Results

Mean separation and brief figure explanations. Figure labels correspond with figures on the Poster. Blue text indicates treatments without Roundup application, black text indicates treatments with Roundup application

Figure 1: All treatments, except Roundup alone, reduced			undun alone reduced	Figure 2. Main-plot treatment (with roundup): The check
	bulbous bluegrass cover at Sheridan.			with roundup was different than the check without.
				Cub alst the stress to (, it has a Decus down). For land do at 7 and
	There was no mean separation at Rozet indicating no			Sub-plot treatment (without Roundup): Esplanade at 7 and
	effect of treatment relative to the untreated control			5oz/ac , plateau, and both control treatments provided
				less control than other treatments with or without Round-
Mean Separation by Roundup and treatment for bulbous			reatment for bulbous	
	bluegrass control (%	6):		up.
	blueBlueb control ()	<u></u>		
		Sheridan	Rozet	Mean Separation by Roundup and treatment for bulbous
				bluegrass control (%):
	N:Check	a	a	
	Y:Check	ab	a	N:Land+Esp5 a
	N:Esp5	bc	a	N:Land+Esp7 a
	N:Plateau	С	a	N:Matrix+Esp5 a
	N:Esp7	С	a	N:Matrix+Esp7 a
	Y:Plateau	С	a	N:Plat+Esp7 a
	Y:Esp7	С	a	Y:Land+Esp5 a
	N:Landmark	С	a	Y:Land+Esp7 a
	Y:Esp5	С	a	Y:Landmark a
	N:Matrix	C	a	Y:Matrix a
	Y:Landmark	С	a	Y:Matrix+Esp5 a
	Y:Plat+Esp5	с	a	Y:Matrix+Esp7 a Y:Plat+Esp5 a
	N:Plat+Esp5	С	a	
	N:Plat+Esp7	C C	a	Y:Plat+Esp7 a N:Matrix a
	N:Land+Esp5 N:Land+Esp7	C C	a a	N:Plat+Esp5 a
	N:Matrix+Esp5	c	a	N:Landmark a
	N:Matrix+Esp7	c	a	Y:Plateau a
	Y:Land+Esp5	c	a	Y:Esp7 a
	Y:Land+Esp7	c	a	Y:Esp5 a
	Y:Matrix	c	a	N:Esp7 b
	Y:Matrix+Esp5	c	a	Y:Check bc
	Y:Matrix+Esp7	c	a	N:Plateau bc
	Y:Plat+Esp7	c	a	N:Esp5 cd
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		N:Check d

Figure 3. Whole plot bulbous bluegrass control (%)

Matrix , Landmark, Landmark alone and in combination with esplanade, and Esplanade at 5oz/ac provided more control than the other treatments.

Mean Separation by treatment for bulbous bluegrass control (%):

a
a
a
a
a
a
ab
ab
bc
С
С
d

Results

Figure 4.	Figure 5.
All treatments except Esplanade at both rates and Plateau alone damaged perennial grasses regardless of Roundup application <u>Mean Separation by treatment for bulbous bluegrass con- trol (%):</u>	Most treatments, including landmark, damage perennial forbs. Overall, most treatments did not negatively impact perennial forbs relative to the untreated control. <u>Mean Separation by treatment for bulbous bluegrass con-</u> trol (%):
Y:Landmark a Y:Land+Esp7 ab Y:Land+Esp5 ab N:Land+Esp5 ab N:Landmark ab N:Plat+Esp7 abcd Y:Plat+Esp7 abcd Y:Plat+Esp7 abcde Y:Matrix+Esp7 abcde Y:Matrix+Esp7 abcde Y:Matrix+Esp5 abcde Y:Matrix+Esp5 abcde Y:Matrix+Esp5 abcde N:Matrix+Esp5 abcde N:Matrix bcde N:Plat+Esp5 abcde N:Plat+Esp5 abcde N:Plat+Esp5 abcde N:Plat+Esp5 bcdef N:Platesp7 bcdef N:Esp7 bcdef N:Esp7 bcdef N:Esp7 cdef Y:Esp7 cdef Y:Esp5 def Y:Check ef Y:Plateau ef N:Check f	Y:Land+Esp5 a N:Land+Esp7 a Y:Land+Esp7 ab Y:Matrix+Esp5 bc N:Landmark bc N:Matrix+Esp7 Cd N:Plat+Esp7 Cd Y:Landmark Cd Y:Plat+Esp7 Cd N:Esp7 Cd N:Matrix+Esp5 Cd Y:Esp7 Cd Y:Matrix+Esp5 Cd Y:Matrix d Y:Plat+Esp5 d Y:Matrix d Y:Plat+Esp5 d N:Matrix d Y:Plateau d Y:Check d Y:Esp5 d Y:Plateau d
Figure 6. All treatments except Esplanade at both rates and plateau alone damaged perennial grass . <u>Mean Separation by treatment for bulbous bluegrass con- trol (%):</u> Land+Esp5 a Land+Esp7 a Landmark a Matrix+Esp5 b Plat+Esp7 b Plat+Esp7 b Matrix bc Esp5 cd Esp7 cd Plateau cd Check d	Figure 7. Matrix , Landmark alone and in combination with espla- nade, and Esplanade at 5oz/ac provided more control the other treatments. <u>Mean Separation by treatment for bulbous bluegrass con- trol (%):</u> Land+Esp7 a Landmark b Land+Esp5 bc Esp5 cd Plat+Esp5 cd Plat+Esp7 cd Check d Esp7 d Matrix d Matrix+Esp5 d Matrix+Esp7 d Plateau d

Discussion

Bulbous bluegrass can be controlled with all of our herbicides except Esplanade, Plateau and Roundup when applied alone. We can see this pattern from both a reduction in cover and high levels of control relative to our untreated check in Sheridan. In Rozet, we saw no changes in cover, but found that overall control was better with Landmark, Matrix and combinations of herbicides. However this is only part of the picture. Beneficial herbicide application requires the consideration of damage done to the desirable perennial forbs and grasses.

Control of bulbous bluegrass can be difficult in perennial systems as it is a perennial itself. We analyzed perennial grass and forb damage relative to the check. We found that while Landmark, Matrix and the combinations that include them have high percent control of bulbous bluegrass, they also prove more damaging to perennial grasses. Additionally, applications of Landmark and Landmark combinations damaged forbs more than any other treatments.



Conclusions

Bulbous bluegrass is an invasive perennial grass. Invasive plants can negatively impact many aspects of an ecosystem. For this reason control is important and long term control is ideal. By using this data we can choose herbicides to meet our specific management goals while taking into account target species and other species and functional groups.

What's Next?

Further Analysis will focus on 2YAT data, to be collected in the summer of 2020. Additionally, we will be able to parse out species specific responses and diversity changes within the population caused by the different treatments, if any exist.