



# AGGREGATES

## Section 9 – Practice Problems

# Practice Problems

- Aggregate Gradations (T-166)
- JMF
- Correlation of Testing Technicians for Aggregate Gradations
- Aggregate Pay Factor Calculations
- Need LL, PL, and PI. Practice problem on form T-166

SAMPLE ID: Maintenance Stockpile (Type A) 1/2" nom. size				SAMPLED BY:						
PTI OR QUARRY:				COUNTY:						
QUANTITY:				FOR USE AS:						
DATE RECEIVED:				DATE TESTED:						
		WEIGHT (lbs or kg)				Weight Retained (lbs or kg)		% Retained = $\left(\frac{A+B}{D}\right) \times 100$		
		COARSE AGG.	FINE AGG.							
Sample		31.2 = (E)	325.1 = (F)							
After Wash			312.5	RETAINED No. 4 (4.75 mm) = (A)		16.10		51.6 = (H)		
Pass No. 200 (75µm)			12.6	PASS No. 4 (4.75 mm) = (B)		15.10		48.4 = (I)		
Pass No. 200 (75 µm), Pan			11.9	TOTAL, A + B = (D)		31.20				
Total Pass No. 200 (75µm)			24.5							
SIEVE SIZE	WT RET	% RET = $\frac{K \times 100}{E}$	WT RET	% RET = $\frac{P \times 100}{F}$	% RET = $\frac{R \times I}{100}$	COMBINED AGGREGATE				
						=K	=L	=P	=R	=S
1 1/2" [37.5 mm]							1000	100		
1" [25mm]							1000	100		
3/4" [19 mm]							1000	100	100	
1/2" [12.5 mm]	4.60	14.7					85.3	85	90-100	
3/8" [9.5 mm]	5.30	17.0					68.3	68	60-90	
No. 4 [4.75 mm]	6.20	19.9					48.4	48	45-60	
No. 8 [2.36 mm]			58.7	18.1	8.8		39.6	40	30-50	
No. 16 [1.18 mm]										
No. 30 [600 µm]			70.5	21.7	10.5		29.1	29		
No. 40 [425 µm]			66.7	20.5	9.9		19.2	19		
No. 50 [300 µm]										
No. 100 [150 µm]			52.6	16.2	7.8		11.4	11		
No. 200 [75 µm]			51.8	15.9	7.7		3.7	3.7	3-12	
Pass No. 200 (75 µm), Pan	15.10	48.4	24.5	7.5	3.6					
<b>TOTAL PASSING</b>	<b>31.20</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>324.8</b>	<b>99.9</b>						
<b>SHAKER LOSS %</b>			<b>0.1%</b>							
<b>FRACTURED FACES %</b>	One or more									
<b>FLAT &amp; ELONGATED %</b>	1:5 Ratio									
<b>FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 816.0:</b>				<b>SHAKER LOSS FORMULA</b>						
				or FI - TOTAL PASSING / (E or F) *						
<b>BLOWS =</b>	Tim No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE				
<b>31</b>										
<b>LIQUID LIMIT (LL)</b>	A1	52.7	46.5	22.3	6.2	24.2				
<b>PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)</b>	b2	48.3	45.2	22.4	3.1	22.8				
							<b>% MOISTURE</b>			
							WET WT (lb or kg)		33.4	
							DRY WT (lb or kg)		31.2	
							WET - DRY = MOISTURE		2.2	
							% MOIST = (MOIST / DRY WT) x 100		7.1	
							<b>% MOISTURE</b>			
							(LD / EE) x 100		25.6	
							Corr. Factor		1026	
							LL/Corr Factor		26	
									14	
									12	

SAMPLE ID: Fine Aggregate for Concrete Table 803.2.1-2				SAMPLED BY:						
PIT OR QUARRY:				COUNTY:						
QUANTITY:				FOR USE AS:						
DATE RECEIVED:				DATE TESTED:						
		WEIGHT (lbs or kg)		RETAINED No. 4 (4.75 mm) = (A)		Weight Retained (lbs or kg)		% Retained = $\left[ \frac{A+B}{D} \right] \times 100$		
		COARSE AGG.	FINE AGG.	PASS No. 4 (4.75 mm) = (B)		TOTAL, A + B = (D)				
Sample		32.80 = (E)	453.6 = (F)	1.45		31.35		4.4 = (H)		
After Wash				9.4		8.3		95.6 = (I)		
Pass No. 200 (75µm)				17.7						
Pass No. 200 (75 µm), Pan										
Total Pass No. 200 (75µm)										
SIEVE SIZE	WT RET	% RET = $\frac{K \times 100}{E}$	WT RET	% RET = $\frac{P \times 100}{F}$	% RET = $\frac{R \times I}{100}$	COMBINED AGGREGATE				
						=K	=L	=P	=R	=S
1 1/2" [37.5 mm]							1000	100	100	100
1" [25mm]							1000	100		95-100
3/4" [19 mm]										
1/2" [12.5 mm]										
3/8" [9.5 mm]	0.00	4.4				0.0	1000	100		100
No. 4 [4.75 mm]	1.45	4.4	59.8	13.2	12.6	4.4	95.6	96		95-100
No. 8 [2.36 mm]			76.0	16.8	16.1	12.6	83.0	83		45-80
No. 16 [1.18 mm]			92.1	20.3	19.4	16.1	66.9	67		45-80
No. 30 [600 µm]						19.4	47.5	47		
No. 40 425 [µm]										
No. 50 [300 µm]			120.2	26.5	25.3	25.3	22.2	22		10-30
No. 100 [150 µm]			64.1	14.1	13.5	13.5	8.7	9		2-10
No. 200 [75 µm]			23.3	5.1	4.9	4.9	3.8	3.8		0-4
Pass No. 200 (75 µm), Pan	31.35	95.6	17.7	3.9	3.7					
TOTAL PASSING	32.80	100.0	453.2	99.9						
SHAKER LOSS %		0.0%		0.1%						
FRACTURED FACES %		One or more								
FLAT & ELONGATED %		1:5 Ratio								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 816.0:				SHAKER LOSS FORMULA						
				or FI - TOTAL PASSING / (E or F) *						
BLOWS =	Tim No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE				
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	d2	48.3	40.6	21.4	7.7	19.2	(LD / EE) x 100		40.1	
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	B4	43.2	41.0	21.6	2.2	19.4	Corr. Factor	LL-Corr Factor	0.973 39	
							% MOISTURE - (MOIST / DRY WT) x 100		2.4	
							WET WT (lb or kg)		33.6	
							DRY WT (lb or kg)		32.8	
							WET - DRY = MOISTURE		0.8	
							% MOISTURE		2.4	
							PLASTIC INDEX (PI) = LL - PL		28	

# Practice Problem 1

Material	Crushed Gravel #1		Crushed Sand #2		Fine Sand #3		Filler		Combined Gradation	Target Value	Specification Limits
	Percent Used	50%		25%		25%					
	U.S. Sieves	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing			
2"	100	50	100	25	100	25			100	100	100
1.5"	100	50	100	25	100	25			100	100	100
1"	100	50	100	25	100	25			100	100	100
3/4"	100	50	100	25	100	25			100	100	100
1/2"	100	50	100	25	100	25			100	100	100
3/8"	90	45	100	25	100	25			95	95	90-100
No. 4	42	21	100	25	100	25			71	71	60-75
No. 8	6	3	99	24.75	100	25			52.75	53	40-55
No. 16											
No. 30	1	0.5	20	12.5	93	23.25			36.25	36	20-35
No. 50			3	0.7	64	16			16.7	17	12-22
No. 100											
No. 200			1.0	0.25	28	7			7.25	7.3	4-10

# Practice Problem 2

Material	Bin #1		Bin #0		Bin #3		Filler		Combined Gradation	Target Value	Specification Limits
	Percent Used	15%		40%		42%		3%			
U.S. Sieves	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch			
2"											
1.5"	100	15		40		42		3	100	100	100
1"	90	13.5		40		42		3	99	99	90-100
3/4"	59	8.9	100	40		42		3	93.9	94	75-100
1/2"	4	0.6	67	18.0	100	42		3	63.6	64	55-90
3/8"											
No. 4			4	1.6	98	41.2		3	45.8	46	35-60
No. 8					75	31.5		3	34.5	35	20-45
No. 16											
No. 30											
No. 50					40	16.8	100	3	19.8	20	10-30
No. 100					21	8.8	96	2.9	11.7	12	5-18
No. 200					8.0	3.4	80	2.4	5.8	5.8	2-10



# Practice Problem 3

This is one solution but not the only one.

Material	Bin #1		Bin #2		Bin #3		Filler		Combined Gradation	Target Value	Specification Limits
Percent Used		10%		57%		30%		3%			
U.S. Sieves	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch	Percent Passing	Percent Batch			
2"											
1.5"	100	10		57		30	100	3	100		100
1"	79	7.9	100	57		30	100	3	98		90-100
3/4"											
1/2"	6	0.6	83	47.3		30	100	3	81		60-80
3/8"											
No. 4	2	0.2	4	2.3	100	30	100	3	36	43	25-60
No. 8			1	0.6	88	26.4	100	3	30	30	15-45
No. 16											
No. 30											
No. 50					27	8.1	100	3	11	11	3-18
No. 100											
No. 200					4.0	1.2	80	2.4	4	4.0	1-7

# Table 1. Allowable Range of Standard Deviation for Tester Correlation

Percent Retained	Grading			
	Coarse		Fine	
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
< 3%	3.00	0.39	0.60	0.21
3% - 10%	3.00	1.06	1.60	0.57
10% - 20%	4.70	1.66	2.70	0.95
20% - 30%	5.70	2.01	3.50	1.24
30% - 40%	6.90	2.44	4.00	1.41
>40%	9.00	3.18	5.20	1.41
<b>No. 200 [75 microm]</b>	<b>0-15</b>	<b>4-15</b>	<b>3-15</b>	<b>3-15</b>

- Use the coarse values unless the nominal maximum aggregate size is #4 or less, in which case use the fine values



# Correlation Of Testing Technicians for Gradation

Example - #4:

Sampler: \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_

Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Test Sieve Size: #200

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Average % Passing #30 is 14.5%

Grading W - Coarse Gradation

$$t = \frac{|\bar{x}|}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}}$$

#30      14.5  
#200     3.00  
Ret'd    11.50

Sample	Percent Passing Test Sieve		Difference (A) - (B)
	WYDOT (A)	Contractor (B)	
A	3.20	3.17	0.03
B	2.65	2.73	-0.08
C	3.16	2.95	0.21
D	3.05	3.10	-0.05
E	2.98	3.02	-0.04
Mean $\bar{x}$ :			0.014
Std Dev - s:			0.117
Min SD:			1.66
Max SD:			4.70
SD Used:			1.66
t:			0.019
t > (t <sub>crit</sub> =4.604):			No

Average Passing = 3.00

**No Significant Difference**

If  $t > t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Significantly Different

If  $t \leq t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Not Significantly Different

Is there a sign error? \_\_\_\_\_

# Correlation Of Testing Technicians for Gradation

Example - #5:

Sampler: \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_

Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Test Sieve Size: #200

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Average % Passing #30 is 11.5%

Grading W - Coarse Gradation

$$t = \frac{|\bar{x}|}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}}$$

		Percent Passing Test Sieve			
	Sample	WYDOT (A)	Contractor (B)	Difference (A) - (B)	
#30	11.5	A	3.30	2.70	0.6
#200	3.14	B	3.60	2.82	0.78
Ret'd	8.36	C	3.53	2.79	0.74
		D	3.38	2.73	0.65
		E	3.63	2.89	0.74
			Mean $\bar{x}$ :		0.702
			Std Dev - s:		0.074
			Min SD:		1.06
			Max SD:		3.00
			SD Used:		1.06
			t :		1.481
			t > (t <sub>crit</sub> =4.604):		No

Average Passing = 3.14

**No Significant Difference**

If  $t > t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Significantly Different

If  $t \leq t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Not Significantly Different

Is there a sign error? \_\_\_\_\_ yes

# Correlation Of Testing Technicians for Gradation

Example - #6:

Sampler: \_\_\_\_\_

Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_

Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Location: \_\_\_\_\_

Test Sieve Size: 3/8"

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Average % Passing 1/2" is 74.2%

Grading W - Coarse Gradation

$$t = \frac{|\bar{x}|}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}}$$

		Percent Passing Test Sieve			
	Sample	WYDOT (A)	Contractor (B)	Difference (A) - (B)	
1/2"	74.2	A	52.8	47.3	5.5
3/8"	49.46	B	51.7	45.5	6.2
	24.74	C	53.6	46.3	7.3
		D	50.4	48.9	1.5
		E	52.2	45.9	6.3
			Mean - $\bar{x}$ :		5.36
			Std Dev - s:		2.251
			Min SD:		2.01
			Max SD:		5.70
			SD Used:		2.25
			t:		5.324
			t > (t <sub>crit</sub> =4.604):		Yes

Average Passing = 49.5

**Significant Difference**

If  $t > t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Significantly Different

If  $t \leq t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Not Significantly Different

Is there a sign error? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes

# Correlation Of Testing Technicians for Gradation

Example:

Sampler: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Test Sieve Size: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Average % Passing \_\_\_\_\_ is \_\_\_\_\_%  
 Grading \_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_ Gradation

Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Location: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Date: \_\_\_\_\_

$$t = \frac{|\bar{x}|}{\sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}}$$

Sample	Percent Passing Test Sieve		Difference (A) - (B)
	WYDOT (A)	Contractor (B)	
A			
B			
C			
D			
E			
Mean - $\bar{x}$ :			
Std Dev - s:			
Min SD:			
Max SD:			
SD Used:			
t:			
t > (t <sub>crit</sub> =4.604):			

If  $t > t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Significantly Different

If  $t \leq t_{crit}$ , then the data sets are Not Significantly Different

Is there a sign error? \_\_\_\_\_

Table 113.1-1

Quality Level Analysis by the Standard Deviation Method

P <sub>U</sub> or P <sub>L</sub> percent Within Limits for Positive Values of Q <sub>U</sub> or Q <sub>L</sub>	Upper Quality Index Q <sub>U</sub> or Lower Quality Index Q <sub>L</sub>				
	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	n = 6	n = 7
	100	1.16	1.50	1.79	2.03
99		1.47	1.67	1.80	1.89
98	1.15	1.44	1.60	1.70	1.76
97		1.41	1.54	1.62	1.67
96	1.14	1.38	1.49	1.55	1.59
95		1.35	1.44	1.49	1.52
94	1.13	1.32	1.39	1.43	1.46
93		1.29	1.35	1.38	1.40
92	1.12	1.26	1.31	1.33	1.35
91	1.11	1.23	1.27	1.29	1.30
90	1.10	1.20	1.23	1.24	1.25
89	1.09	1.17	1.19	1.20	1.20
88	1.07	1.14	1.15	1.16	1.16
87	1.06	1.11	1.12	1.12	1.12
86	1.04	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08
85	1.03	1.05	1.05	1.04	1.04
84	1.01	1.02	1.01	1.01	1.00
83	1.00	0.99	0.98	0.97	0.97
82	0.97	0.96	0.95	0.94	0.93
81	0.96	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.90
80	0.93	0.90	0.88	0.87	0.86
79	0.91	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.83
78	0.89	0.84	0.82	0.80	0.80
77	0.87	0.81	0.78	0.77	0.76
76	0.84	0.78	0.75	0.74	0.73
75	0.82	0.75	0.72	0.71	0.70
74	0.79	0.72	0.69	0.68	0.67
73	0.76	0.69	0.66	0.65	0.64
72	0.74	0.66	0.63	0.62	0.61
71	0.71	0.63	0.60	0.59	0.58
70	0.68	0.60	0.57	0.56	0.55
69	0.65	0.57	0.54	0.53	0.52
68	0.62	0.54	0.51	0.50	0.49
67	0.59	0.51	0.47	0.47	0.46
66	0.56	0.48	0.45	0.44	0.44

P <sub>U</sub> or P <sub>L</sub> percent Within Limits for Positive Values of Q <sub>U</sub> or Q <sub>L</sub>	Upper Quality Index Q <sub>U</sub> or Lower Quality Index Q <sub>L</sub>				
	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	n = 6	n = 7
	65	0.52	0.45	0.43	0.41
64	0.49	0.42	0.40	0.39	0.38
63	0.46	0.39	0.37	0.36	0.35
62	0.43	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32
61	0.39	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.30
60	0.36	0.30	0.28	0.27	0.27
59	0.32	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.24
58	0.29	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.21
57	0.25	0.21	0.20	0.19	0.19
56	0.22	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.16
55	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.13	0.13
54	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11
53	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08
52	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05
51	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# Table 113.1-2 Pay Factors

Pay Factor	Required Quality Level for a Given Sample Size n and Pay Factor				
	n = 3	n = 4	n = 5	n = 6	n = 7
1.05	100	100	100	100	100
1.04	90	91	92	93	93
1.03	80	85	87	88	89
1.02	75	80	83	85	86
1.01	71	77	80	82	84
1.00	68	74	78	80	81
0.99	66	72	75	77	79
0.98	64	70	73	75	77
0.97	62	68	71	74	75
0.96	60	66	69	72	73
0.95	59	64	68	70	72
0.94	57	63	66	68	70
0.93	56	61	65	67	69
0.92	55	60	63	65	67
0.91	53	58	62	64	66
0.90	52	57	60	63	64
0.89	51	55	59	61	63
0.88	50	54	57	60	62
0.87	48	53	56	58	60
0.86	47	51	55	57	59
0.85	46	50	53	56	58
0.84	45	49	52	55	56
0.83	44	48	51	53	55
0.82	42	46	50	52	54
0.81	41	45	48	51	53
0.80	40	44	47	50	52
0.79	38	43	46	48	50
0.78	37	41	45	47	49
0.77	36	40	43	46	48
0.76	34	39	42	45	47
0.75	33	38	41	44	46

## ➤ Maximum Pay Factor

- Base and Subbase – 1.00
- Treated Base – 1.00
- Plant Mix Pavement – 1.05
- Plant Mix Wearing Course – 1.05
- Seal Coat Aggregate – 1.05
- PCCP – 1.00

# Pay Factor Worksheet #4

Aggregate Specification: Crushed Base Grading W Test Value: #4

Test Results: n = 5  
 Test Values: 44 45 58 65 66

Average Value:  $\bar{x} =$  55.6 Standard Deviation: s = 10.60  
 ( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U =$  65  
 Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L =$  45

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \frac{65 - 55.6}{10.60} =$  0.89

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U =$  81 (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \frac{55.6 - 45}{10.60} =$  1.00

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L =$  84 (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 =$  81 + 84 - 100 = 65

Pay Factor = PF = 0.93 (From Table 113.1-2)

Max Pay Factor = 1.00 Minimum Pay Factor = 0.93

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

= Min Pay Factor - 1.00 = 0.93 - 1.00 = -0.07



# Pay Factor Worksheet #5

Aggregate Specification: PMP (1") Test Value: #30

Test Results: n = 5  
 Test Values: 13 18 15 22 16

Average Value:  $\bar{x}$  = 16.8 Standard Deviation: s = 3.42  
 ( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U$  = 25  
 Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L$  = 5

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \frac{25-16.8}{3.42} = \underline{2.40}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U = \underline{100}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \frac{16.8 - 5}{3.42} = \underline{3.45}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L = \underline{100}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 = \underline{100} + \underline{100} - 100 = \underline{100}$$

Pay Factor = PF = 1.05 (From Table 113.1-2)

Minimum Pay Factor = 1.05

Max Pay Factor = 1.05

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

$$= \text{Min Pay Factor} - 1.00 = \underline{1.05} - 1.00 = \underline{0.05}$$

# Pay Factor Worksheet #6

Aggregate Specification: PMP(3/4") Test Value: #4

Test Results: n = 5  
 Test Values: 58 41 48 68 65

Average Value:  $\bar{x}$  = 56.0 Standard Deviation: s = 11.38  
 ( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U$  = 65

Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L$  = 30

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \frac{(65-56.0)}{11.38} = \underline{0.79}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U = \underline{78}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \frac{(56.0-30)}{11.38} = \underline{2.28}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L = \underline{100}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 = \underline{78} + \underline{100} - 100 = \underline{78}$

Pay Factor = PF = 1.00 (From Table 113.1-2)

Minimum Pay Factor = 1.00

Max Pay Factor = 1.05

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

= Min Pay Factor - 1.00 = 1.00 - 1.00 = 0.00

# Pay Factor Worksheet #7

Aggregate Specification: PMWC Test Value: #4

Test Results: n = 6

Test Values: 25 51 34 57 29 42

Average Value:  $\bar{x}$  = 39.7 Standard Deviation: s = 12.61  
( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U$  = 45

Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L$  = 25

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \frac{45 - 39.7}{12.61} = \underline{0.42}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U = \underline{66}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
(If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \frac{39.7 - 25}{12.61} = \underline{1.17}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L = \underline{89}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
(If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 = \underline{66} + \underline{89} - 100 = \underline{55}$

Pay Factor = PF = 0.84 (From Table 113.1-2)

Max Pay Factor = 1.05 Minimum Pay Factor = 0.84

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

= Min Pay Factor - 1.00 = 0.84 - 1.00 = -0.16

# Pay Factor Worksheet #8

Aggregate Specification: PMP (1") Test Value: #4

Test Results: n = 5  
 Test Values: 48 59 52 46 58

Average Value:  $\bar{x}$  = 52.6 Standard Deviation: s = 5.81  
 ( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U$  = 60  
 Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L$  = 30

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \frac{60 - 52.6}{5.81} = \underline{1.27}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U = \underline{91}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \frac{52.6 - 30}{5.81} = \underline{3.89}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L = \underline{100}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
 (If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 = \underline{91} + \underline{100} - 100 = \underline{91}$$

Pay Factor = PF = 1.03 (From Table 113.1-2)

Max Pay Factor = 1.05 Minimum Pay Factor = 1.03

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

$$= \text{Min Pay Factor} - 1.00 = \underline{1.03} - 1.00 = \underline{0.03}$$

# Pay Factor Worksheet #

Aggregate Specification: \_\_\_\_\_ Test Value: \_\_\_\_\_

Test Results: n = \_\_\_\_\_

Test Values: \_\_\_\_\_

Average Value:  $\bar{x}$  = \_\_\_\_\_ Standard Deviation: s = \_\_\_\_\_  
( $\sigma_{n-1}$ )

Upper Specification Limit,  $SL_U$  = \_\_\_\_\_

Lower Specification Limit,  $SL_L$  = \_\_\_\_\_

Upper Quality Index,  $Q_U = \frac{SL_U - \bar{x}}{s} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_U$   $P_U = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
(If  $SL_U$  is not specified,  $P_U = 100$ )

Lower Quality Index,  $Q_L = \frac{\bar{x} - SL_L}{s} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

Percent Material Within  $SL_L$   $P_L = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$  (From Table 113.1-1)  
(If  $SL_L$  is not specified,  $P_L = 100$ )

Quality Level = Percent Within Specification Limits

$QL = (P_U + P_L) - 100 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}} + \underline{\hspace{2cm}} - 100 = \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

Pay Factor = PF = \_\_\_\_\_ (From Table 113.1-2)

Minimum Pay Factor = \_\_\_\_\_

Max Pay Factor = \_\_\_\_\_

Pay Adjustment Factor = PAF

= Min Pay Factor - 1.00 = \_\_\_\_\_ - 1.00 = \_\_\_\_\_

# Atterberg Limits

TOTAL							WET WT (lbs or kg)		
FRACTURED FACES %		One or more		Two or more			DRY WT (lbs or kg)		
FLAT & ELONGATED %		1:5 Ratio					WET - DRY = MOISTURE		
FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 602.0:							% MOISTURE=(MOISTURE/ DRY WT )x100		
BLOWS = 28	Tls No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE	% MOISTURE		PLASTIC INDEX (PI) =LL - PL
							( DD / EE ) x 100	x Corr. Factor = 1.014	
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	jvt	148.38	142.38	121.46	6.00	20.92	28.7	29.1	
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	x38	141.21	138.74	123.57	2.47	15.17	16.3%		16

TOTAL							WET WT (lbs or kg)		
FRACTURED FACES %		One or more		Two or more			DRY WT (lbs or kg)		
FLAT & ELONGATED %		1:5 Ratio					WET - DRY = MOISTURE		
FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 602.0:							% MOISTURE=(MOISTURE/ DRY WT )x100		
BLOWS = 23	Tls No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE	% MOISTURE		PLASTIC INDEX (PI) =LL - PL
							( DD / EE ) x 100	x Corr. Factor = 0.990	
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	r33	160.34	154.21	122.62	6.13	31.59	19.4%	19.2	
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	44S	150.90	147.25	123.84	3.65	23.41	15.6%		16



# Atterberg Limits

TOTAL							WET WT (lbs or kg)			
FRACTURED FACES %		One or more		Two or more			DRY WT (lbs or kg)			
FLAT & ELONGATED %		1:5 Ratio					WET - DRY = MOISTURE			
FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 602.0:							% MOISTURE=(MOISTURE/ DRY WT )x100			
BLOWS = 20	Tls No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE	% MOISTURE		PLASTIC INDEX (PI) =LL - PL	
							( DD / EE ) x 100	x Corr. Factor = 0.973		
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	5x3	155.56	149.66	123.65	5.90	26.01	22.7%	22.1		22
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	8-3	140.16	137.88	124.75	2.28	13.13	17.4%		17	

TOTAL							WET WT (lbs or kg)			
FRACTURED FACES %		One or more		Two or more			DRY WT (lbs or kg)			
FLAT & ELONGATED %		1:5 Ratio					WET - DRY = MOISTURE			
FINENESS MODULUS: see M.T.M., Sect. 602.0:							% MOISTURE=(MOISTURE/ DRY WT )x100			
BLOWS = 31	Tls No.	Wet+Tare= AA	Dry + Tare= BB	Tare = CC	Moisture = AA - BB = DD	Dry Wt = BB - CC = EE	% MOISTURE		PLASTIC INDEX (PI) =LL - PL	
							( DD / EE ) x 100	x Corr. Factor = = 1.026		
LIQUID LIMIT (LL)	A-2	181.08	162.76	122.62	18.32	40.14	45.6%	46.8		47
PLASTIC LIMIT (PL)	8-1	190.46	179.68	124.38	10.78	55.30	19.5%		20	