

Adult Students' World Language, Literacy, and Culture Learning in a Nonformal Setting: SOTL Engagement



Dilnoza F. Khasilova, Global Engagement Office, University of Wyoming

Introduction

The purpose of this qualitative study was to examine seven adult students' learning about world languages, literacies, and cultures in the World Language and Culture Program (WLCP).

The following research questions guided this study: 1) What did adult students learn in the WLCP? 2) How did adult students learn in the WLCP?

Materials and methods

This study was conducted in a large western land-grant university during the spring semester of 2019.

Data sources included 21 interviews, 14 observations with 14 field notes, and 14 artifacts. Data were collected over four months (12 weeks, 2 days per week, an hour per class) during the spring semester of 2019. I employed thematic analysis to make sense of the data and construct themes (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016).

Results

The findings revealed two themes related to the research questions:

What students learned?	How students learned?		
 Everyday language and literacy practices (reading, writing, listening, and speaking 	 Independent practice Peer collaboration 		
within contextualized situations merging the IAPI curriculum model) O Aspects of cultural practices (e.g., geography, religion, traditions)	o Instructional scaffolding		

Everyday Language and Literacy Practices									
Reading		Speaking			Writing				
0	letters in words	0	basic phrases	0	basic sentences				
0	words in basic sentences	0	words to describe actions or	0	short essays				
	and expressions		emotions						
0	phrases in expressions	0	conversational phrases						
4		0	colloquial expressions						

	How Participants Learned								
Individual Practice			Meaningful Interactions with Peer and						
			Instructional Scaffolding						
0	read verbalized phrases,	0	collaborated (e.g., pair-share activities,						
0	rehearsed verb conjugations,		learning from peers)						
0	wrote words, phrases, sentences, essays	0	received oral and written feedback,						
0	conducted grammar translations	0	received scaffolded instruction						
0	created an oral dialogue within a		(demonstrations and examples)						
	situational context								

Conclusions

The seven adult students in this study reported that the WLCP played an influential and indispensable role in learning world languages, literacies, and cultures because the target languages were learned from native speakers.

This study sheds light on the central process of adult students' learning. It reveals that the WLCP involved experiential and contextualized learning under the guidance of natives peaking teachers who brought real-life experiences to the classroom (Paradise & Rogoff, 2009). Rogoff (2014) suggests that students learn best within meaningful contexts where they are able to self-regulate their learning and work closely with their peers.

Further research will capture quantitively experiences and perspectives of participants from different nonformal settings.

Conceptual Framework

Drawing on the Deep Approach conceptual lens, I used Tochon's Interpret Analyze Present Interact (IAPI) curriculum model as a template to identify meaningful, personalized, and engaged learning. Specifically, this study's focus was on IAPI's two integrative components crucial in developing language and literacy proficiency, ACCESS and VOICE.

Acknowledgments

Graduate Education Office and College of Education of the University of Wyoming, and

World Language and Culture Program of the University of Wyoming

Literature cited

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (4th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. Paradise, R., & Rogoff, B. (2009). Side by side: Learning by observing and pitching in. Ethos, 37(1), 102-138.

Rogoff, B. (2014). Learning by observing and pitching in to family and community endeavors: An orientation. Human Development, 57(2), 69-81.