Projection to the Inferior Colliculus from the Basal Nucleus of the Amygdala
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This report describes a projection from the amygdala, a forebrain center mediating emotional expression, to the inferior colliculus (IC), the midbrain integration center of the ascending auditory system. In the IC of mustached bats (Pteronotus parnellii) and pallid bats (Antrozous pallidus), we placed deposits of retrograde tracers at physiologically defined sites and then searched for retrogradely labeled somata in the forebrain. Labeling was most sensitive in experiments using cholera toxin B-subunit as tracer. We consistently observed retrograde labeling in a single amygdalar subdivision, the magnocellular subdivision of the basal nucleus (Bmg). The Bmg is distinctive across mammals, containing the largest cells in the amygdala and the most intense acetylcholinesterase staining. Labeled amygdalar cells occurred ipsilateral and contralateral to IC deposits, but ipsilateral labeling was greater, averaging 72%. Amygdalar labeling was observed after tracer deposits throughout the IC, including its central nucleus (ICC). In comparison, labeling in the auditory cortex (layer V) was heavily ipsilateral (averaging 92%). Cortical labeling depended on the location of IC deposits: dorsomedial deposits resulted in the most labeled cells, whereas ventrolateral deposits labeled few or no cortical cells. Cortical labeling occurred after several deposits in the ICC. Across experiments, the average number of labeled cells in the amygdala was similar to that in the auditory cortex, indicating that the amygdalocollricular projection is significant. The results demonstrate a direct, widespread projection from the basal amygdala to the IC. They also suggest the presence of a rapid thalamoamygdalocollricular feedback circuit that may impose emotional content onto processing of sensory stimuli at a relatively low level of an ascending sensory pathway.
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The amygdala is a collection of diverse, interconnected nuclei (Johnston, 1923; Pitkänen et al., 1997; Swanson and Petrovich, 1998) that impart appropriate emotional import to biologically relevant sensory stimuli. Its functions include learning the emotional significance of sensory input, attentional and motivational processing, and mediating autonomic responses to emotion-laden stimuli (Gloor, 1972; Kaada, 1972; Cahill and McGaugh, 1998; LeDoux, 2000). To support these functions, the amygdaloid nuclei receive extensive sensory input from unimodal and polymodal sensory cerebral cortices (Price et al., 1987; McDonald, 1998; Stefanacci and Amaral, 2000) and from some subcortical sensory structures (LeDoux et al., 1985; Yasui et al., 1987; Linke et al., 1999). In turn, amygdaloid nuclei project to sensory association cortices (Krettek and Price, 1977a; Amaral and Price, 1984; Iwai and Yukie, 1987), to forebrain areas associated with emotions and memory (Krettek and Price, 1977a,b; McDonald, 1996; Swanson and Petrovich, 1998), and to the hypothalamus and lower centers mediating autonomic output (LeDoux et al., 1988; Feldman et al., 1995; Pitkänen et al., 2000). The present study provides the first evidence of a direct and widespread projection from the basal amygdala to the inferior colliculus (IC), obtained in two species of bats. The results suggest the presence of an auditory–amygdalar feedback circuit to the IC that may impose emotional content onto processing of sensory stimuli at a low level of an ascending sensory pathway.

The IC occupies a crucial position in the primary auditory pathway, integrating input from a broad range of auditory brainstem nuclei and relaying information to the auditory thalamus and to nuclei at the sensorimotor interface. The IC creates selectivity for various dimensions of behaviorally relevant sounds, including selectivity for location (Faingold et al., 1991; Park and Pollak, 1994), duration (Casseday et al., 1994; Fuzessery, 1994; Fuzessery and Hall, 1999), direction of frequency-modulated sweeps (Fuzessery, 1994; Fuzessery and Hall, 1996), and combinations of acoustic elements in vocalizations (Mittmann and Wenstrup, 1995; Portfors and Wenstrup, 1999; Leroy and Wenstrup, 2000; Wenstrup and Leroy, 2001). The IC also receives extensive auditory corticofugal input (Saldana et al., 1996; Winer et al., 1998) and monoaminergic (Klepper and Herbert, 1991) projections capable of modulating this response selectivity (Yan and Suga, 1996, 1999; Hurley and Pollak, 1999). The IC thus functions as an integration center mediating interactions between higher and lower auditory centers and nonauditory inputs. A projection from the amygdala to the IC indicates a major addition to the factors affecting information processing in the IC, imparting emotional context onto processing in the primary auditory pathway.
The results presented here were observed unexpectedly during the course of tract-tracing studies designed to identify the origin of inputs to functionally specialized neurons in the IC. These experiments demonstrate a significant projection from the basal nucleus of the amygdala that is distributed widely throughout the IC, including most of the central nucleus (ICC), the major recipient of ascending auditory brainstem input.

Parts of this paper have been published previously in abstract form (Marsh et al., 1999).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We deposited retrograde tracers in the IC in two species of bats and examined the pattern of labeling in the forebrain. Mustached bats (*Pteronotus parnellii*) were captured in Jamaica or Trinidad and Tobago, West Indies. Pallid bats (*Antrozous pallidus*) were captured in Arizona. Brainstem or thalamic labeling in some experiments on mustached bats has been reported previously (Wenstrup and Grose, 1995; Wenstrup et al., 1999). Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Northeastern Ohio Universities College of Medicine.

Surgical procedures. Surgical and recording procedures were similar to those reported previously for mustached bats (Wenstrup et al., 1999) and pallid bats (Fuzessery and Hall, 1996). Two to 3 d before surgery, tetramethylbenzidine was placed in the drinking water to reduce postsurgical infection. To surgically expose the IC, animals were anesthetized with ketamine (Abbot Laboratories, North Chicago, IL) and acepromazine (2 mg/kg, i.p.; Med-Tech, Buffalo, NY). A midline incision was made over the dorsal surface of the skull; then, the skin and muscles were reflected laterally. In mustached bats, a tungsten ground electrode was cemented into the skull overlying the right cerebral hemisphere. In pallid bats, a ground electrode was placed in contact with the temporal muscles on the left side. A metal pin was glued to the skull to position the head in the stereotaxic apparatus, and a small hole (<0.5 mm in diameter) was placed in the skull over the IC. Lidocaine (Elkins-Sinn, Cherry Hill, NJ) was applied to the surgical wound. After surgery, mustached bats were placed in a holding cage for at least 24 hr, whereas pallid bats were used in experiments on the day of the surgery.

Acoustic stimulation and recording. Physiological recordings were obtained from bats placed in a Plexiglas restraining apparatus and housed in a humidified, soundproof chamber lined with anechoic foam. Computer-controlled acoustic signals (3–50 msec duration, 0.5 msec rise–fall times, three to four per second) consisted of tone bursts, noise bursts, frequency-modulated sweeps, or combinations of these. The signals were generated separately (model 8904A Hewlett-Packard, Palo Alto, CA) or model 395 (Wavetek, San Diego, CA). Tetrodes were amplified (model SW2; Tucker-Davis Technologies, Naplesville, FL), and attenuated (model PA4; Tucker-Davis Technologies). Signals from the separate channels were summed (model SM3; Tucker-Davis Technologies), amplified (model HCA-800II; Parasound, San Francisco, CA), and then sent to a speaker (leaf tweeter; Technics). The speaker was placed 10 or 30 cm away from the bat and 25° into the sound field contralateral to the IC under study. Acoustic properties of the entire system were tested with a calibrated microphone (model 4135; Bruel and Kjær, Norcross, GA) placed in the position normally occupied by the bat’s head. Distortion components in the speaker were not detectable 60 dB below the signal level, as measured by an application performing a fast Fourier transform of the digitized microphone signal (model NB-A2000; National Instruments, Austin, TX).

Mustached bats were lightly anesthetized with Metofane and placed in a Plexiglas restraining apparatus; all animals recovered before recording started. If signs of distress or discomfort were evident, mustached bats received subdermal injections of acepromazine (2 mg/kg), or they were removed from the experimental apparatus. Pallid bats were kept lightly anesthetized during the experiments by sodium pentobarbital booster injections (2.5 mg/kg, i.p.) as necessary.

The evoked activity of single and/or multiunit responses was recorded from the IC of the bats. Recordings were obtained with micropipette electrodes filled with saline (0.9% NaCl) or PBS, pH 7.4, and one of the following tracers: 1–2% cholera toxin B-subunit (CTb; List Biologic, Campbell, CA), 2% fibrinogen (Fluoro-Gold; List Biologic), 2% dextran-conjugated rhodamine [Fluororuby (FR); Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR], or 2% wheat germ agglutinin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (WGA-HRP) (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Electrodes were broken to tip diameters of 5–10 μm, with resistances of 3–20 MΩ. Electrodes were placed visually over the IC and advanced by a hydraulic micropositioner (model 650; David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). Extracellular action potentials were amplified and filtered (bandpass 300–6000 Hz), and sent to a window discriminator (model 74-60-3; Fredrick Haer Company, Bowdoinham, ME). The pulse output of the window discriminator was digitized at 10 kHz (model NB-MIO-16x; National Instruments) for analysis of peristimulus time histograms, raster displays, and statistics on the neural responses. The neural responses analyzed consisted isolated single-unit responses with a high signal-to-noise ratio or multiunit activity of stimulus-locked clusters of clearly defined spikes.

By use of tonal stimulation, recording sites were characterized by their best frequency (the frequency that elicited a response at the lowest sound level) and threshold at best frequency (lowest sound level at best frequency that elicited stimulus-locked spikes). Best frequencies were measured with a resolution of 0.01 or 0.1 kHz but are expressed in kilohertz. Depending on the experiment, we also assessed rate-level functions, latency of response, and sensitivity to frequency-modulated sweeps, noise, and combinations of tones. When recording multiunit responses, sites were sampled at 100–200 μm intervals through dorsoventral penetration. Single units were tested at the end of a penetration, the tracer-filled recording electrode was returned to the location receiving the iontophoretic deposit. Responses were again characterized and then a deposit was made using pulsed current (+1.0–1.5 μA; 3.5–10 min duration; 50% duty cycle). After the deposit, the electrode was maintained in position for 5 min and then removed from the brain.

Histology and tracer techniques. After tracer deposits, the bats were returned to their holding cages for an appropriate survival time (see below). For perfusion, the animals were deeply anesthetized with Nembutal (300 mg/kg, i.p.). When nociceptive reflexes were eliminated, the chest cavity was opened, and the animal was perfused through the heart with PBS and an aldehyde fixative. After the brain case was opened, the brain was blocked in a consistent plane (the plane of most electrode penetrations). For the mustached bats, this was inclined −15° from dorsocaudal to ventrostral (Wenstrup et al., 1994, 1999). For pallid bats, brains were blocked in the frontal plane, perpendicular to the dorsal surface. Each brain was refrigerated overnight in a 30% sucrose–PBS solution, pH 7.4, before sectioning. The brain was sectioned transversely on a freezing microtome at a thickness of 30 or 40 μm. All sections from the cochlear nucleus to the frontal cortex were collected in cold 0.1 M phosphate buffer or PBS. Three alternating series were collected and processed by different protocols; one series was stained with cresyl violet.

The results are based primarily on experiments using CTb as tracer. In these, animals were perfused with a 4% paraformaldehyde fixative 3–5 d after the tracer deposit was made. Tracer was visualized using immunohistochemistry and an avidin–biotin–peroxidase procedure (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). After blocking in 3% normal rabbit serum plus 0.2% Triton X-100, free-floating sections were incubated in goat anti-CTb (1:20,000 or 1:40,000; List Biologic) for 60–65 hr at 4°C. Heavy metal-intensified diaminobenzidine was used as a chromogen.

We also examined labeling patterns from experiments in which FG, FR, and WGA-HRP were used (Wenstrup et al., 1999, 2002). Animals were perfused 5–10 d after FG or FR deposits with a 4% paraformaldehyde fixative; subsequently, sections were mounted, cleared, and coverslipped with DPX. Animals were perfused 24–48 hr after WGA-HRP deposits with a mixed aldehyde fixative and a sucrose phosphate buffer solution. Tetramethylbenzidine was used as a chromagen.

Acetycholinesterase (ACHE) staining in the forebrain was examined using methods outlined by Hardy et al. (1976). Animals were perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde fixative, and then the brains were removed and placed in 30% sucrose overnight. After sectioning, brains were rinsed in 0.1 M acetate buffer, and free-floating sections were processed using the thionine method for ACHE visualization.

Data analysis. Cell bodies were considered to be labeled by CTb only when immunohistochemical reaction product at least partially filled a somatic profile. Labeled cells were thus distinct from peroxidase labeling of red blood cells, some endothelial cells, or extracellular debris. Similar criteria were used for other tracers. Retrogradely labeled cells in the amygdala, auditory cortex, and brainstem were plotted using a drawing tube under bright-field illumination for CTb, fluorescence for FG or FR, and dark-field illumination for WGA-HRP [268–468 μm; numerical aperture (NA), 0.7; plane apochromat]. Plots of subdivisions and labeled cells
were drawn separately and scanned at 300 dots per inch and then imported into a graphics application [Canvas (Deneba Systems, Miami, FL) or Corel Draw (Corel, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada)]. Counts of labeled cells were made from every section in one series. In statistical tests, the null hypothesis was rejected when its probability was <5%.

Potential sources of artifact were examined. We studied whether immunohistochemical processing for CTb artifactually labeled cells in the amygdala. The labeling pattern in the amygdala was compared with material from previous experiments in our laboratory in which CTb was deposited in the medial geniculate body (MGB) (Wenstrup and Grose, 1995). Using processing methods identical to those used here, that material had no labeled somata in the amygdala. We also found no evidence of transsynaptic transport of CTb in either anterograde or retrograde directions after IC deposits. For instance, we observed no somatic labeling in the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body, which projects indirectly to the IC. Transsynaptic anterograde labeling of amygdalar neurons after IC deposits requires both somatic labeling in or near the MGB and terminal labeling in the amygdala. We observed neither of these.

Photomicrographs were taken under bright-field illumination using an Olympus Provis microscope (model AX70; Olympus Optical, Tokyo, Japan) with a Spot digital camera (model 1.4.0; Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI) and associated software. Gray-scale images were imported into Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA), in which global adjustments in brightness and contrast were made. Individual images were imported into Canvas (Deneba Systems), in which composite plates were constructed.

Subdivisions of the amygdala were identified from Nissl-stained and cholinesterase-stained sections, based on previous work in rats, cats, and monkeys (Ben-Ari et al., 1977; Krettek and Price, 1978b; Price et al.,

Figure 1. Views of the amygdala and other forebrain structures in mustached and pallid bats. A, Nissl-stained coronal section in the mustached bat shows large lateral nucleus (L) and darkly stained magnocellular division of the basal nucleus (Bmg). B, Cholinesterase-stained section in mustached bat shows staining of Bmg and caudate–putamen. Section is from a different animal than the section in A. C, Nissl-stained coronal section in the pallid bat. D, Cholinesterase-stained section in the pallid bat shows staining of Bmg and caudate–putamen. Sections in C and D are from the same animal. Protocol for photomicrographs is as follows (plan apochromat): A, C, NA 0.08; B, D, NA 0.16. The following anatomic abbreviations are used throughout figure legends: AB, accessory basal nucleus of the amygdala; ALD, anterolateral division of the central nucleus of the inferior colliculus; Bmg, magnocellular subdivision of the basal nucleus of the amygdala; Bpc, parvicellular subdivision of the basal nucleus of the amygdala; CE, central nucleus of the amygdala; CE, central nucleus of the inferior colliculus; COP, posterior cortical nucleus of the amygdala; CPu, caudate–putamen; DC, dorsal cortex of the inferior colliculus; DPD, dorsoposterior division of the central nucleus of the inferior colliculus; ec, external capsule; En, endopiriform nucleus; Ex, external nucleus of the inferior colliculus; Hip, hippocampal formation; Hyp, hypothalamus; Ic, intercalated nuclei of the amygdala; ICC, central nucleus of the inferior colliculus; int, internal capsule; L, lateral nucleus of the amygdala; Ll, lateral lemniscus; LV, lateral ventricle; M, medial nucleus of the amygdala; MD, medial division of the central nucleus of the inferior colliculus; MGB, medial geniculate body; opt, optic tract; PAC, periamygdaloid cortex; PAG, periaqueductal gray; Pir, piriform cortex; rf, rhinal fissure; Tem, temporal cortex; WM, white matter underlying cerebral cortex.
Nomenclature for amygdalar nuclei follows that described by Price et al. (1987).

RESULTS
These results are based on deposits of retrograde tracers within tonotopically organized regions of the IC in 11 mustached bats and 12 pallid bats. Tracer deposits in most parts of the IC resulted in labeling of the basal nucleus of the amygdala. We first outline the architectonic subdivisions of the amygdala in these animals and then describe features of IC tracer deposits and retrograde labeling in the amygdala.

Anatomic organization of the amygdala
In mustached and pallid bats, the general location and cellular architecture of the amygdala conforms to that described previously in other bats (Johnston, 1923; Humphrey, 1936) and in rats and cats (Krettek and Price, 1978b; Price et al., 1987; Alheid et al., 1995). Briefly, the amygdala lies in the forebrain, bounded dorsally by the hippocampus, caudate–putamen, or lateral ventricle (Fig. 1). Laterally, it is bounded by the external capsule and piriform cortex. Medially, it is limited by the edge of the cerebral hemisphere or by the internal capsule. Subdivisions described here are based primarily on Nissl- and cholinesterase-stained (AChE) sections. Figure 1, A and C, shows major nuclei in mustached and pallid bats using Nissl-stained transverse sections. Nuclear groups were delineated by cell size, intensity of Nissl stain, nuclear location and shape, and association with fiber tracts.

Deposits of tracer placed in the IC resulted in retrograde labeling of cells in the basal nucleus of the amygdala. We recognize two subdivisions, magnocellular (Bmg) and parvicellular (Bpc). Cell bodies in the Bmg were the largest in the amygdaloid complex and had characteristically intense Nissl staining (Fig. 1A,C). Cells in the Bpc were smaller but still larger than most neurons in the amygdala. The basal nucleus, and in particular the Bmg, can be additionally differentiated from other amygdaloid nuclei by intense staining for AChE (Ben-Ari et al., 1977; Price et al., 1987), the result of cholinergetic input from nucleus basalis in the substantia innominata (Nagai et al., 1982; Woolf and Butcher, 1982). Despite differences in background staining, the most intense AChE staining in both mustached and pallid bats occurred in the Bmg (Fig. 1B,D). The Nissl and AChE stainings of the amygdala provide the primary bases for identifying the Bmg as the site of retrograde labeling after tracer deposits in the IC.

The large neurons of the Bmg occurred in the medial part of the basal nucleus, similar to rats (Price et al., 1987). However, it was limited approximately to the central one-half of the basal nucleus, more similar to the caudorostral position reported in monkeys (Price et al., 1987). Cells in the rostral part of the basal nucleus are similar in size, density, and intensity of Nissl staining to those in the caudolateral part of the nucleus, which we called Bpc. The Bmg corresponds to the anterior subdivision of the basolateral nucleus in other parcellation schemes (Krettek and Price, 1978b; Alheid et al., 1995).

Retrograde tracer deposits in the IC
In most experiments, a single deposit of the tracer CTb was placed in a physiologically defined region of the IC (Fig. 2, Table 1). The physiological recording established the location of the deposit sites within the tonotopic organization of the IC (Fig. 2A,C).

In the mustached bats, deposits were made across a broad frequency range, from 23 to 89 kHz, encompassing much of this bat’s audible range of ~10–120 kHz. In this species, the tonotopic organization of the ICC is present in modified form because of the disproportionate representation of frequencies near 60 kHz (Zook et al., 1985; O’Neill et al., 1989). All tracer deposits were associated with frequencies used in both the bat’s multiharmonic sonar signal and its repertoire of social communication signals (Novick, 1963; Kanwal et al., 1994). CTb deposits in five experiments were placed at sites tuned to 80–89 kHz. All of these sites showed combinatorial response properties, in which the response to the 80–89 kHz signal was modulated by a signal in the 25–30 kHz frequency range (Mittmann and Wenstrup, 1995; Portfors and Wenstrup, 1999). Figure 2B shows one of these deposits, placed at a combination-sensitive recording site that was tuned to 86 kHz and facilitated by a 27 kHz signal. Other CTb deposits were placed at 23 and 59 kHz, frequencies used in the first and second harmonics of the sonar call. In addition to these CTb experiments, we also describe results from three experiments using FG as the tracer and one experiment each using FR and WGA-HRP.

Deposits of CTb were made in 11 pallid bats. Compared with the mustached bat, the tonotopic organization of the IC in this species is generally more conventional, with frequency increasing from dorsal to ventral and isofrequency contours extending from dorsomedial to ventrolateral (Fuzessery, 1994). CTb deposits were distributed throughout the mediolateral extent of two fre-
CTb deposits were placed in the 39–42 kHz representation of the frequency band. In five animals, CTb deposits were placed in the 39–42 kHz representation, a region dominated by selective responses to downward frequency-modulated sweeps used in the animal’s sonar signal (Fuzessery, 1994). Figure 2D shows a CTb deposit site responsive to 42 kHz, located approximately midway between the medial and lateral edges of the IC. In the six other CTb experiments, deposits were placed at recording sites in the 15–25 kHz range. These frequencies are used in prey detection and social communication but not for sonar signal (Fuzessery, 1994). The most lateral part of this frequency representation (Fig. 2, deposits 16 and 17) is physiologically distinct (Fuzessery, 1997) and has unusual anatomic features (Wenstrup et al., 1996). We also report data from one experiment in which 12 deposits of WGA-HRP were made in the lateral two-thirds of the IC at recording sites with best frequencies ranging from 13 to 65 kHz.

Quantitative analyses described below are based only on CTb experiments, because there were many fewer labeled cells in the amygdala after FG, FR, or WGA-HRP deposits (see below). Labeling of the Bmg was bilateral but with an ipsilateral dominance (Fig. 4, Table 1). Across all CTb experiments in which at least 40 amygdalar cells were labeled, 72% of the amygdalar label was ipsilateral. However, there was a clear species difference. In mustached bats, ipsilateral labeling accounted for 84% (range, 54–70%) of labeled cells in the amygdala. In pallid bats, ipsilateral labeling was less dominant, averaging 61% of amygdalar labeling (range, 54–70%). This difference is highly significant (p < 0.0002; t test).

Labeling in the basal nucleus was restricted to its caudal two-thirds, in which the magnocellular subdivision is located. Figure 5 shows the caudorostral distribution of label across the entire basal nucleus for each experiment in which at least 40 amygdalar cells were labeled. The label extends from ~20–65% of the caudal-to-rostral dimension of the basal nucleus, corresponding closely to the location of Bmg. This was true for the mustached and pallid bats on both the ipsilateral and contralateral sides.

Most CTb deposits resulted in significant labeling of the amygdala (≥8% of all labeling), including deposits clearly centered within the ICC (Fig. 2; Table 1, animals 1, 3–7, 14, 15, 20), as well as others in the dorsal or dorsomedial IC that may have extended

### Table 1. Summary of physiological responses and retrograde labeling after tracer deposits in the IC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Best frequency</th>
<th>Additional information</th>
<th>Tracer</th>
<th>Bmg-labeled cells</th>
<th>Percentage of total cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ipsi</td>
<td>Contra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mustached</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23 kHz</td>
<td>Dorsal</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59 kHz</td>
<td>Dorsal</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>89 kHz</td>
<td>Second deposit &lt;60 kHz</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>81 kHz</td>
<td>26 kHz (f)</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>86 kHz</td>
<td>27 kHz (f)</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88 kHz</td>
<td>26 kHz (i)</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>83 kHz</td>
<td>27 kHz (f)</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>26 kHz</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26 kHz</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>53 kHz</td>
<td>27 kHz (f)</td>
<td>FG</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>75–79 kHz</td>
<td>3 deposits</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>28–31 kHz</td>
<td>3 deposits</td>
<td>WGA-HRP</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pallid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>16 kHz</td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>15 kHz</td>
<td>Medial/central, 4 deposits</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>17 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>25 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>21 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>21 kHz</td>
<td>Far lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>41 kHz</td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>41 kHz</td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>42 kHz</td>
<td>Central</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>40 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>39 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>CTb</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>13–65 kHz</td>
<td>Lateral 2/3, 12 deposits</td>
<td>WGA-HRP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*f and (i) indicate that a tone at the specified frequency facilitates or inhibits, respectively, the best frequency tone. These responses are combination-sensitive (Mittmann and Wenstrup, 1995). Ipsi, Ipsilateral; Contra, contralateral.

---

**Retrograde labeling in the amygdala**

After deposits of CTb in the IC, retrograde labeling was observed in the amygdala. Amygdalar labeling resulting from the deposits in Figure 2, B and D, is illustrated in photomicrographs (Fig. 3) and as plots of labeled cells in a series of coronal sections (Fig. 4). These figures document the major qualitative features of the labeling: it was bilateral, it was found exclusively in the Bmg, and it was similar for both mustached and pallid bats.
into the dorsal cortex (Table 1, animals 2, 13, 18, 19). The ICC was identified both by architecture (Zook et al., 1985) and by brainstem inputs from the medial and lateral superior olivary nuclei (Wenstrup et al., 1996, 1999). Amygdalar labeling was observed after deposits placed in different frequency representations and across the mediolateral extent of the IC. Weak labeling was observed only in the dorsomedial extreme of the IC (3%, animal 12), in which the low number of total labeled cells in that experiment suggests poor sensitivity, and in the ventrolateral extreme (1–2%, animals 21 and 22), in which the result appears more reliable. However, within the area of IC in which deposits significantly labeled the amygdala, there was variability in the numbers of retrogradely labeled cells and in the percentage of total labeling. We found no clear trend to explain this variability.

**Comparison with cortical and brainstem labeling**

To provide a reference for the efficacy of retrograde transport to the amygdala, it was compared with brainstem and auditory cortical inputs to the IC. Retrograde labeling was observed in layer V of auditory cortex in all CTb cases (Table 1, Fig. 6). Nearly all labeled cells were located ipsilaterally. Across all CTb experiments in which at least 40 cortical cells were labeled (seven mustached bats and five pallid bats), the auditory cortical label was on average 92% ipsilateral (range, 85–96%). There was no
figure 4. Distribution of retrograde label in the amygdala after tracer deposits in the IC. A Labeling in a mustached bat after tracer deposit shown in Figure 2B. B Labeling in a pallid bat after tracer deposit shown in Figure 2D. Sections are arranged from caudal (top) to rostral (bottom), and the labeling on the side ipsilateral to the deposit is presented on the left. In both experiments, labeling was bilateral with an ipsilateral predominance. Outline of the Bmg is in bold.

Species difference in the predominance of ipsilateral labeling. Cortical labeling varied with location of the IC deposit site (Fig. 2, Table 1). Dorsomedial deposits resulted in the most labeled cells, whereas ventrolateral deposits generally resulted in few or no labeled cortical cells. However, cortical labeling occurred after deposits restricted to the ICC (animals 1, 3–7, 14, 20).

Labeling in the amygdala often constituted a significant fraction of the total number of retrogradely labeled cells after IC deposits. Figure 7 and Table 1 compare the percentage of total retrograde labeling found in the auditory brainstem, amygdala, and auditory cortex (excluding labeling in the IC). Amygdalar labeling ranged from 1 to 32% of total labeling, averaging ~15%. In comparison, auditory cortical labeling averaged 20%, whereas labeling in the auditory brainstem averaged 64%. The number of labeled cells in the amygdala was not significantly different from the number in the auditory cortex for either mustached bats or pallid bats (paired t tests; p > 0.4), but both were significantly less than labeled cells in the auditory brainstem (paired t tests; p < 0.001 for each test). The mean value of percentages for each anatomic region was nearly identical between the mustached bat and pallid bat experiments (Fig. 7).

Comparison of retrograde tracers
We analyzed experiments using FG, FR, and WGA-HRP to compare the sensitivity of retrograde labeling with CTb (Table 1). In two of the FG cases, the FR case, and one WGA-HRP case, retrograde labeling in the amygdala was confirmed. However, the FG deposits labeled few or no cells in the amygdala, even when retrograde labeling in the auditory brainstem was robust. In addition, labeling in the auditory cortex was also weak. In one experiment using WGA-HRP, three tracer deposits were made at sites tuned to 28–31 kHz. Despite the large deposit zone, strong anterograde labeling in the MGB (Wenstrup and Grose, 1995, their Fig. 3), and robust retrograde labeling in the brainstem (Table 1), only 19 cells (0.3%) were labeled in the amygdala. No other experiment involving WGA-HRP deposits in any frequency representation of the IC (of 12 mustached bats and eight pallid bats examined) revealed labeling of the amygdala. Particularly noteworthy was one experiment in the pallid bat in which 12 tracer deposits were placed in the lateral two-thirds of the IC (Table 1). Although retrograde labeling of the auditory brainstem was robust, no cells were labeled in either the amygdala or auditory cortex.

Discussion
Retrograde tracers placed in the IC of two bat species labeled cells in the Bmg of the amygdala. This surprising result indicates a significant, direct projection from Bmg to IC, one that could modify the processing of sound early in the ascending auditory pathway on the basis of an animal’s emotional or motivational state.

Reliability of the finding
Because an amygdalocollicular projection has not been described previously, alternative interpretations of retrograde amygdalar labeling were considered. Artifactual immunohistochemical labeling was ruled out by comparison with experiments depositing CTb into the MGB (Wenstrup and Grose, 1995). We also found no evidence of transsynaptic transport of CTb in either the anterograde or retrograde direction, consistent with previous studies in other species (Robertson and Grant, 1985; Luppi et al., 1990). Finally, although CTb labeling can result from uptake by fibers of passage (Chen and Aston-Jones, 1995), it is highly unlikely to explain the present results. Here, uptake by amygdalar fibers of passage could not occur unless bundles of axons originating from the ipsilateral and contralateral Bmg invaded nearly every part of the IC, without termination, en route to other targets. No known Bmg projection can account for this unlikely scenario (Price et al., 1987; Swanson and Petrovich, 1998; Pitkänen et al., 2000). In addition, the central amygdaloid nucleus, with demonstrated amygdalar projections to midbrain and brainstem autonomic centers near IC (Krettek and Price, 1978a; Rizvi et al., 1991), was unlabeled.

Two observations strengthen our interpretation of the result. First, we found retrograde labeling in Bmg after IC injections of other tracers, although fewer amygdalar cells were labeled. The greater sensitivity of retrograde labeling in CTb experiments agrees closely with previous studies (Luppi et al., 1990, 1995; Peyron et al., 1998; Wenstrup et al., 1999). Second, the superior
sensitivity of retrograde labeling by CTb was similarly evident in the auditory corticocollicular projection to the ICC. We therefore conclude that retrograde labeling in the Bmg after CTb deposits in the IC demonstrates a direct projection of Bmg neurons onto neurons of the IC, including the ICC.

Forebrain projections to the IC

In both species, these experiments revealed two forebrain projections to the IC: from Bmg and auditory cortex (Fig. 8). Because corticocollicular projections have been described previously [in the mustached bat (Fitzpatrick et al., 1998)] [in other species (Huffman and Henson, 1990; Herbert et al., 1991; Winer et al., 1998)], we compare the expected corticocollicular projection with the unexpected amygdalocollicular projection.

Auditory cortical projections from layer V pyramidal cells were strongest to dorsomedial regions of the IC and weakest to ventrolateral regions nearest the lateral lemniscus. The corticocollicular projection includes the ICC, thus supporting results by Saldanha et al. (1996) showing a significant cortico-ICC projection in rats. These results support the existence of a direct, excitatory (glutamatergic) projection to the ICC that may have excitatory or, via interneurons, inhibitory effects. Indeed, auditory cortical stimulation can evoke either inhibitory or excitatory interactions among IC neurons (Mitani et al., 1983; Syka and Popelar, 1984; Sun et al., 1989), and the sign of the interaction is critically dependent on the match between cortical and collicular response properties (Yan and Suga, 1996, 1999; Ma and Suga, 2001; Yan and Ehret, 2001). The specificity of these physiological effects may reflect the topographic specificity of the corticocollicular projection (Saldanha et al., 1996).

The amygdalocollicular projection is mediated by cells in one subdivision, the Bmg. Because of the size and large numbers of labeled cells, it is probable that principal cells are the main contributor to this projection. Like the cortical projection neurons, Bmg principal neurons are pyramidal cells (McDonald, 1982; Millhouse and de Olmos, 1983), immunopositive for excitatory amino acids (McDonald, 1996), and likely to provide direct, excitatory effects, as well as inhibitory effects, mediated through collicular interneurons.

Bmg neurons throughout its caudorostral extent project to the IC. Furthermore, these neurons may terminate throughout much of the IC, because Bmg seems to be similarly labeled by IC deposits in lower- and higher-frequency representations and in

Figure 5. Caudal-to-rostral pattern of retrograde labeling in Bmg. Retrograde labeling is expressed as the percentage of total labeling in increments of the caudal-to-rostral extent of the entire basal nucleus. A, Labeling in the mustached bat on the ipsilateral (IPSI) and contralateral (CONTRA) sides. B, Labeling in the pallid bat. Black arrowheads indicate the caudal and rostral borders of the Bmg as discussed in Results (lower tip indicates average border across animals; top, lateral tips indicate range of borders).
medial and lateral locations. This contrasts with the corticocollicular projection, which terminates in more restricted regions of IC (Saldana et al., 1996). Thus, the amygdalocollicular pathway may be composed of a more limited population of neurons than the cortical projection, with each amygdalar neuron projecting to more widespread parts of the IC. Anterograde tracing experiments are needed to confirm this view. Its functional consequence is that the effects of amygdalar activation on the IC may be more global than corticocollicular effects.

Figure 6. Retrograde labeling in ipsilateral auditory cortex after CTb tracer deposits in the IC. Roman numerals indicate cortical layers. A, Labeling in a mustached bat (Fig. 2A,B, case 5). Retrograde transport to the amygdala after the ICC deposit is shown in Figures 3A and 4A. B, Labeling in a mustached bat (Fig. 2A, case 2). C, Labeling in a pallid bat (Fig. 2C,D, case 20). Retrograde transport to the amygdala after the ICC deposit is shown in Figures 3B and 4B. D, Labeling in a pallid bat (Fig. 2C, case 13) after four CTb deposits in regions tuned near 15 kHz. Protocol for all photomicrographs was as follows (plan apochromat): NA 0.16.

Figure 7. Comparison of retrograde labeling in brainstem, auditory cortex, and amygdala after CTb deposits in the IC of mustached bats and pallid bats. The relative distribution of label in the two species is nearly identical, despite wide variation among individual cases. Columns indicate average percentage of labeling in the three brain regions; vertical lines indicate the range of values.

Figure 8. Summary of the neural connections demonstrated in this article. Both the auditory cortex and basal nucleus of the amygdala project to the IC bilaterally. The strongest projection, in terms of the number of projection neurons, is the ipsilateral auditory cortex, followed in descending order by the ipsilateral Bmg, contralateral Bmg, and contralateral auditory cortex.
or cortex may result from projections of Bmg onto collicular cells, which in turn project onto thalamic cells. Interestingly, amygdalar modification of cortical responses would also influence the IC via the corticocollicular pathway. Amygdalar modulation may therefore interact with several levels of the auditory system (Fig. 9).

The role of the amygdalocollicular projection is speculative at this point; several possibilities are suggested. The first would be generally applicable if this pathway were present in other species: that emotional memories, applied to the processing of incoming signals at the IC, could shift the focus of processing on the basis of previous experience with these signals. The present results suggest that directed attention to attractive or aversive signals could be initiated at the midbrain level.

Other possibilities, although perhaps best documented in bats, may apply to other species with specialized IC processing. For example, the amygdalocollicular projection may modulate the relative activity among several parallel pathways with dedicated functions. Pallid bats use high-frequency echolocation channels for general orientation and low-frequency passive listening for prey detection and localization (Bell, 1982; Fuzessery et al., 1993). Different regions of the IC exhibit specializations for processing signals associated with these two functions (Fuzessery, 1994, 1997). Both receive projections from the amygdala, and their activity may be modulated in a context-dependent manner.

Input from the amygdala may also bias auditory responses within a single processing channel that serves more than one function. In the mustached bat, the frequency range of biosonar signals overlaps extensively with the frequency range of social vocalizations (Kanwals et al., 1994). The same specialized IC neurons may analyze both types of vocal signals (Portfors and Wenstrup, 2001b), and this is clearly the case in auditory cortex (Ohlemiller et al., 1996). Similarly, in the pallid bat, pathways serving echolocation and passive listening merge in a population of cortical neurons, with each channel imposing its response properties onto single cortical neurons (Razak et al., 1999). These neurons can thus participate in either function. Perhaps the amygdala alters the responsiveness of collicular neurons, and consequently cortical neurons, to one or the other of these types of stimuli on the basis of an animal’s behavioral–emotional state. Both forms of the modulation by the amygdalocollicular projection could function in a wide range of mammalian species, particularly those that depend on multiple, highly developed acoustic behaviors, including vocal signaling in social communication or sonar, sound localization, and prey detection.

Why the amygdala intervenes in auditory processing at the midbrain level remains unclear, given that the auditory cortex is capable of modulating its own input and is in turn modulated by the amygdala. However, it may be significant that many forms of response selectivity for species-specific sounds originate in the IC (Fuzessery and Hall, 1996, 1999; Portfors and Wenstrup, 2001a; Wenstrup and Leroy, 2001). Perhaps the associative emotional–appetitive content from the amygdala plays a role in their creation.

**REFERENCES**


Amorapanth P, LeDoux JE, Nader K (2000) Different lateral amygdala...
outputs mediate reactions and actions elicited by a fear-arousing stim-

dala lesions on early and late plastic components of auditory cortex

Bell GP (1982) Behavioral and ecological aspects of gleaning by the
deleterious insect predator, Antennus pallidus. (Chiroptera: Vespertili-

Ben-Ari Y, Zigmond RE, Shute CD, Lewis PR (1977) Regional distribu-
tion of choline acetyltransferase and acetylcholinesterase within the

Brown P (1976) Vocal communication in the pallid bat, Antrozous palli-

Cahill L, McGaugh JL (1998) Mechanisms of emotional arousal and

Campeau S, Davis M (1995) Involvement of subcortical and cortical
afferents to the lateral nucleus of the amygdala in fear conditioning
measured with fear-potentiated startle in rats trained concurrently with

Casseday JH, Erlich D, Covey DE (1994) Neural tuning for sound du-
rine: role of inhibition mechanisms in the inferior colliculus. Science
264:847–850.

Chen S, Aston-Jones G (1995) Evidence that cholinergic TBA subunit
(CTB) can be avidly taken up and transported by fibers of passage.
Brain Res 674:107–111.

Clugnet MC, LeDouxF (1990) Synaptic plasticity in fear conditioning:
circuitry underlying the LTP in the lateral nucleus of the amygdala in
response to the stimulation of the medial geniculate body. J Neurosci
10:2818–2828.


Fuzessery ZM (1994) Response selectivity for multiple dimensions of
frequency sweeps in the pallid bat inferior colliculus. J Neurophysiol
72:1061–1079.

Fuzessery ZM (1997) Acute sensitivity to interaural time differences in
the inferior colliculus of a bat that relies on passive sound localization.


Fuzessery ZM, Hall JC (1996) Role of GABA in shaping frequency
tuning and creating FM sweep selectivity in the inferior colliculus.

Fuzessery ZM, Hall JC (1998) Sound direction selectivity in the pallid

sound localization of prey by the pallid bat (Antrozous p. pallidus).

Gloor P (1972) Temporal lobe epilepsy: its possible contribution to the
telencephalon of the bat. I. The non-cortical synapses in the medial

Hurley LM, Pollak GD (1999) Cholinergic projections from the basal

LeDoux JE, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ (1988) Different projections of
the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral

McDonald AJ, Jackson TR (1987) Amygdaloid connections with poste-

LeDoux JE, Price Jl (1977b) Projections from the amygdaloid complex
to adjacent olfactory cortex and to the subiculum in the rat and cat.

LeDoux JE, Price Jl (1978a) Amygdaloid projections to subcortical
structures within the basal forebrain and brainstem in the rat and cat.


LeDouxF, Sakaguchi A, Reis DJ (1984) Subcortical efferent projec-
tions of the medial geniculate nucleus mediate emotional responses

LeDouxF, Ruggiero DA, Reis DJ (1985) Projections to the subcortical
forebrain from anatomically defined regions of the medial geniculate

LeDouxF, Iwata J, Cicchetti P, Reis DJ (1988) Different projections of
the central amygdaloid nucleus mediate autonomic and behavioral

connections of axons from superior colliculus with identified thalamo-
averticulocortical projection neurons in the rat: possible substrates of

Luppi PH, Fort P, Jouvet M (1990) Iontophoretic application of uncon-
jugated cholera toxin B subunit (CTB) combined with immunohisto-
chemistry of neurochemical substances: a method for transmitter iden-

Afferent projections to the rat locus coeruleus demonstrated by retro-
grade and anterograde tracers with cholera-toxin B subunit and Phaseo-

Ma X, Suga N (2001) Corticofugal modulation of duration-tuned neu-
rons in the midbrain auditory nucleus in bats. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA
98:14060–14065.

Maren S, Yap SA, Goosens KA (2001) The amygdala is essential for the
development of neuronal plasticity in the medial geniculate nucleus

projection from the basal nucleus of the amygdala to the inferior

McDonald AJ (1982) Neurons of the lateral and basolateral amygdaloid

McDonald AJ (1996) Glutamate and aspartate immunoreactive neurons
of the basolateral amygdala: projection patterns and axonal branchings and


McDonald AJ, Jackson TR (1987) Amygdaloid connections with poste-
rior insular and temporal cortical areas in the rat. J Comp Neurol
262:59–77.

Millhouse OE, de Olmos J (1983) Neuronal configurations in lateral

Mitali A, Shimokouchi M, Nomura S (1983) Effects of stimulation of the
primary auditory cortex upon colliculogeniculate neurons in the infer-

Mittmann DH, Wenstrup JJ (1995) Combination-sensitive neurons in the

Cholinergic projections from the basal forebrain of rat to the amygdala.
Neurosci 2:513–520.

Novick A (1963) Orientation in neotropical bats. II. Phyllostomatidae

Ohlemiller KK, Wanlass JS, Suga N (1996) Facilitatory responses to
species-specific calls in cortical FM-FM neurons of the mustached bat.
NeuroReport 7:1749–1755.

O’Neill WE, Frisina RD, Goeder DM (1989) Functional organization of
monaurally bat inferior colliculus. I. Representation of FM frequency
acids important for target ranging revealed by 14C-2-deoxyglucose

Park TJ, Pollak GD (1994) Azimuthal receptive fields are shaped by
FM-FM inhibition in the inferior colliculus of the mustache bat.

 Peyron C, Petit JM, Jouvet M, Luppi P (1998) Forebrain afferents to the
rat dorsal tapetum nucleus demonstrated by retrograde and anterograde

 Pitkanen A, Savander V, LeDouxF (1997) Organization of intra-


