The group discussed the proposal idea put forth last meeting. There were concerns that this was not connected enough with the work of that fits with the College of Education. Support team shared what they know about the direction for proposals—that as long as there is a role for pre-service teachers there is potential for funding. There were other concerns about recommending actions that might not be agreeable to all the stakeholders. Although the tension between the TEI work and those later asked to implement recommendations was acknowledged, the RWG was encouraged to continue the work on proposing comprehensive innovations that add value to the College of Education programming. There were no new ideas for a potential proposal at this time.

The group then briefly looked through the focused literature review in support of the current proposal idea. For the remainder of the meeting, the RWG went through the draft that had been written from last meeting. There was discussion about leaving the BA in the proposal, and the recommendation was to leave it in unless asked to remove it. It was suggested that the best plan going forward will be to propose a planning year with two committees (ECE and Research Center and an administrative director). At this point, it is unlikely that we will be able to complete a well thought through, developed proposal by September 15th, but we can describe the idea in depth and get feedback. The group spent some time each working on individual elements of the proposal, trying to flesh out ideas.
| Deadlines / Tasks / Responsibilities: | All will continue to work on the google doc.  
|                                          | David will run the proposal idea by Rebecca. |

**Next Meeting:** A doodle poll will be circulated for a zoom meeting Sept 6, 7, or 8.