Central Student Technology Committee (CSTC)
Meeting: Thursday – April 15, 2010
Location: ITC 106

Attendees: Maggie Deming, Jesse Ballard, Nick Anderson, Steve Boss, Michael Doherty
KenDriese, Kyle Ferris, Kaitlynn Glover, Casey Wood, Cheryl Hilman, Patrick McDowell, Warrie
Means, John Nutter, Reid Olson, Dee Pridgen, Bret Sorensen

1. **Matt Haigler – ASUW President**

    Matt addressed the committee to let them know that he vetoed the resolution that the ASUW
    senate passed voicing support for the use of student computer fees to fund instructional
    technology. The ASUW senate did not take up the measure to over-turn his veto, so there was
    no official ASUW support for the change in the operating guidelines.

    Matt had to leave for class but after he departed additional discussion took place on the policy.
    Many on the committee voiced their support for what Matt said but also indicated that there
    needs to be funding for instructional technology. Discussion took place on the role of the
    excellence in education funds that are part of the planned tuition increase. While President
    Buchanan has indicated that the funds could be used for instructional technology, not firm
    funding amount or explanation on the extent of the available funding has been provided.   The
    general consensus of the committee is that by providing funding now it can allow help those
    areas in desperate need, but should not be seen as an indicator that long term funding will be
    provided.

    John Nutter voiced his agreement with Matt and expressed his concerns with student fees being
    used to fund instructional technology.

    Michael Doherty expressed concern that the change in the operating guidelines did not clearly
    indicate that student computer fees could be used to fund instructional technology.

    Ken Driese indicated that the AS committee allocated funds for instructional technology this
    year, but in doing so expressed their desire to see an alternate funding source identified for long
    term funding of instructional technology. The AS college committee made it very clear in their
    meeting minutes that the funding was for one time only and did not indicate on-going support.

    In reviewing the college budget proposals and listening to the committee members, the only
    colleges currently considering using student computer fees for instructional technology are A&S
    and the College of Ag.

    After further discussion the committee voice agreement to revisit the issue again next year when
    more information regarding the excellence in education fund is available. If the excellence in
    education money does provide for instructional technology support, the CSTC will look at
    removing the changes made to the operating guidelines.

2. Union Email Kiosks

Maggie explained that the existing kiosks are 7 years old and need to be replaced. Jesse indicated that the kiosks are showing their age with regards to performance speed and difficulty in finding spare parts.

John Nutter moved to spend up to $11,000 replaced 11 email kiosks in the student union
Warrie Means seconded

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

3. LA Staffing for FY 2011

Maggie explained the committee provides staffing for BS 37 and Coe library 24 hour staffing. The amount being requested this year is the same as requested for FY10.

Cheryl Hilman moved to approve $57,640 for LA Staffing
Nick Anderson Seconded the motion

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

4. CSTC Maintenance and Supplies for FY 2011

Maggie explained that the cost of printing supplies increase the past year so it is recommended to increase the allocation from $16,000 to $17,000 for FY 2011.

Cheryl Hilman moved to approve $17,000
Ken Driese seconded the motion

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

5. Expand the Lab antivirus Server resources (VMWare instance)

Maggie explained that recently the TSS group began to review what resources would be needed to support an upgrade to the UWStudent lab system antivirus server and additional computers that will be coming online over the summer. In order to support the additional computers and the upgrade, the resources in the VMWare instance need to be increased.

Maggie approved $1,140 for the increases so they could begin right away. The information is being provided to the committee so they are aware of the change. Increased 1 CPU, 3 GIG RAM and 10 GB of HD space

6. Status Reports

The committee was provided an opportunity to review the college budget status reports.

Maggie indicated that the allocation for Business is actually $58,000

Warrie provided an update on the Wiring upgrades listed in the AG budget. Actual cost was $16,540, but that also included a number of other renovations that occurred in the room.

7. Projected budgets

AG Budget report

Maggie clarified that the allocation should actually be $48,000 a year

Dee asked what the plan was to do with the surplus. Warrie explained that the college currently brings in more money than they expend. One area that both the students and the faculty want to fund is instructional technology. Maggie suggested that they explore doing a call for proposals, not only to faculty/staff but also students.

John Nutter moved to approve the AG student fee budget
Ken Driese seconded

Approved: all but one
Opposed: none
Abstain: Cheryl Hilman

AS Budget report

Ken provided a quick overview of the report. Ken indicated the funds being expended on instructional technology were debated at great length by the AS committee.

Warrie Means moved to approve the AS budget
Patrick McDowell seconded the motion

Approved: all but two
Opposed: John Nutter
Abstain: Cheryl Hilman

BU Budget report

Maggie indicated that the yearly allocation should be $58,000

Questions were raised regarding what would happen to the remaining surplus. Discussion took place regarding the new building coming online and how that will provide BU with a number of options. It was recommended that a call for proposal be issued.

Warrie moved to approve the BU budget report
John Nutter seconded

Approved: all but one
Opposed: None
Abstain: Cheryl Hilman

College of HS budget report

Questions were raised regarding the computers in the cycle and the roll over. Jesse indicated he thought that the budget present was for replacements that will occur in the summer of 2011 vs. what will occur in the summer of 2010. Jesse and Maggie will visit with Jennifer Earls to obtain clarification.

The report was tabled awaiting confirmation on replacements and surplus.

College of Law budget report

Dee provided a quick explanation of the planned expenditures. No questions were raised.

Ken Driese moved to approve the LS budget
John Nutter seconded

Approved: all but one
Opposed: None
Abstain: Cheryl Hilman

SA Budget

John explained that next year is mostly the replacement of computers in a standard rotation.

Tammy Browning moved to approve the SA Budget
Warrie Means seconded the motion

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

UDSS Budget

No questions were raised

Ken Driese moved to approve the UDSS Budget
Mike Doherty seconded

Approved: all
Opposed: none
Abstain: none

Undeclared

No questions were raised.

Nick Anderson moved to approve the undeclared budget
Warrie Means seconded

Approved: all but one
Opposed: none
Abstain: Cheryl Hilman

Maggie adjourned the meeting at 5:00pm