

## Part 1: Comprehension and Content

## Please rate **Scott Wrigley** on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest/best score, for each of the following questions.

	1	2	3	4	5 (best)
Did the presentation provide an understanding of the <b>background and significance</b> of the project?	0	0	0	0	0
Did the presentation <b>follow a clear and logical</b> sequence?	0	0	0	0	0

0 0	0 0	0	$\bigcirc$	Was the information communicated in <b>language appropriate to a non-specialist audience</b> ?
-----	-----	---	------------	--

## Part 2: Engagement and Communication

## Please rate **Scott Wrigley** on a scale of 1 to 5, with 5 being the highest/best score, for each of the following questions.

	1	2	3	4	5 (best)
Did the presentation make the audience <b>want to know more</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0
Did the presenter <b>convey enthusiasm</b> for the project?	0	0	0	0	0

Did the speaker have sufficient <b>stage presence, eye</b> contact and vocal range?	0	0	0	0	0
Did the PowerPoint slide <b>enhance the presentation</b> ?	0	0	0	0	0

Powered by Qualtrics