It's historical similitance and layer value

(1) Is the term "Justinian Code" permissible? Usage, of course, is what will ultimately determine that. Jelowicz in his "Historical Introduction of the Roman Law" avoids the term, using "Justinian's." He also uses the term "pre-Justinianean" interpolations, seemizgly following the Italian writers in this respect. The terms codex Gregorianus, Hermogenianus, Theodosianus, are commonly respectively referred to by writers in Eaglish as the Gregorian, Hermogenian and Theodosian Code. Buckland, Textbook (2nd Ed.) 37; Ames, Roman Civil Law, 1, 87; Hulter, Roman Law (1903) pp. 85,86; Radin, Roman Law (27) p.88; Sherman, Roman Law (1st Ed.) 108; Gibson, Hist. Roman Empire, c.44. Why not for Codex Justinianus the term Justinian Code? R. De Tracy Gould in his translation of Pandecten by Gouldsmit (1873) uses, on page 25, the term "Justinian collection," and on page 28 the term "Justinian compilation." Sherman on Roman Law (1st Ed.) velume 1, page 109, uses the term "ante-Justinian Codes." Prof. Radin uses the term "Justinian Code" in 3 Studi Boufante, 154, 157, 160.

INDEX.

- 1. General Survey pp. 1-19.
- 2. Alleviation of Debtors Shown by Code, pp. 20-26.
- 3. Personal Character of Rights and Obligations Shown by Code, pp. 27-31.
- 4. Stipulation and Pact Illustrated in Code, pp. 32-36
- 5. Number of Lawyers pp. 37-41.
- 6. Archaistic Tendency of Justinian pp. 42-59
- 7. Eastern Influence on Roman Law pp. 60-76
- 8. APPENDIX A Procedure as Illustrated in Code pp. 77-88
- 9. APPENDIX B Pledges, Mortgages and Liens as Illustrated by Code pp. 89-102.