRI Project 1: Interactive Effects of Herbivory and Disturbance on Plant Communities
Research Objective

Assess impacts of cattle, wild ungulate, and small mammal herbivory on plant communities, wildlife habitat structure, and livestock production across three types of disturbance: none, historical burn, or prairie dog colony.
Cattle + Pronghorn Excluded
small mammals have access

Cattle Excluded
pronghorn and small mammals have access

Outside
All grazers have access

All Grazers Excluded

Key
- 20m Transect
- Cattle Exclosure
- Pronghorn Exclosure
- Small Mammal
Small mammal exclosures
Baseline Data

• 2015 – (aka, Year 0) Data Complete
  – Site and exclosure selection and establishment
  – Plant species richness, cover, and composition
  – Herbaceous biomass
  – Vegetation structure (visual obstruction)
  – Shrub cover, density, and browsing
  – Soils descriptions and stability

• Prepping for data collection in 2016 and 2017
TBRI Project 2:
Landscape management for multiple bird guilds
Bird Guilds in Thunder Basin

SAGEBRUSH

Greater Sage-grouse

SAGE THRASHER

Brewer’s Sparrow

Not pictured: Sagebrush Sparrow

Shortgrass

Mountain Plover

Upland Sandpiper

Grasshopper Sparrow

MIXED-GRASS

Not pictured: McCown’s Longspur

Burrowing Owl
Research Questions

1) How do different birds respond to different types of habitat patches?

2) Is there an optimal configuration of habitat patches to maximize desirable habitat for all species?
- 10 transects on sage grouse leks
  - 8 points per transect
- 10 transects on prairie dog colonies
- 41 transects along edges
- 10 transects on sage grouse leks
  - 8 points per transect

- 10 transects on prairie dog colonies
  - 8 points per transect

- 41 transects along edges
- 10 transects on sage grouse leks
  - 8 points per transect

- 10 transects on prairie dog colonies
  - 8 points per transect

- 41 transects across edges
  - 5-8 points per transect
Grassland/Sagebrush Bird Communities: Results

OVERALL:
Western meadowlarks, horned larks, and lark buntings most common species observed (~64% total observations)

PRAIRIE DOG COLONY TRANSECTS:
horned larks (48% of observations), meadowlarks (17% observations) and mountain plovers (8% of observations)
- Over 100 mountain plover observations
- 79% observed on colonies >320 ha

LEK TRANSECTS:
Brewer’s sparrows (26%), meadowlarks (25%) and lark buntings (24%) were most common
- Sage thrashers were less common, but recorded 64 observations
Vegetation cover inside and outside of prairie dog towns
Grassland/Sagebrush Bird Communities:
Next Steps

• Collect point count and vegetation data 2016-2017

• Analyze effects of local and landscape variables on single species and multi-species abundance

• Combine results from analyses to determine “ideal” configuration of habitat patches to manage for multiple guilds
TBGPEA-ARS crew objectives: 2016 field season

1. **Impacts of long-term rest on plant communities:** sample inside and outside of historical cattle exclosures maintained by USFS and TBGPEA.

2. **Impacts of wildfire on songbirds:** sample songbirds inside and outside of historical wildfires.

3. Assist with data collection for **ongoing projects**, including TBGPEA vegetation transects, nested exclosure project, and overlapping bird habitats project.
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