	Senate Bill 318 Introduced by University Course Review Committee
	A BILL TO REVISE
	UW-REGULATION 6-806
	"COURSE APPROVAL PROCESS"
	UW REGULATION 6-806
	Course Approval Process
	I. PURPOSE.
	Γο establish the Course Approval Process.
	2. DIRECTIVE.
(COURSE APPROVAL PROCESS
	a. Initiation of Requests
	. Changes in curriculum are initiated at the department/division level. Proposals to add, revise, or
	delete courses in a curriculum must be reviewed and approved by the department/division in
	consultation with the faculty.
	i. After a course proposal has been approved by the department/division, it will be transmitted to the
	college review committee with a copy sent to the Course Review Coordinator located in the Office of he RegistrarRegistration and Records.
	<u>ne Registur</u> ice fisturion und Records.
J	b. University Course Review Committee
	The University Course Review Committee is charged with monitoring the entire course review process through the Course Review Coordinator located in the Office of the Registrar-Registration
	and Records. When considering course additions, revisions, or deletions to the University
	curriculum, the University Course Review Committee shall be responsible for insuring that all of the
1	requisite endorsements have been obtained (see Section d. below), that the proposal does not present
	any unjustified duplication with other academic units, and that the request is consistent with the
	current university guidelines regarding courses (see the Course Review Guidelines section of this Regulation). The composition of the University Course Review Committee is established in UW
	Regulation). The composition of the University Course Review Committee is established in UW Regulation 6-702.

1 2 3 The Course Review Coordinator, while not a voting member of the University Course Review 4 Committee, will act as the process resource person for the Committee. The Course Review 5 Coordinator will track the proposal by location and timeline and will be the resource person for 6 providing information regarding the course review process (e.g., how to initiate a proposal, what 7 steps to follow). 8 9 d. Endorsements 10 11 Each college or undergraduate interdisciplinary program shall have in place its own college-review committee. Each unit described below is responsible for determining its own means of endorsement 12 (e.g., Dean, Dean's Designee, Committee). Each unit that forms an endorsement or review committee 13 14 shall be responsible for determining the composition of this committee. All endorsing units herein 15 specified are subject to the Silence is Approval Stipulation. 16 17 The "Silence is Approval Stipulation" means that if action is not taken within 30 regular semester 18 days (Monday through Friday during the Fall and Spring Semesters only) of receipt of the course request being proposed, then the proposed course request will be considered approved by the 19 identified endorsing unit. If approved, the Course Review Coordinator will send the proposal to the 20 21 next step. 22 23 i. College. The College Review Committee is responsible for minimizing unnecessary duplication of courses within the College, for insuring that sufficient resources exist to be able to offer the proposed 24 25 changes to its curriculum, and for evaluating the academic merit of the proposal on the basis of standards appropriate to the relevant discipline. 26 27 28 ii. Graduate School. The Graduate dean is responsible for reviewing all course proposals numbered 4000 or higher for conformity with existing guidelines for courses within the graduate curriculum. 29 30 31 iii. University Studies. The University Studies Committee is responsible for reviewing all course 32 proposals that request the addition of a new course or the revision of an existing course to the University Studies curriculum. 33 34 35 iv. Community Colleges. All courses numbered 2000 or lower must be articulated with the 36 Community Colleges through the University Articulation Office. 37 38 v. Interdisciplinary Undergraduate Programs. Educational programs involving more than one college must have a program review committee in place with representation from constituent colleges. 39 40 e. Course Review Process Summary 41 42 43 i. The course proposal is written by a faculty member and approved by her/his department/division

- 44 head. For interdisciplinary undergraduate courses, a department or unit must be identified as the
- academic home for the course. 45

c. Course Review Coordinator

- 1 ii. The department sends the course proposal to the appropriate college for action, with a copy sent to
- the Course Review Coordinator. The proposal shall indicate that the Silence is Approval Stipulationis in effect.
- 4
- iii. The Course Review Coordinator notes the time of the proposal's submission to the College and
 return from the College. The Silence is Approval Stipulation is in effect.
- 7
- 8 iv. Once the proposal is approved, the Course Review Coordinator will ensure that the course
- proposal is sent to the Graduate Dean, the University Studies Committee, and the Articulation Office
 for action as appropriate. The time of its submission to and return from these units is noted by the
- 11 Course Review Coordinator. The Silence is Approval Stipulation is in effect.
- 12

v. The course proposal goes the University Course Review Committee for final action. The Course
Review Coordinator will distribute the agenda for the University Course Review Committee to all
department/division heads one week prior to the meeting of its Committee. The Silence is Approval
Stipulation is in effect.

17

vi. For undergraduate interdisciplinary courses that involve more than one college, paragraphs e.i.
 through e.v. apply. Additionally, the course proposal must be approved by the interdisciplinary
 program's review committee.

21 22

23 COURSE REVIEW GUIDELINES

24

f. The University Course Review Committee is additionally charged with the development and
maintenance of the Course Review Guidelines, and with the routine examination and maintenance of
the Course Review Process itself.

29 g. General Justification

30

28

Each course proposal must justify the proposed action in light of departmental/divisional, collegiate,

and institutional missions and resources, changes in the knowledge base, curriculum development,
 and enrollment trends.

34

35 h. Resource Allocation

3637 Each course proposal should identify the resources and special expertise available to support the

course offering or change, including personnel and special facilities necessary for the implementation

- 39 of the course.
- 40
- 41 i. All proposals requiring additional institutional resources which cannot be garnered through internal
- reallocations at the college level should be referred by the college committee to the Faculty Senate
- 43 Budget Planning Committee, the Academic Planning Committee, and the Graduate Dean (if the
- 44 proposal is for a course numbered 4000 or higher) for consideration. No new courses may be
- 45 implemented for new programs until the necessary resources have been garnered.

- 1 ii. Resources to be accounted for in support of additional courses might include, but are not limited
- to, the following: personnel, library holdings, computer resources, classroom space, equipment, 2
- 3 travel funds, and general support.
- 4
- 5 iii. Whenever a proposed course change will impact other departments/divisions/colleges within the University, the course proposal should reflect discussion with those units and resolution of any 6
- 7 concerns about required prerequisites, changing sequence patterns, and enrollment impact.
- 8

9 i. Changes to Program

10

Requests for an exceptional number of new courses and/or substantial course revisions, which are 11

indicative of programmatic rather than minor changes to the curriculum, shall be referred by the 12 initiating department to the Faculty Senate Academic Planning Committee, the Budget Planning

13

- 14 Committee, and the Graduate Dean (for the course proposals numbered 4000 or higher) for consideration. The University Course Review Committee may also make such a referral to these 15
- committees.
- 16 17

18 j. Duplication of Content

University policy discourages the unnecessary duplication of content among courses. To that end, 19

20 unjustified duplication of content may be seen as sufficient reason to reject a course proposal at any

level of review. If the initiating department/division anticipates some overlap of content, it should, in 21

- 22 the planning phase for the course proposal, contact the affected departments/divisions and establish:
- 23

24 (1) Justification for the apparent overlap, 25

- 26 (2) Means of meeting student needs through cross-listing, or
- 27 28 (3) Alternate offerings.
- 29

30 Forwarded course proposals should contain appropriate explanations and signatures of all

- department/division heads agreeing to the proposal with respect to the issue of duplication. 31
- 32
- 33 k. Forms
- 34
- 35 To facilitate comprehensive college analysis addressing all issues and to enable informed University
- review and implementation, standard forms, approved by the University Course Review Committee 36
- shall be published by the Office of the Registrar Registration and Records and made available 37
- 38 through the Course Review Coordinator to departments/divisions and colleges upon request.

Source:

University Regulation 806, Revision 2; adopted 7/17/08 Board of Trustees meeting

AUTHENTICATION: The foregoing Senate Bill 318 duly adopted by the Faculty Senate of the University of Wyoming under date of March 30, 2009, is hereby transmitted to the President of the University of Wyoming for review in accordance with UW Regulations.

Clifford Marks, Secretary