University of Wyoming

A Summary of Student Engagement Results

Student engagement represents two critical features of collegiate quality. The first is the amount of time and effort students put into their studies and other educationally purposeful activities. The second is how institutional resources, courses, and other learning opportunities facilitate student participation in activities that matter to student learning. NSSE surveys undergraduate students in their first and final years to assess their levels of engagement and related information about their experience at your institution.

Comparison Group

The comparison group featured in this report is

HLC Neighbors

See your *Selected Comparison Groups* report for details.

This Snapshot is a concise collection of key findings from your institution's NSSE administration. We hope this information stimulates discussions about the undergraduate experience. Additional details about these and other results appear in the reports referenced throughout.


Engagement Indicators Your students compared with **HLC Neighbors** Sets of items are grouped into ten Theme **Engagement Indicator** First-year Senior Engagement Indicators, organized under four broad themes. At right **Higher-Order Learning** are summary results for your institution. For details, see your **Reflective & Integrative Learning** Academic Engagement Indicators report. Challenge **Learning Strategies** Key: **Quantitative Reasoning** Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least **Collaborative Learning** .3 in magnitude. Learning with Peers Your students' average was significantly **Discussions with Diverse Others** \triangle higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. No significant difference. **Student-Faculty Interaction** Experiences with Faculty Your students' average was significantly **Effective Teaching Practices** lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude. **Quality of Interactions** Your students' average was significantly Campus lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least Environment .3 in magnitude. **Supportive Environment High-Impact Practices** Due to their positive associations First-year UW with student learning and Service-Learning, Learning

with student learning and retention, certain undergraduate opportunities are designated "high-impact." For more details and statistical comparisons, see your *High-Impact Practices* report.

Service-Learning, Learning Community, and Research w/Faculty

Senior

Service-Learning, Learning Community, Research w/Faculty, Internship, Study Abroad, and Culminating Senior Experience






University of Wyoming

Academic Challenge: Additional Results

The Academic Challenge theme contains four Engagement Indicators as well as several important individual items. The results presented here provide an overview of these individual items. For more information about the Academic Challenge theme, see your *Engagement Indicators* report. To further explore individual item results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons*, the *Major Field Report*, the *Online Institutional Report*, or the Report Builder.


Time Spent Preparing for Class


This figure reports the average weekly class preparation time for your students compared to students in your comparison group.



Reading and Writing


These figures summarize the number of hours your students spent reading for their courses and the average number of pages of assigned writing compared to students in your comparison group. Each is an estimate calculated from two or more separate survey questions.






Challenging Students to Do Their Best Work

To what extent did students' courses challenge them to do their best work? Response options ranged from 1 = "Not at all" to 7 = "Very much."



Academic Emphasis

How much did students say their institution emphasizes spending significant time studying and on academic work? Response options included "Very much," "Quite a bit," "Some," and "Very little."





University of Wyoming

Item Comparisons

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's performance on the Engagement Indicators. This section displays the five questions^a on which your students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students in your comparison group. Parenthetical notes indicate whether an item belongs to a specific Engagement Indicator or is a High-Impact Practice. While these questions represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals, or equally available to all students. For additional results, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

First-year

Highest Performing Relative to HLC Neighbors

Institution emphasis on providing support for your overall well-being... (SE)

Discussions with... People with political views other than your own^b (DD)

Institution emphasis on attending campus activities and events (...)^c (SE)

Institution emphasis on using learning support services (...)^c (SE)

Discussions with... People with religious beliefs other than your own^b (DD)

Lowest Performing Relative to HLC Neighbors


Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials^b (LS)

Talked about career plans with a faculty member (SF)

Reviewed your notes after class^b (LS)

Discussions with... People of races or ethnicities other than your own (DD)

Assigned more than 50 pages of writing^g



Percentage Point Difference with HLC Neighbors

Senior

Highest Performing Relative to HLC Neighbors

Participated in a study abroad program (HIP)

Worked with a faculty member on a research project (HIP)

Worked with a faculty member on activities other than coursework (...)^b (SF)

Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member (SF)

Discussions with... People with political views other than your own^b (DD)

Lowest Performing Relative to HLC Neighbors


Quality of interactions with academic advisors^d (QI)

Institution emphasis on attending events that address important social/econ./polit. issues^c (SE)

About how many courses have included a community-based project (service-learning)?^e (HIP)

Discussions with... People of races or ethnicities other than your own^b (DD)

Institution emphasis on encouraging contact among students from different backgrounds... $^{\rm c}$ (SE)



Percentage Point Difference with HLC Neighbors

a. The items on this page come from the Engagement Indicators (EIs), High-Impact Practices (HIPs), Sense of Belonging (SB), the academic challenge questions on page 2, and four additional questions about effective teaching (new in 2021). Key to abbreviations for EI items: HO = Higher-Order Learning, RI = Reflective & Integrative Learning, LS = Learning Strategies, QR = Quantitative Reasoning, CL = Collaborative Learning, DD = Discussions with Diverse Others, SF = Student-Faculty Interaction, ET = Effective Teaching Practices, QI = Quality of Interactions, SE = Supportive Environment.

b. Combination of students responding "very often" or "often."

c. Combination of students responding "very much" or "quite a bit."


d. Rated at least 6 on a 7-point scale.

e. Percentage reporting at least "some."

f. Estimate based on the reported amount of course preparation time spent on assigned reading.

g. Estimate based on number of assigned writing tasks of various lengths.

h. Combination of students responding "strongly agree" or "agree."



University of Wyoming

How Students Assess Their Experience


Students' perceptions of certain aspects of cognitive and affective development, as well as their overall satisfaction with the institution, provide useful evidence of their educational experiences. For more details, see your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report.

Perceived Gains Among Seniors

Students reported how much their experience at your institution contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in ten areas.

Satisfaction with UW

Students rated their overall experience at the institution, and whether or not they would choose it again.



Administration Details

Response Summary

	Count	Resp. rate	Female	Full-time
First-year	108	7%	66%	99%
Senior	226	8%	65%	81%

See your Administration Summary and Respondent Profile reports for more information.

Additional Questions

Your institution administered the following additional question set(s):

Academic Advising

First-Year Experiences & Senior Transitions

See your Topical Module report(s) for results.

What is NSSE?

NSSE annually collects information at hundreds of four-year colleges and universities about student participation in activities and programs that promote their learning and personal development. The results provide an estimate of how undergraduates spend their time and what they gain from attending their college or university. Institutions use their data to identify aspects of the undergraduate experience that can be improved through changes in policy and practice.

NSSE has been in operation since 2000 and has been used at nearly 1,700 colleges and universities in the US and Canada. More than 90% of participating institutions administer the survey on a periodic basis.

Visit our website: nsse.iu.edu

Prepared 2024-08-12 IPEDS: 240727