HAUB SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project

Winter 2017-18 Update

D.E.E.R. PROJECT
DEER-ELK ECOLOGY RESEARCH

MONTEITH SHOP
HAUB SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES
WYOMING COOPERATIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RESEARCH UNIT

Z&P

UW UNIVERSITY OF WYOMING

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WYOMING RANGE MULE DEER PROJECT

Project Background

In recent decades, mule deer abundance throughout the West has struggled to reach historic numbers, and Wyoming is no exception to the nearly ubiquitous trend of population declines. In response to concerns of mule deer populations in Wyoming, in 2007, the Wyoming Game and Fish Commission adopted the *Wyoming Mule Deer Initiative* (MDI) with the intent to develop individual management plans for key populations. Of particular concern was the Wyoming Range mule deer population in western Wyoming—one of the largest mule deer herds in the state and a premier destination for mule deer hunting in the country. The Wyoming Range mule deer population has undergone dynamic changes in recent decades from a population high of >50,000 in the late 1980s, to a sustained population of ~30,000 during much of the last decade (Fig. 1). Consequently, the Wyoming Range mule deer population was identified as a top priority for the development of a management plan according to the MDI. The first of the population-specific management plans, the *Wyoming Range Mule Deer Initiative* (WRMDI), was finalized in 2011 following a collaborative public input process. To direct development of an effective management plan, it was recognized by the Mule Deer Working Group (2007) that the *"Success and implementation of these plans will depend upon our ability to identify limiting factors to mule deer populations and their habitats"*. Accordingly, the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project was initiated 2013 to address the need for research in identifying the factors that regulate the Wyoming Range mule deer population.



Figure 1. Estimated population size of the Wyoming Range mule deer herd relative to herd unit objective, 1976-2010.

The overarching goal of the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project is to investigate the nutritional relationships among habitat conditions, climate, and behavior to understand how these factors interact to regulate population performance. We initiated the project in March 2013 with the capture of 70 adult, female mule deer on two discrete winter ranges for migratory, Wyoming Range mule deer (Fig. 2). In summer 2015, we initiated Phase II of the Wyoming Range Mule

Deer Project that focuses on survival and cause-specific mortality of neonate mule deer. Since the initiation of the project, we have tracked and monitored the survival, behaviors, reproduction, and habitat conditions of 202 adult female and 195 juvenile mule deer of the Wyoming Range. This update highlights some of our many discoveries on mule deer ecology since the initiation of the project.



Figure 2. Winter and summer home ranges (based on 95% Kernel Utilization Distribution of GPS collar data) as well as migration movements of Wyoming Range mule deer.

A Nutritional Ecology Framework: Linking the Individual to the Population

Using a nutritional ecology framework, we aim to evaluate how conditions of all seasonal ranges mule deer encounter throughout the year—ranges used during summer, winter, and migration—affect individual animals. Using this unique approach, we can develop a comprehensive understanding of how the connections individual mule deer have with their environments influences population dynamics.

Mule Deer Capture

Since March 2013, we have captured and recaptured 202 adult, female mule deer. Upon each capture, in addition to fitting each animal with a GPS collar, we collect a suite of data on individual animals including age, nutritional condition, morphometry, and pregnancy. Animals are recaptured each spring (in March) and autumn (in December) to monitor longitudinal changes in nutritional condition and reproduction. In doing this, we can link various life-history characteristics with behaviors and habitat conditions of individual animals.

Nutritional Condition

At each capture event, we use ultrasonography to measure fat reserves (i.e., % body fat). By recapturing collared mule deer and measuring body fat each autumn and spring, we are able to track changes in nutritional condition between summer and winter seasons.

Although most animals lost fat in the winter and gained fat in the summer, the rate at which fat reserves increased or decreased varied widely among individual animals (Fig. 3). A suite of factors can influence fat dynamics between winter and summer seasons, but availability of food on seasonal ranges and number of fawns a female raises have the greatest effect on fat dynamics.



Figure 3. Average % body fat of adult, female mule deer on North (near Big Piney, WY) and South (near Cokeville and Evanston, WY) winter ranges for Wyoming Range mule deer.

Reproduction



Figure 4. Fetal rates (average number of fetuses per pregnant animal) on North (near Big Piney, WY) and South (near Cokeville and Evanston, WY) winter ranges for Wyoming Range mule deer in 2013-2018.



Figure 5. Recruitment rates on North (near Big Piney, WY) and South (near Cokeville and Evanston, WY) winter ranges for Wyoming Range mule deer in 2013-2017.

Reproductive success of individual animals greatly influences population dynamics; therefore, we closely monitor pregnancy and recruitment of young for each of our study animals. We use ultrasonography to monitor pregnancy rates of our study animals during spring capture events. Each autumn, as animals arrive to winter range, we evaluate fall recruitment using on-the-ground observations of the number of fawns at heel of our collared adults.

Pregnancy rates among mule deer of the Wyoming Range were typically high and ranged between 90-99%. Furthermore, most animals were pregnant with twins each year resulting in relatively high fetal rates (average number of fetuses per pregnant animal was 1.71 ± 0.03 across years; Fig. 4). Although fetal rates tended to be high, recruitment of young tended to be low. Since 2013, approximately half of the potential fawns born in early summer survived to autumn, and fall recruitment averaged 0.83 ± 0.05 fawns per collared female for Wyoming Range mule deer 2013-2016 but dropped to 0.51 ± 0.11 in 2017, following severe winter conditions of 2016/2017 (Fig. 5).

Disentangling the Relative Role of Predation, Habitat, Climate, and Disease on Fawn Survival

Fawn Capture

In March 2015, we initiated Phase II of the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project by recapturing collared deer and deploying a vaginal implant transmitter (VIT) in pregnant females. VITs were used to indicate where and when birth occurred. Once birth events were identified, we captured and collared fawns born to our collared females as well as fawns that were found opportunistically throughout the Wyoming Range. Since 2015, we have successfully tracked 194 fawns and have been continually monitoring their survival.

	2015	2016	2017
Number of Fawns Tracked	58	70	67
Median Birthdate	June 10	June 13	June 17
Summer Mortality	45%	56%	52%
Winter Mortality	10%	44%	7%
Total Mortality	55%	100%	NA

Cause-Specific Mortality of Fawns

To evaluate cause-specific mortality of fawns, we tracked daily survival of all fawns captured 2015 – 2017. When a mortality was detected, we immediately investigated the event to ensure an accurate assessment of the cause of mortality. There was a breadth of various causes for fawn mortality including predation, disease, malnutrition, drowning, hypothermia, vehicle-collision, and just being caught in vegetation. The proportion of fawns that died because of the aforementioned causes varied from year to year (Fig. 7).



Figure 7. The relative occurrences of various causes of mortality for mule deer fawns of the Wyoming Range in 2015-2017. Asterisks indicate lab results from 2017 that are still pending.

In 2015, disease was the leading cause of death and accounted for 28% of all mortalities. The most prevalent disease adenovirus hemorrhagic disease (AHD). AHD is a viral disease that can cause internal hemorrhaging and pulmonary edema. Although AHD was detected in mule deer populations before, it was not previously known to be a major mortality factor in Wyoming. Nevertheless, the discovery of AHD in the Wyoming Range mule deer population has been motivation for further research into the epidemiology of AHD. We are still awaiting necropsy results from the Wyoming State Vet Lab from samples collected from fawn mortalities in 2017; therefore, the relative influence of various causes of mortality—specifically, disease and malnutrition—on fawn mortality is still pending. Regardless, 26% of mortalities in 2017 were because fawns were stillborn. Currently, this ties with predation as the leading cause of death for fawns in 2017.

Habitat and Maternal Conditions

The condition of a female and the habitat conditions she experiences in the summer may be very important in predicting and understanding fawn survival—especially in understanding the influence of malnutrition and disease on fawn survival. Therefore, we are coupling data on summer habitat conditions with information on maternal condition (i.e., nutritional condition) to evaluate how it influences fawn survival.



Figure 8. The top ten plant genera within diets (according to the average % of diets comprised of each plant genera) of Wyoming Range mule deer. Diet composition was evaluated in June, July, and August of 2013 and 2014.

Since 2013, we have evaluated the quality and availability of plants within the diets of Wyoming Range mule deer during summer. To assess mule deer diets, we collected fecal samples from summer home ranges of collared deer and used microhistology to identify plant species within their diets (Fig. 8) in summer 2013 and 2014. Based on frequency of plants within mule deer diets, we then collected plant clippings that we analyzed for quality (e.g., crude protein and digestibility). We are now coupling data on diet quality with forage availability by quantifying the abundance of key forage species at known locations of collared mule deer throughout the summer.



Effects of Winter Severity on Survival and Reproduction

Adult Winter Survival

Winter of 2016/2017 proved to be a tough on mule deer. Conditions on winter ranges for Wyoming Range mule deer were severe with snowpack levels exceeding 200% and numerous days of sub-zero weather. These harsh winter conditions strongly affected winter survival and only 63% of our collared adults survived from November until summer 2017 (compared with >90% in years past). Older animals and animals that entered winter in poor condition were more susceptible to succumbing to winter exposure (Fig. 9).



Figure 9. The effects of age (a) and December body fat (IFBFat %; b) on the probability of survival overwinter. Probability of survival decreased as animals aged and as the % body fat (IFBFat %) in December decreased.

Fawn Winter Survival

Winter conditions tend to have the greatest effect on survival of fawns, and this winter was no exception. We observed 100% mortality of the fawns we collared in summer 2016 (44% died overwinter). Mortality rates of that caliber can have substantial repercussions on population dynamics because the majority of an entire cohort of deer is gone. Although these numbers are staggering, winter die-offs, as the one observed this winter, do occasionally occur and populations do eventually rebound. We have now found ourselves with a unique opportunity to evaluate how mule deer populations rebound from harsh winters.

Nutritional Condition

Nutritional condition in March 2017, measured as % body fat, was the lowest we have observed in our research (2.3% in 2017 compared with 4.0–5.3% in 2013–2016; Fig. 10). Although it is rare to see animals in this poor of condition, it was surely a product of deep snow restricting access to forage and heightened energy expenditures associated with locomotion in deep snow and thermoregulation in plummeting temperatures.



Figure 10. Average % body fat of adult, female mule deer on North (near Big Piney,WY) and South (near Cokeville and Evanston, WY) winter ranges for Wyoming Range mule deer in March 2013 – March 2017. Following the sever winter conditions of 2017, animals were in the worst nutritional condition recorded since the beginning of our research in 2013.



Figure 11. Average fetal eye diameter measured in March of each year. Fetal eye diameter was significantly smaller in March 2017 compared with any other year.

Pregnancy

Despite extremely poor nutritional condition of animals in March 2017, fetal rates among winter ranges were comparable to the preceding 4 years (Fig. 4) and pregnancy rates remained high. Interestingly, average eye diameter of fetuses was lower in March 2017 (14.0 ± 0.18) than in previous years (15.3 ± 0.11; Fig. 11). Fetal eye diameter is a measure of fetal development and is often used to estimate the timing of birth.

Carryover Effects

Newborn fawns caught in 2017 were significantly lighter than newborn fawns caught in previous years (Fig. 12). This was of little surprise because of the overall poor nutritional condition of pregnant females and the smaller eye diameter of fetuses measured in March 2017. With this information, we are now in a position to better evaluate the influence of birth weight and maternal condition on summer survival of fawns.



Figure 12. Average weight of fawns captured <48hours from birth. Fawns were significantly lighter in 2017 compared with the previous two years.

Population Benefits of Reduced Deer Density

Following the severe winter of 2016/2017, the Wyoming Range mule deer population had found itself in an interesting place. The high adult morality and depressed reproduction in the summer following undoubtedly resulted in decreased abundance of deer in the Wyoming Range. The silver lining to the decrease in the population is that population growth is often higher when abundance is low (Fig. 13). This is because deer populations are relieved from competition with other deer.



Figure 13. The relationship between population growth (λ) and estimated population abundance of Wyoming Range mule deer. As population abundance decreases, the growth rate (λ) of that population increases.



Figure 14. The relationship between population size and nutritional condition of ungulate populations. As population size increases and approaches carrying capacity (*K*), the overall nutritional condition of that population decreases (Kie et al. 2003).

As deer density decreases, per capita food increases. Consequently, populations at low abundance, relative to the carrying capacity (*K*) of their landscape, tend to be in overall better nutritional condition because each individual has access to more food (Fig. 14). Conversely, deer populations that are at or near carrying capacity tend to be in overall worse nutritional condition because deer are competing with other deer for food. Some of these trends were reflected in our longitudinal data of trends in fat dynamics since 2013, and deer were in the greatest nutritional condition we had observed in March 2017 (Fig. 15).



Figure 15. Average % body fat of adult, female Wyoming Range mule deer in March 2013 – March 2017. Following the population decline after the severe winter conditions of 2016/2017, animals were in the best nutritional condition recorded since our research began in March 2013. Essentially, the Wyoming Range mule deer population went from the worst nutritional condition to the best nutritional condition over a summer.

The nutritional condition of mom (i.e., maternal condition) can have life-long effects on her offspring. Previous research by Dr. Monteith (Monteith et al. 2009, *Journal of Mammalogy*) has shown that antler size of male deer is influenced more by maternal condition than genetics. Dr. Monteith, along with colleagues, observed that male fawns born to mothers in good maternal condition grew to be larger deer that exceeded the size of their fathers. Considering these research findings, Wyoming Range mule deer that can exploit their high nutritional condition (relative to previous years) observed in December 2017 may be better poised in allocating stored fat to fetal development and provisioning of young that are born in spring/early summer 2018. The summer of 2018 will be telling for the propensity for population growth and potential for large male deer in years to come.

A Positive Outlook for the Future

Overall survival throughout winter 2017/2018 was high (100% of collared adults and 93% of collared fawns survived), and in March 2018, we recaptured all surviving adult deer and their female offspring. Average % body fat in March 2018 was slightly higher than the overall average over the 6 years of our research (average of 5.46 ± 0.20% in March 2018 compared with overall study average of 4.46 ± 0.10% in March 2013-2018; Figure 3). Also, as would be expected for this population of mule deer, pregnancy rates and fetal rates were comparable to previous observations—94% of animals were pregnant and most were pregnant with twins (fetal rate was 1.68 ± 0.07, which is similar to the average throughout the study; figure 4).



Figure 16. Average fetal eye diameter (mm) measured in March 2013-2018. Fetal eye diameter was significantly higher in 2018

Although nutritional condition and pregnancy in March 2018 were not significantly greater than what has been observed previously, we did observe notable differences in investment in reproduction throughout winter 2017/2018. More specifically, fetuses were significantly larger in March 2018 than in previous years (fetal eye diameter of 17.08 ± 0.16mm compared with a study average of 15.40 ± 0.09; figure 16), and fetuses were 22% larger in March 2018 than in March 2017. This increased investment in fetal development may be a direct result from the high fat stores that Wyoming Range mule deer had coming into the winter. We are excited to see how such investment in fetal development influences timing of birth and the size of young born in May and June.

Spring Migration Ecology of Mule Deer

At the largest spatial scale, migration is recognized as a strategy that allows migrants to exploit high-quality resources available on one seasonal range, while avoiding resource deficiencies on the other. Much less is known, however, about the fine scale movement behaviors that animals make during migration. This portion of the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project aims to understand the importance of food resources available during migration, and how the habitat quality of migratory routes influences survival and reproduction of migratory mule deer in the Wyoming Range.

Spring migration is a critical time for migrants, in which they must recover from harsh winter conditions and prepare for upcoming reproductive costs. It is hypothesized that movement from low elevation winter ranges to high elevation summer ranges, allows migrants to extend the amount of time they are exposed to young, highly palatable forage. Following a wave of newly emergent, high-quality forage along elevational gradients, is known as “surfing the green wave”. This project will investigate the role of the migration route as critical habitat, with the aim to better understand the importance of migration as well as to inform management strategies to protect migration in the Wyoming Range and beyond.

Project Objectives

1. Test the green wave hypothesis in migratory mule deer and explore the source of individual variability in green-wave surfing (Completed, see below).

2. Investigate the influence of drought on green-wave surfing (In progress).

3. Understand the relative importance of green-wave surfing to fitness (In progress).

Testing the Green Wave Hypothesis

Deer should select plants that are at intermediate growth stages (i.e. not too old or not too young) because plants which are greening up are both easy to digest and available in large enough quantities to maximize energetic gains. If deer surf a wave of plant green-up, then the timing of their movements during spring migration should be perfectly matched with the timing of peak green-up in plants. When we tested this prediction, this is indeed what we found (Figure 1). We noticed, however, that there was a lot of variability in the green-wave surfing ability of individuals. To further investigate the source of this difference in green-wave surfing we considered how the progression of the green-wave across individual routes may differ. We found that some routes had long, easy to follow gradients in plant green-up, while other routes had short, rapid and difficult to follow gradients in plant green-up. Together this difference in the amount of time when green-up was available along a migration route (i.e. the green-up duration) and the gradient of green-up from winter range to summer range (i.e. the order of green-up), which we refer to as the "greenscape", largely explained the differences in green-wave surfing across individual deer using different migration routes.



Figure 16. Evidence for green-wave surfing by mule deer in the Wyoming Range. The black line represents the theoretical prediction of a perfect match between the date of green-up and the date of deer use. Data points fall close to this line, suggesting that in general deer are surfing the green wave.

What have we learned?

- Green wave surfing is key to the foraging benefit of migration.
- The migration route provides critical habitat.
- Timing is key, thus activities that may alter the ability of deer to exploit the green wave should be avoided or minimized during the spring migration period.
- The greenscape (i.e. the duration and order of green-up along a migration route) determines the quality of a route.

This research is published! For more information, see:

Aikens, E.O., M. J. Kauffman, J. A. Merkle, S. P. H. Dwinnell, G. L. Fralick, and K. L. Monteith. 2017. The greenscape shapes surfing of resource waves in a large migratory herbivore. *Ecology Letters* 20:741-750.

The Rose Petal Project

While seasonal migration occurs in diverse animals and habitats, large ungulate migrations are some of the most spectacular wildlife events in the world. Migration is crucial to maintaining large, robust populations of large ungulates, and the western US boasts many populations of migratory ungulates, such as pronghorn (*Antilocapra americana*), elk (*Cervus elaphus*), moose (*Alces alces*), and mule deer (*Odocoileus hemionus*). Among ungulate migrations, mule deer migrations are extraordinary because animals can migrate extensive distances (up to 260 km) over extremely rugged terrain. Despite being able to travel all over a landscape, mule deer tend to move over this rugged terrain using the same migratory routes and seasonal ranges year after year, yet the question remains: how do mule deer know how to migrate?



Fig 17. Paired migratory movements of mother (blue) and daughter (red) mule deer in Wyoming, USA. The migration paths of mother and daughter overlap considerably, and warrant investigation of the role of cultural inheritance in shaping migratory behaviors.
Credit: S. Dwinnell.

Ungulates may know how to migrate if information on migratory traits (e.g., timing to initiate migration, rate of movement, migration path, seasonal range characteristics) is passed down from parent to offspring. Two potential mechanism could facilitate this transmission from parent to offspring: genetic inheritance and cultural inheritance. While genetics may underpin migratory traits in some bird species, whether genetics underpin ungulate migration remains to be discovered. Additionally, migratory traits may be passed from mother to offspring if offspring migrate alongside and learn the behaviors of the mother – in other words, through cultural inheritance. Depending on the mechanism responsible for determining the transmission of migratory traits, we may need to alter our management strategies to ensure robust deer populations. Before we can understand these mechanisms, however, we need to test an overlooked assumption: that migration is passed from generation to generation at all, regardless of the mechanism responsible.



In mule deer, managers and scientists currently assume that mothers migrate with their newborn offspring from summer range to winter range, and return with their offspring to summer range the following spring (Fig. 1). The transmission of migratory traits (through either genetic or cultural inheritance) could allow parents to pass information about already successful or familiar habitats and routes to their offspring. While scientists have largely overlooked the transmission of migratory behaviors from parent to offspring, studying whether information is transmitted across generations has huge ramifications for understanding the ontogeny – or development – of migratory behaviors.

In addition to being fascinating, understanding the ontogeny of migration could change how we manage populations of migratory mule deer and other migratory ungulates. Because the females in many species of ungulates do not disperse far from their natal range, clusters of closely related females will form when mothers successfully raise offspring. This behavior of spatial arrangement is deemed the *rose petal hypothesis*, and results in clusters of mule deer families while they are on summer range. Passing migratory behaviors from parent to offspring could have population-level consequences if inherited behaviors constrain the habitat which family lineages can access. For example, if a mother mule deer transmits information about high-quality habitat to her daughter, that daughter may be more successful at having and raising offspring of her own. Alternatively, if a mother transmits information that leads her daughter to low-quality seasonal ranges, her daughter may have lower reproductive success. When combined over multiple generations, the inheritance of migratory traits of differing quality could produce differences in the sizes of these roses – potentially creating areas analogous to mule deer "hot spots" (robust rose) or "dead zones" (dilapidated rose). Identifying the migratory traits that result in these so-called "hot spots" could provide managers with information about which individuals, management areas, or behaviors to prioritize.





Are migratory traits transmitted from mother to daughter?

We aim to identify whether migratory traits are transmitted from generation to generation in mule deer. We expect that if migratory traits are transmitted, offspring will display migratory traits (e.g., migration timing, rate of movement, migration route, and quality of seasonal ranges) resembling their mothers (Fig. 2a).

To test whether migratory traits are transmitted, we will compare migration characteristics among and between mother-daughter pairs of Wyoming Range mule deer fitted with GPS collars. We began collaring efforts in 2016, and expect to collar approximately 50 mother-daughter pairs by the end of the project. We will use a suite of analyses including movement coordinate index, linear regression, and utilization distribution overlap index to quantify similarities between mother-offspring migratory traits.

What are the population consequences of transmitting migratory traits?

If migratory traits are transmitted, lineages may be constrained in the habitat they can occupy, such that transmission of certain combinations of migratory traits will lead to differential reproduction and local density. We expect founding mothers that inherit access to advantageous habitat will successfully raise more offspring over their lifetime, while mothers that inherit access to low-quality habitat will raise fewer offspring (Fig. 2b). Differences in reproduction, and the resulting differences in local density, may then influence landscape-scale spatial distribution.

To test whether the inheritance of migration traits has consequences of mule deer populations, we will compare local density around each collared female with mother-offspring migration trait similarities. We will determine local density by searching for fecal samples along belt transects centered around the summer range of each collared mother-daughter pair. Using genetic information extracted from fecal pellets, we will determine individual identification and genetic relatedness to the collared female. We will then test whether similarities in migration traits between mother and offspring influence local density.



Fig. 18. Predictions associated with the cultural inheritance hypothesis (a) and the population consequences hypothesis (b).

Management implications

Despite the importance of migration to many ungulate species, anthropogenic change is rapidly altering landscapes and, consequently, migratory behaviors. Halting or altering migratory behaviors could impact ungulate population trajectories by rendering segments of seasonal habitats unused, ultimately constraining species abundance, occupancy, and distribution. Because migration strategies developed under past conditions, properly managing ungulates in a rapidly changing world relies on characterizing the factors shaping migratory traits and the subsequent population ramifications.

Future Directions

The effects of the 2016-17 winter has been distressing, but we now are uniquely poised to document the long-term effects severe winters and understand the factors that will influence population recovery from the devastating losses. We have been extremely fortunate to have been conducting research on this herd, not only through the course of this harsh winter, but for several years prior, which will yield the data to address questions associated with how severe winters may affect mule deer herds throughout the state. With dramatic reductions in density, forage resources available per individual should be bolstered and thus, nutritional condition, reproductive success, and survival may well all respond very favorably. Nevertheless, with lower deer density compared with recent decades, the role of predators in this population also may change in either positive or negative ways. The marked decline of the Wyoming Range deer population following the 1992-93 winter, and the near absence of any substantial recovery thereafter, also begs the question to what extent recovery will occur given historic patterns. Regardless, the overwhelming management desire is for recovery, and our aim is to document recovery and the mechanisms that underpin it.

The overall goal of our continued work in the Wyoming Range will be to build on our understanding of the nutritional and population ecology of this herd to document the carryover effects of the severe winter of 2016-17, and how and to what extent the population will rebound from the dramatic reduction in abundance. As before, our overall approach will continue to mesh data on nutritional condition, habitat condition, and population performance to understand factors regulating Wyoming Range mule deer and the ability of the current habitat to support mule deer—with now a distinct reduction in density, habitat and density-dependent feedbacks onto the population should illuminate ever more so than previously. Our approach will allow us to continue to elucidate the relative roles of habitat, nutrition, predation, and disease on the regulation of deer in western WY, and fully grasp the magnitude and extent of the effects of the transient, but clearly regulatory role of winter.

Partners

The Wyoming Range Deer Project is a collaborative partnership in inception, development, operations, and funding. Without all the active partners, this work would not be possible. Funds have been provided by the Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Wyoming Game and Fish Commission, Wyoming Wildlife and Natural Resource Trust, Muley Fanatic Foundation, Bureau of Land Management, Knobloch Family Foundation, U.S. Geological Survey, National Science Foundation, Wyoming Governor's Big Game License Coalition, Boone and Crockett Club, Animal Damage Management Board, Ridgeline Energy Atlantic Power, Bowhunters of Wyoming, and the Wyoming Outfitters and Guides Association. Special thanks to the Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Bureau of Land Management, and Wyoming State Veterinary Lab for assistance with logistics, lab analyses, and fieldwork. Also, thanks to the Cokeville Meadows National Wildlife Refuge and U.S. Forest Service for providing field housing.

Knobloch
Family
Foundation

For More Information, Contact Us:

MONTEITH SHOP

HAUB SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENT & NATURAL RESOURCES

WYOMING COOPERATIVE FISH & WILDLIFE RESEARCH UNIT

University of Wyoming

Kevin Monteith
kevin.monteith@uwyo.edu

Tayler LaSharr
tlasharr@gmail.com

Ellen Aikens
ellen.aikens@gmail.com

Rhiannon Jakopak
rjakopak@gmail.com

Samantha Dwinnell

Wyoming Game and Fish Department

Gary Fralick
gary.fralick@wyo.gov

Jill Randall
jill.randall@wyo.gov

Neil Hymas
neil.hymas@wyo.gov

PROJECT TEAM MEMBERS

Kevin Monteith

Kevin Monteith is an Assistant Professor of the Haub School of Environment and Natural Resources and the Wyoming Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, Department of Zoology and Physiology at the University of Wyoming. After receiving his BSc and MSc in Wildlife and Fisheries Sciences from South Dakota State University, he went on to obtain his PhD in Biology from Idaho State University in 2011. Kevin's research program is focused on integrating nutritional ecology with intensive field studies of large ungulates to elucidate the mechanisms that underpin behavior, growth, reproductive allocation, predator-prey dynamics, and ultimately, the factors affecting population growth. Kevin and his graduate students are currently conducting research on most of Wyoming's large ungulates; topics are centered on establishing a protocol for habitat-based, sustainable management of ungulate populations, while investigating the effects of predation, habitat alteration, climate change, migration tactics, and novel disturbance.

Ellen Aikens



Ellen is a PhD candidate in the Program in Ecology at the University of Wyoming. Ellen is fascinated by animal movement, especially migration. Ellen plans to pursue a career in research, with a focus on the interface between fundamental research and applied conservation and management. Before coming to Wyoming, Ellen worked at the Smithsonian Conservation Biology Institute's GIS lab, where she analyzed remote sensing and GPS telemetry data for conservation research projects across the globe. Ellen is a recipient of the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship and the Berry Fellowship. Ellen earned her bachelor's degree in Biology and Environmental Studies from Ursinus College.

Samantha Dwinnell



Samantha Dwinnell is a Research Scientist with the Haub School of Environment and Natural Resources. Samantha is the first student to miraculously graduate (May 2017) with a MSc from the Monteith Shop. Immediately following her defense that was made successful through bribery, she foolishly convinced Dr. Monteith to hire her as a Research Scientist to manage the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project. Samantha's graduate research was focused on the nutritional relationships among mule deer behavior, forage, and human disturbance. Currently, her research is focused on disentangling the relative influence of various factors that affect fawn survival. Although Samantha is most interested in research aimed at informing management and conservation of wildlife, she also dedicates research efforts into finding ways to mountain bike and ski without her boss knowing.

Rhiannon Jakopak

Rhiannon is currently a master's student in the Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit at the University of Wyoming. She received dual bachelor's degrees in Wildlife and Fisheries Biology and Management and Religious Studies at the University of Wyoming in 2016. She is broadly interested in population ecology and mammalogy, and more specifically interested in the processes regulating the distribution of species. Her master's project seeks to identify the factors which influence the development of migration and the subsequent population consequences.

Tayler LaSharr

Tayler LaSharr is a MSc student in the Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit. Tayler grew up in Phoenix, AZ and attended the University of Arizona where she obtained a BSc in Natural Resources with an emphasis in Conservation Biology and a minor in Chemistry in May of 2015. During her time at the University of Arizona, she studied life history tradeoffs in Western and Mountain Bluebirds and the effects of aggression in closely related species on habitat and range dynamics. In the summer of 2015, she began work in the Wyoming Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit as a technician on a fawn survival study of mule deer in the Wyoming Range. In the fall of 2015, she began work on her own research, which focuses on understanding the effects of harvest on horn size of mountain sheep. Following the completion of her MSc work in the spring of 2018, she will transition to a PhD working on a component of the Wyoming Range Mule Deer Project assessing population recovery following a severe winter.

Haub School of Environment and Natural Resources

Academic Programs | Biodiversity Institute | Ruckelshaus Institute

Bim Kendall House
804 E Fremont St
Laramie, WY 82072