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Addendum Summary

**Distribution:** Recent information does not suggest a substantial change in the range of bluehead suckers. There appears to be sufficient fish sampling and monitoring within the species’ range to detect such changes when and if they occur.

**Taxonomic Status:** Taxonomic status of bluehead sucker remains unchanged.

**Agency Status:** The Zuni subspecies of bluehead sucker (*Catostomus discobolus yarrowi*; does not occur in Region 2) is now considered a Candidate for listing under the U.S. Endangered Species Act (reference 5). The full species is considered a conservation priority in the Wyoming Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy (reference 16). There appears to be a continuing interest in native Colorado River fishes as general conservation targets (references 4, 7, 9, and 15).

**Other:** References 10 and 13 offer recommendations on more effective population and habitat monitoring procedures.

**Significance of Change relative to original assessment:** The conservation and management context of bluehead sucker has remained unchanged, and there has been
little increase in ecological knowledge of the species since the Species Conservation Assessment was published.

Reference 1:

Summary of new information:
This reference was not acquired or reviewed. It is listed here to alert future Species Conservation Assessment authors of its existence and potential relevance.

Sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment most affected by this new information (following the original table of contents):
NA

Reference 2:

Summary of new information:
Catostomid spawning migrations in Muddy Creek, a periodically-intermittent stream in south-central Wyoming, probably vary greatly with water discharge pattern, water temperature, stream intermittency, and position of movement barriers. Bluehead suckers, flannelmouth suckers (*Catostomus latipinnis*), and non-native white suckers (*C. commersoni*) have all been known to ascend Muddy Creek to spawn in the past. This study, performed during a prolonged and severe dry spell, documented only white suckers doing so, and only below a wetland impoundment. Although conditions appeared suitable for spawning by both white and flannelmouth suckers, water temperature and flow may have been too low for spawning by bluehead suckers. Various barriers to fish movement, such as wetland impoundments, small dams, and headgates, separated distinct fish communities in the upper, middle, and lower sections of Muddy Creek; see reference 3. Several *Catostomus* hybrids were documented during this study.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
Biology and Ecology
  Activity pattern
  Habitat
  Breeding biology
CONSERVATION
   Threats
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

Reference 3:

Summary of new information:
Bluehead suckers, flannelmouth suckers (*Catostomus latipinnis*), and non-native white suckers (*C. commersoni*) were widely distributed along Muddy Creek, a periodically-intermittent stream in south-central Wyoming. Hybrids of native and non-native suckers were also commonly observed. Bluehead suckers were most strongly associated with rock substrates, frequent pool-riffle sequences including deep pools, and perennial stream flows. Instream structures that prevent or impede movement of suckers may reduce population viability. This topic, along with the competitive and hybridization effects of non-native fish, should be a top research priority. See reference 2

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Biology and Ecology
      Activity pattern
      Habitat
      Breeding biology

CONSERVATION
   Threats
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

Reference 4:

Summary of new information:
This reference establishes hydrological flow recommendations from the USDI Bureau of Reclamation, in cooperation with the USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, pertinent to a section of the Gunnison River in western Colorado. These recommendations are intended to benefit the 4 species of native Colorado River fish listed under the Endangered Species Act. Although the bluehead sucker is not one of the species targeted by these actions, this reference is pertinent to the bluehead sucker in that it signals a
strong desire to manage river systems to benefit native fish. The flow recommendations outlined here will likely benefit the bluehead sucker inasmuch as they return the system to a more natural flow regime. See references 7 and 11.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Existing Regulatory Mechanisms, Mgmt Plans, and Conservation Strategies
CONSERVATION
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

Reference 5:
Federal Register. 2005. Endangered and Threatened wildlife and plants; review of native species that are candidates or proposed for listing as Endangered or Threatened; annual notice of findings on resubmitted petitions; annual description of progress on listing actions; proposed rule. Federal Register 70:24869-24934.

Summary of new information:
The USDI Fish and Wildlife Service considers several species as candidates for listing under the U.S. Endangered Species Act, including a unique subspecies of the bluehead sucker, the Zuni bluehead sucker (Catostomus dicobolus yarrowi). This subspecies does not occupy USFS Region 2; it occurs in an isolated section of stream in New Mexico. This reference indicates that there is some potential for the listing of unique subspecies, possibly extending to distinct population segments as well, of the overall species C. discobolus. See reference 6.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Management Status
   Existing Regulatory Mechanisms, Mgmt Plans, and Conservation Strategies
CONSERVATION
   Conservation Status of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

Reference 6:

Summary of new information:
The USDI Fish and Wildlife Service is conducting a 5-year review of the status and scientific knowledge pertaining to 56 California species listed under the U.S. Endangered
Species Act, including the Endangered Modoc sucker (*Catostomus microps*). The reference is only distantly pertinent to the bluehead sucker in that it demonstrates extreme conservation concern and action being applied to a related species in the same genus. See reference 5.

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**

**MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY**
- Management Status
- Existing Regulatory Mechanisms, Mgmt Plans, and Conservation Strategies

**Reference 7:**
Federal Register. 2006b. Endangered and Threatened wildlife and plants; 12-month finding on a petition to list a distinct population segment of the roundtail chub in the Lower Colorado river basin and to list the headwater chub as Endangered or Threatened with critical habitat. Federal Register 71:26007-26017.

**Summary of new information:**
The USDI Fish and Wildlife Service (1) rejects the listing of a distinct population segment of the roundtail chub (*Gila robusta*) (occurring in the lower Colorado River Basin) under the U.S. Endangered Species Act and (2) finds the listing of the headwater chub (*G. nigra*) (occurring in the same general area) under the U.S. Endangered Species Act is warranted, but precluded by higher priority actions. Although not directly related to the bluehead sucker, this reference is part of a suite of federal documents indicating conservation concern and action being applied to native fish that share range with the bluehead sucker. See reference 4.

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**

**CONSERVATION**
- Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

**Reference 8:**

**Summary of new information:**
Analyses of stable isotopes of carbon and nitrogen in fish bodies revealed that juvenile bluehead suckers are primarily herbivores/ detritivores in the San Juan River near the junction of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Arizona. This is consistent with previous
analyses of food habits of this species. The trophic web in this river is based mostly on detritus (as opposed to in-stream productivity); most fish fed on detritivorous chironomids in low velocity habitats. Trophic position of all fish depended somewhat on channel type (primary or secondary), suggesting that stream and fish managers need to consider the 2 types as distinct ecological and management environments.

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
  Biology and Ecology
    Habitat
    Food habits
    Community ecology

**Reference 9:**

**Summary of new information:**
The Wyoming Game and Fish Department has been conducting, and continues to conduct, fish surveys to better distinguish the distribution of native non-game fish, including the bluehead sucker, in the Green River watershed of Wyoming. This reference provides precise and very recent distributional information for bluehead sucker in Wyoming, and also documents likely hybridization between bluehead sucker and the non-native white sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*). *Importantly, this reference is the latest in a series of references that detail earlier project phases. These earlier references are cited, and should be reviewed and incorporated as appropriate into the updated Species Conservation Assessment.*

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
  Biology and Ecology
    Distribution and abundance
    Population trend
    Habitat
    Community ecology

CONSERVATION
  Threats
  Conservation Status of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
  Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

Summary of new information:
Trammel netting and electrofishing were used to sample populations of 3 Colorado River fish, including bluehead sucker. Capture data were evaluated in order to assess each technique’s potential for generating reliable population trend estimates for each fish species. The amount of sampling effort necessary for either trammel netting or electrofishing to produce the catch-per-unit-effort data needed for population trend estimation was prohibitively high. Trend estimation and monitoring of rare Colorado River fish is probably best pursued via mark-recapture methods.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
CONSERVATION
  Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
  Information needs


Summary of new information:
Beginning in 1993 reservoir discharges on the San Juan River (near junction of Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, and Utah) were regulated to mimic a more natural flow regime (i.e., high spring runoff). Autumn densities of several native fish, including bluehead sucker, increased with elevated spring discharge. Total native fish density was 10 times greater in 1993 (the year of highest spring discharge) than in 2000 (the year of lowest spring discharge). The density of only 1 nonnative fish (western mosquitofish; Gambusia affinis) was significantly negatively related to spring discharge. Sustained low summer flows increased the density of many nonnative fish. Manipulating spring discharge to mimic a natural flow regime enhances native fish recruitment, but might have limited effect in suppressing nonnative species. See reference 4.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
  Existing Regulatory Mechanisms, Mgmt plans, and Conservation Strategies
  Biology and Ecology
    Habitat
    Community ecology

CONSERVATION
**Reference 12:**

**Summary of new information:**
Interactions between nonnative creek chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*) and 3 native species, bluehead sucker, flannelmouth sucker (*Catostomus latipinnis*), and roundtail chub (*Gila robusta*), were studied in Muddy Creek, southern Wyoming. Creek chubs compete directly with roundtail chubs for food. Creek chubs were also found to prey on bluehead sucker.

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
  - Biology and Ecology
    - Food habits
    - Community ecology

CONSERVATION
  - Threats
  - Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2

**Reference 13:**
Quist, M.C., W.A. Hubert, M. Fowden, S.W. Wolff, and M.R. Bower. 2006b. The Wyoming Game and Fish Department is currently using a procedure termed the “Wyoming Habitat Assessment Methodology” (WHAM) to evaluate fish habitats and assemblages throughout the state. The main feature of this procedure is that it integrates habitat characteristics at multiple scales: watershed, stream reach, and project-level (or “site-specific”). Progressing levels of assessment depend on previous levels. An example of the procedure, focusing on bluehead sucker, is provided. This example results in a decision tree that is used to predict the occurrence of bluehead sucker based on habitat and biogeographic characteristics.

**Summary of new information:**

**Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):**
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
  - Biology and Ecology
Habitat

CONSERVATION
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
   Information Needs

Reference 14:

Summary of new information:
This reference develops models that estimate the adult biomass of bluehead suckers and flannelmouth suckers (Catostomus latipinnis) on the Colorado and Yampa Rivers, Colorado, from stream depth and velocity. Adult biomass of both species correlated strongly and positively with depth and velocity. Results suggest that each river has similar potential for native fish biomass, but low summer discharges limit native fish biomass on the Yampa River.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Biology and Ecology
      Habitat

CONSERVATION
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
   Information Needs

Reference 15:

Summary of new information:
The Utah Division of Wildlife Resources removed non-native cyprinids along a 50-mile stretch of the Green River, and 3 disjunct 10-mile stretches of the Colorado River, in an attempt to increase habitat quality for native fishes. The removal data was analyzed to assess the effectiveness of the removal protocols. Removal reduced densities of non-native cyprinids only temporarily and only at very local scales; the ubiquity, abundance, and reproductive potential of these fishes made it extremely difficult to effect long-term or widespread reductions. Removal efforts did not change fish species assemblages at any detectable level. Removal of non-native fishes should still be pursued, but with
different methods and with careful consideration of appropriate experimental controls that allow accurate evaluation of method effectiveness.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Biology and Ecology
      Community ecology

CONSERVATION
   Threats
   Potential Management of the Bluehead Sucker in Region 2
   Information Needs

Reference 16:

Summary of new information:
This document is the Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy for the state of Wyoming. Its intent is to serve as a central “hub” for all existing and future management plans and conservation strategies in Wyoming, and to guide the combined efforts of government agencies at all levels, non-profits, academia, non-governmental organizations, tribes, and individuals to conserve all Wyoming wildlife. Bluehead sucker (Catostomus discobolus) is identified as one of Wyoming’s species of greatest conservation need, and as such are described in this plan as to their distribution, status, habitat use, threats, and likely responses to particular management actions. This reference provides some habitat and non-habitat management recommendations for bluehead suckers.

Relevant sections in the Technical Conservation Assessment (following the original table of contents):
MANAGEMENT STATUS AND NATURAL HISTORY
   Existing Regulatory Mechanisms, Mgmt Plans, and Conservation Strategies
      Biology and Ecology
         Distribution and abundance
         Population trend
         Habitat

CONSERVATION
   Threats
   Conservation Status of the Swift Fox in Region 2
   Management of the Swift Fox in Region 2
Reference 17:
Personal communications with individual biologists and land managers in Region 2 regarding bluehead sucker ecology, management, and conservation.

Doug Keinath (Lead Zoologist, Wyoming Natural Diversity Database - University of Wyoming; dkeinath@uwyo.edu; 307 766-3023). The Wyoming Natural Diversity Database is currently working with the Wyoming Game and Fish Department to compile a complete set of all known bluehead sucker sightings in the state. Preliminary indications are that there has been no substantial range expansion or contraction in the state relative to previous distribution maps for this species. This dataset will be available upon request.

Mary Read (USDI Bureau of Land Management Rawlins Field Office; mary_read@blm.gov). MR identified Patrick Lionberger as the new fisheries biologist in the Rawlins FO. He is the best contact for new information regarding bluehead sucker.

Lisa Belmonte (USDI Bureau of Land Management White River Field Office). The Aquatic Resources Section of the Colorado Division of Wildlife (NW Region, Grand Junction, CO) may still be collecting relevant fish data for the “White River - Taylor Draw Project”. Also, the USDI Fish and Wildlife Service continues to collect fisheries data from the White River. Best contact information = Colorado River Recovery Program, 764 Horizon Dr., South Annex A, Grand Junction, CO, 81506; phone 970 245-3920.