		Score	10 - Excellent	7 – Average	4 - Minimal	0 - Unsatisfactory
Results	Correctness		The project results are completely correct.	The project results are mostly correct.	The project results are incorrect in a few areas.	The project results are incorrect in multiple areas.
	Completeness		All parts of the results are present and well presented.	All parts of the results are present but are not well presented (e.g., poor or missing figures or tables).	Minor parts of results are missing.	Major parts of results are missing.
	Interpretation		Interpretation of results is excellent and provides an approriate level of critical insight.	Interpretation of results is adequate and provides a moderate level of critical insight.	Interpretation of results is minimal or lacking critical insight.	Little or no interpretation of results.
Method	Algorithms		Application of algorithms shows originality and thoughtful application, with no coding errors.	Application of algorithms is appropriate, with no coding errors.	Application of algorithms is basic, and may have minor coding errors.	Incorrect algorithms or errors in code.
	Efficiency		Code shows sophisticated and/or original techniques to maximize efficiency.	Code shows a significant attempt to use efficient techniques.	Code shows a moderate attempt to use efficient techniques.	Code shows little or no attempt to use efficient techniques.
	Clarity		Code includes very helpful comments and is logically organized.	Code is adequately commented and is logically organized.	Code is poorly commented and is disorganized.	Use of obfuscated, poorly designed code.
Report	Content		The content is very well formulated, shows impressive insight or originality, and is very well organized.	The content is well formulated, somewhat insightful, and is well organized.	The content is only moderately insightful and not well organized.	The content is minimal, missing information, and/or is poorly organized.
	Style		The paper is clear, concise, and consistent. It is easily understandable and a pleasure to read.	The paper is understandable, but could be improved.	Multiple sections of the paper are difficult to read/understand.	The entire paper is difficult to read/understand.
	Mechanics		The writing is near perfect with almost no grammar or spelling errors.	Minor grammar or spelling errors are present, but are not too distracting. Content is clear.	Some grammar or spelling errors are significant and detract from the meaning. Requires closer editing.	Pervasive grammar or spelling errors distort meaning and make reading difficult.
	Formatting		Required formatting guidelines are correctly followed.	There are minor formatting errors present in the paper	There are major formatting errors present in the paper.	Required formatting guidelines are not being followed.

Project Paper Scoring Rubric

Total:

0.00 out of a possible 100 points maximum

Qualitative points (max of 10)

0.00 Final score