

MATH 5640 - SOLUTIONS TO HW5

#1. (a) From $(x-a)^2 + y^2 = r^2$ we get $2(x-a)dx + 2ydy = 0$

so $dy = \frac{a-x}{y} dx$. Now

$$ds^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{y^2} = \left[1 + \left(\frac{a-x}{y} \right)^2 \right] \frac{dx^2}{y^2} = \frac{r^2}{y^4} dx^2,$$

i.e. $ds^2 = \frac{r^2 dx^2}{[r^2 - (x-a)^2]^2}$. We make an arbitrary

convention to parameterize the geodesic from left to right, so that $\frac{ds}{dx} > 0$; then

$$ds = \frac{r}{r^2 - (x-a)^2} dx.$$

Substitute $x-a = r \tanh u$, so that $dx = r \operatorname{sech}^2 u du$

and
$$ds = \frac{r^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 u du}{r^2 - r^2 \tanh^2 u} = \frac{r^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 u}{r^2 \operatorname{sech}^2 u} du = du.$$

Thus $s - s_0 = u$ and we again make an arbitrary choice of parameter s , to assure that $s_0 = 0$.

(This means we measure s from the point $(x, y) = (0, r)$.)
Thus $s = u$, $x-a = r \tanh u$, $y = \sqrt{r^2 - r^2 \tanh^2 u} = r \operatorname{sech} u$.

(b) The points $(1, 1)$ and $(-1, 1)$ lie on the geodesic $x^2 + y^2 = 2$ parameterized by $(x, y) = (\sqrt{2} \tanh s, \sqrt{2} \operatorname{sech} s)$.

Solving $\operatorname{sech} s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{2}{e^s + e^{-s}}$, we have $e^{2s} - 2\sqrt{2}e^s + 1 = 0$

so $e^s = \sqrt{2} \pm 1$ and $s = \pm \ln(1 + \sqrt{2})$. The required distance is $2 \ln(1 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 1.76275$.

Check: The fractional linear transformation $z \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{2}+z}{\sqrt{2}-z}$ maps $\sqrt{2} \mapsto \infty$, $-\sqrt{2} \mapsto 0$ and hence maps the upper semicircle $|z|=\sqrt{2}$, $\text{Im } z > 0$ to the upper imaginary axis $\text{Re } z = 0$, $\text{Im } z > 0$. It is an isometry mapping

$$(1, i) = 1+i \mapsto (1+\sqrt{2})i; \quad (-1, i) = -1+i \mapsto (\sqrt{2}-1)i.$$

So the distance from $(1, i)$ to $(-1, i)$ equals the distance between $(\sqrt{2}+1)i$ and $(\sqrt{2}-1)i$. As shown on p. 6 of the handout on Hyperbolic Planes, this distance is

$$\ln \left| \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{\sqrt{2}-1} \right| = \ln (\sqrt{2}+1)^2 = 2 \ln (\sqrt{2}+1).$$

This agrees with the answer found above.

Our approach depends on finding a fractional linear transformation mapping the indicated geodesic $|z|=\sqrt{2}$ to a vertical line. But this is easily accomplished by mapping one of the endpoints $(\pm\sqrt{2}, 0) \mapsto \infty$. So any fractional linear transformation with denominator $z \pm \sqrt{2}$ will do.

#7. Note that M is a torus ($T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$). It is possible to use just three local charts; but the coordinates are easier with four local charts, as follows:

$$f_1(s,t) = (\cos s, \sin s, \cos t, \sin t), \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < s < 2\pi, \\ 0 < t < 2\pi; \end{array}$$

$$f_2(s,t) = (\cos s, \sin s, \cos t, \sin t), \quad \begin{array}{l} -\pi < s < \pi, \\ 0 < t < 2\pi; \end{array}$$

$$f_3(s,t) = (\cos s, \sin s, \cos t, \sin t), \quad \begin{array}{l} 0 < s < 2\pi, \\ -\pi < t < \pi; \end{array}$$

$$f_4(s,t) = (\cos s, \sin s, \cos t, \sin t), \quad \begin{array}{l} -\pi < s < \pi, \\ -\pi < t < \pi. \end{array}$$

The corresponding domains are open rectangles, chosen so that each f_i is injective. The four images have union equal to the entire torus M . Each $f_i^{-1} \circ f_i$ is the identity on its domain; and this is very smooth (C^∞ ; in fact real analytic) as required.

#12. This exercise lays a foundation for later use of "geodesic coordinates" (see p. 277), Write

$$(x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n) = (t, x^1, \dots, x^n).$$

The new metric is

$$\begin{bmatrix} g_{00} & g_{01} & \dots & g_{0n} \\ g_{10} & g_{11} & \dots & g_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{n0} & g_{n1} & \dots & g_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & f(t)^2 g_{11}^* & \dots & f(t)^2 g_{1n}^* \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & f(t)^2 g_{n1}^* & \dots & f(t)^2 g_{nn}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

We require $f(t) \neq 0$ (so that g is positive definite).

We use the formula

$$\Gamma_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} g_{jk} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} g_{ik} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} g_{ij} \right]$$

to compute

$$\Gamma_{ijk} = f(t)^2 \Gamma_{ijk}^* \quad \text{when } i, j, k \geq 1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{0jk} &= f(t) f'(t) g_{jk}^* \quad \text{when } j, k \geq 1; \\ &= \Gamma_{jok} = -\Gamma_{jko} \end{aligned}$$

and $\Gamma_{i00} = \Gamma_{0j0} = \Gamma_{00k} = 0$ for all i, j, k .

Also $g^{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i=j=0; \\ 0, & \text{if } i=0 < j \text{ or } i > 0 = j; \\ f(t)^{-2} (g^*)^{ij}, & \text{if } i, j \geq 1. \end{cases}$

Now

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ijr} g^{rk} = \underbrace{f(t)^2 \Gamma_{ijr}^* f(t)^{-2}}_{\text{sum over } r=1,2,\dots,n} (g^*)^{rk} = (\Gamma_{ij}^k)^*$$

for $i, j, k \geq 1$ (so most of the Christoffel symbols are unchanged). Also

$$\Gamma_{j\cdot}^0 = \Gamma_{j0} = -f(t) f'(t) g_{ij}^* \quad \text{for } i, j \geq 1;$$

$$\Gamma_{i0}^k = \Gamma_{i0r} g^{rk} = f(t) f'(t) g_{ir}^* f(t)^{-2} (g^*)^{rk} = \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \delta_i^k = \Gamma_{0i}^k \quad \text{for } i, k \geq 1.$$

The geodesic equations from (p. 143) become

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{x}^k + (\Gamma^*)_{ij}^k \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j + 2 \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} \dot{x}^k \dot{t} = 0, & k \geq 1; \\ \ddot{t} - f(t) f'(t) g_{ij}^* \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0. \end{cases}$$

Geodesics in M^* with $t = \text{constant}$ give $\dot{t} = \ddot{t} = 0$, so $\ddot{x}^k + (\Gamma^*)_{ij}^k \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0$ is satisfied. But then the second equation is not satisfied unless $f(t)$ is constant, since g^* is positive definite and $g_{ij}^* \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j > 0$.

On the other hand if t is not constant, then we may conveniently use t to parameterize our curves, so that $\dot{t} = 1$ and $\ddot{t} = 0$. The second geodesic equation will be satisfied if $g_{ij}^* \dot{x}^i \dot{x}^j = 0$, which (since g^* is positive definite) implies that $(\dot{x}^1, \dots, \dot{x}^n) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. x^1, \dots, x^n are constant. In this case the first geodesic equations $\ddot{x}^k + \text{etc.} = 0$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$) are clearly also satisfied. This verifies the conclusion that "t-lines are geodesics" as desired.

#20. The metric tensor is

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (g^{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{h} & 0 \\ 0 & h \end{bmatrix}, \quad h = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$$

The map $\phi: E \rightarrow E$, $(t, r) \mapsto (\pm t + b, r)$ (b constant)
has Jacobian

$$D\phi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial r} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial t} & \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } (D\phi)^T (g_{ij}) (D\phi) &= \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h} \end{bmatrix} = (g_{ij}) \quad \text{i.e. } \phi \text{ is an isometry.} \end{aligned}$$

We have $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{tt} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} h = \frac{r_0}{r^2}$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{rr} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{h} \right) = -\frac{r_0}{r^2 h^2} = -\frac{r_0}{(r-r_0)^2}$$

and all other first order partial derivatives of the metric tensor components vanish, giving

$$\Gamma_{rtt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{tt} = \frac{r_0}{2r^2} = \Gamma_{trt} = -\Gamma_{ttr}$$

$$\Gamma_{rrr} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{rr} = -\frac{r_0}{2r^2 h^2}$$

and all other Γ_{ijk} vanish. The only nonvanishing Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma_{rr}^r = \Gamma_{rrr} g^{rr} = -\frac{r_0}{2r^2 h}$$

$$\Gamma_{tt}^r = \Gamma_{ttr} g^{rr} = -\frac{r_0 h}{2r^2}$$

$$\Gamma_{tr}^t = \Gamma_{rt}^t = \Gamma_{rtt} g^{tt} = -\frac{r_0}{2r^2 h}$$

The geodesic equations are $\begin{cases} \ddot{t} - \frac{r_0}{r^2 h} \dot{r} \dot{t} = 0 & \text{(i)} \\ \ddot{r} - \frac{r_0}{2r^2 h} \dot{r}^2 = 0 & \text{(ii)} \end{cases}$

The t -lines have the form $r = \text{constant}$, so $\dot{r} = \ddot{r} = 0$.

They may be parameterized by t , so that $\dot{t} = 1$, $\ddot{t} = 0$. Clearly these values satisfy (i) and (ii), i.e. t -lines are geodesics.

We also check from $h = 1 - \frac{r_0}{r}$, $h' = -\frac{r_0}{r^2} r'$
that

$$(ht')' = ht'' + h't'$$

$$= \frac{r_0}{r^2} r't' - \frac{r_0}{r^2} r't' \quad (\text{using (i)})$$

$$= 0$$

So ht' is constant along geodesics.