## Fiscal Year 2022 University Center for Excellence in Developmental Disabilities (UCEDD) Program Performance Report to the Administration on Developmental Disabilities (OIDD)

Date of Report	July 25, 2022
OIDD Project Officer	
OIDD Grant Officer	
OIDD Grant Number	90DDUC0011
UCEDD Name	Wyoming Institute for Disabilities (WIND)
Address	University of Wyoming Department 4298 1000 E. University Avenue Laramie, WY 82071 http://www.uwyo.edu/wind
Phone	307-766-2761
Period of Performance	July 1, 2021 - June 30, 2022
Approved Project Period	July 1, 2017 - June 30, 2022
Project Title	Wyoming Institute for Disabilities FY 2018 Annual Report
Principal Investigator	Sandra Root-Elledge sre@uwyo.edu 307-766-2764
Author of this Report	Sandra Root-Elledge sre@uwyo.edu 307-766-2764
	This report represents FY 2022 achievements of the Wyoming Institute for Disabilities (WIND) during the fourth of our five-year strategic plan. This was a third year in which the COVID-19 pandemic impacted our work, albeit much less of an impact than the prior two years. We maintained consistent progress toward all of our goals throughout the year.
	From our core grant funding, WIND leveraged \$4,734,875 in additional funds from federal (37%), state (29%), foundation (5%) and other (4%) sources.
Introduction	Progress toward our goals, including our responses to the COVID pandemic in general and related to the vaccine promotion funding are outlined in Attachment 1A.
	The report on the evaluation of our Center during for the fiscal year is provided in Attachment 1B.
	Optional attachments reflective of FY 22 performance include WIND Key Highlights (Attachment A), the annual Consumer Advisory Council Report with listing and map locations of members (Attachment B), a listing of our long-term trainees who

graduated with a Minor in Disability Studies in May 2022 by their academic disciplines
and listing of completed coursework (Attachment C), a graph that represents the
graduates by their majors and colleges (Attachment D), and WIND contributions to
Scholarship (Attachment E).

Opti	Optional Attachments								
1:	WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment A - Key Highlights.pdf								
2:	WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment B - CAC Summary.pdf								
3:	WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment C - WIND Long Term Trainees Graduates by Major and Courses.pdf								
4:	WIND FY 2022 Report, Attachment D - Disability Studies Graduates Graph1.pdf								
5:	WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment E Contributions to Scholarship.pdf								

## **OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 1A. Detailed Work Plan Progress Report**

Implementation of basic and applied research, program evaluation, and analysis of public policy on issues impacting individuals with developmental disabilities.

This section provides a progress report on the UCEDD work plan. Use the work plan from the 5-year application, or the most recently updated workplan submitted with a continuation application, to provide annual updates along with a narrative report of progress for each section of the work plan that has activities planned for the time period. Activities not planned for during the reporting period should not be included in this section.

1: WIND FY 2022 Annual Report Attachment 1A - Work Plan Progress Report final.pdf

## **OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 1B. Summary of Evaluation Results**

This section provides a summary report of the implementation of the evaluation plan described in the UCEDD 5-year core grant application. Other relevant information not reported elsewhere should also be reported in this section.

Attachment 1B provides a comprehensive evaluation of the plan described for the Wyoming Institute for Disabilities 5-year core application.

1:	WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment 1B Evaluation Report.pdf

## OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Interdisciplinary Pre-Service Preparation

Instructional program offered by the UCEDD that: (1) integrates knowledge and methods from two or more distinct disciplines; (2) integrates direct contributions to the field made by people with disabilities and family members; (3) examines and advances professional practice, scholarship and policy that impacts the lives of people with developmental and other disabilities and their families; (4) is designed to advance an individual�s academic or professional credentials; and (5) takes place in an academic setting or program.

It may: (1) lead to the award of an initial academic degree, professional certificate, or advanced academic credential; and (2) contribute to a discipline-specific course of study offered by the UCEDD or by another academic department.

#### **Output Measures**

#### Number and type (discipline, intermediate, long-term) of UCEDD trainees trained in the DD field

Discipline	Trainee Type	Trainees #				
	Total Long-term	0				
	Total Intermediate	0				
Total number of UCI	EDD trainees	0				
Number of UCEDD in	nterdiciplinary training programs	0				
Number of UCEDD c	liscipline specific training programs	3				
List of <b>discipline specific</b> training programs.		<ol> <li>WATR IPP Training: Casper College OTA Program</li> <li>Disability Studies Interdisciplinary Courses</li> <li>Disability Studies and Human Value: Invited Talk</li> </ol>				
-	trainees (e.g., gender, person nember, race/culture/language spoken)	0 total trainees				

Race		Ethnicity		Gender		
White	0	Hispanic	0	Female	0	
Black or African American	0	Non Hispanic	0	Male	0	
American Indian and Alaska Native	0	Unrecorded	0			
Asian	0					
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	0					

More than one race	0							
Unrecorded	0							
Personal Relationship with Disabilities Primary Language								
Person with a disability	0	Do you speak a language other than English at home?	answer YES to the previous question "Do					
Person with a special health care need	0	Spanish	0	Very w	ell		0	
Parent of a person with a disability	0	Another language	0	Well			0	
Parent of a person with a special health care need	0	No	0	Not we	II		0	
Family member of a person with a disability	0			Not at	all		0	
Family member of a person with a special health care need	0							
Unrecorded	0							
None	0							
Regarding pre-service	pro	eparation trainings co	ndu	cted ou	tside the UCEDD:			
Number of training eve	ent	5			0			
Total number of hours	fo	r training events			0 total hours			
Total number of partic	ipa	nts/students trained			0			
Initial Outcome Measu	re							
						Total Number surveyed		49
	Total Number responding						49	
						Number resp	onding	
						Strongly Agree	е	43
	Agree					6		
						Disagree		0

Percent of UCEDD long-term train	ees reporting an increase in knowled	lge or	Strongly Di	sagree	0
skills and/or change in attitude	.go ol	100%			
Consumer Satisfaction Measure					
Area of Emphasis	Definition	Consum	er Satisfact	tion Measu	re
Other - Assistive Technology			activities in v as the lead:	vhich the	
		Number of	activities	1	
		Total Numb	ber	37	
		Total Resp	ondents	37	
		Response	rate	100%	
		Number R	esponding		
		Strongly Ag	gree	32 (86.5%	) )
		Agree		3 (8.1%)	
		Disagree		2 (5.4%)	
		Strongly Di	sagree	0 (0.0%)	
	Percentage of trainees who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to serve as a resource for IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	95%			
Other - Cultural Diversity			activities in v as the lead:	vhich the	
		Number of	activities	1	
		Total Numb	ber	121	
		Total Resp	ondents	121	
		Response	rate	100%	
		Number R	umber Responding		
		Strongly Ag	gree	98 (81.0%	)
		Agree		23 (19.0%	) )

	Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
Percentage of trainees who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to serve as a resource for IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	

# OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Continuing Education

Seminars or courses of instruction offered by the UCEDD that: (1) serve to maintain professional credentials; (2) encourage professionals to expand their knowledge base and stay up-to-date on new developments; and (3) offer certificates of completion or CEUs (or their equivalents).

Output Measures						
Number of professionals participat continuing education programs	ing in UCEDD	625				
Number of UCEDD continuing educ	cation programs	12				
Length (amount of course time) of	CE program	185 total hours				
		<ol> <li>Wyoming Telehealth Network: Webinar - 11 hour(s)</li> <li>BINDD Course Delivery: Human Development Across the Lifespan - 25 hour(s)</li> <li>ESRN Community-Based Participatory Research Training Course Development - 58 hour(s)</li> <li>WATR Training: AAC Assessment Process Course (AAC-1001) - 12 hour(s)</li> <li>WATR Training: UW ECHO in Families - 2 hour(s)</li> <li>AEM/WATR Training: Wyoming Assistive Technology Teams Development Project 2021-2022 - 37 hour(s)</li> <li>WATR Training: AAC Implementation-Spring 2022 - 21 hour(s)</li> <li>2022 Power of Rural: Forward Together Virutal Healthcare Confrence - 12 hour(s)</li> <li>IDD and Mental Health: A Public Health Perspective - 1 hour(s)</li> <li>Wyoming Epilepsy Seizure First Aid Training - 2 hour(s)</li> <li>IDD and Mental Health: Perspectives from the Field - 2 hour(s)</li> </ol>				
Consumer Satisfaction Measure	Consumer Satisfact	ion Mocourt				
Area of Emphasis						
Health-Related Activities	For those activities in UCEDD was the lead					
			Number of activities	3		

		Total Number surveyed	327	
		Total Respondents	327	
		Response rate	100%	
		Number Responding		
		Strongly Agree	310 (94.8%)	
		Agree	16 (4.9%)	
		Disagree	1 (0.3%)	
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	
	Percentage of trainees who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to the health care needs of IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%		
	Response Rate Explanation No explanation is required as the response rate was 30% or greater.			
	Sampling Procedures (Random sampling is anticipated. Indicate if non-random sampling procedures were used. If non-random sampling measures were used, explain why.)	All participants involved in the referenced programs were asked to complete satisfaction/evaluation measures. Participants could choos to participate or not in evaluation data collection.		
Education & Early Intervention		For those activities in which the UCEDD was the lead:		
		Number of activities	1	
		Total Number surveyed	0	
		Total Respondents	0	
		Response rate	0%	
		Number Responding		
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)	
		Agree	0 (0.0%)	
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	

	Percentage of trainees who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to serve as a resource for achieving the developmental and educational goals of IWDD from birth to 22 years of age. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%		
Other - Assistive Technology		For those activities in v UCEDD was the lead:	vhich the	
		Number of activities	4	
		Total Number surveyed	68	
		Total Respondents	68	
		Response rate	100%	
		Number Responding		
		Strongly Agree	65 (95.6%)	
		Agree	2 (2.9%)	
		Disagree	1 (1.5%)	
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)	
	Percentage of trainees who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to serve as a resource for IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	99%		

## OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Community Services: Training

Training provided by UCEDD faculty/staff to enhance knowledge of a variety of community members (individuals with developmental and other disabilities, their families, professionals, paraprofessionals, policy-makers, students or others in the community).

#### **Output Measures**

Number of people trained by participant type (e.g., individuals with D/OD, family members, Service providers, professionals, paraprofessionals, Policy makers, Community members) IN AREA OF EMPHASIS

Area of Emphasis			
Quality Assurance	83 total		
	Trainees Total	19	
	Classroom Students	0	
	Professionals & Para-Professionals	64	
	Family Members/Caregivers	0	
	Adults with Disabilities	0	
	Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
	Legislators/Policymakers	0	
	General Public/Community Members	0	
Education & Early Intervention	1358 total		
	Trainees Total	12	
	Classroom Students	49	
	Professionals & Para-Professionals	1240	
	Family Members/Caregivers	43	
	Adults with Disabilities	14	
	Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
	Legislators/Policymakers	0	
	General Public/Community Members	0	
Health-Related Activities	992 total		
	Trainees Total	45	
	Classroom Students	12	

Professionals & Para-Professionals	838	
Family Members/Caregivers	91	
Adults with Disabilities	6	
Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
Legislators/Policymakers	0	
General Public/Community Members	0	
7 total		
Trainees Total	0	
Classroom Students	0	
Professionals & Para-Professionals	5	
Family Members/Caregivers	2	
Adults with Disabilities	0	
Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
Legislators/Policymakers	0	
General Public/Community Members	0	
719 total		
Trainees Total	1	
Classroom Students	152	
Professionals & Para-Professionals	497	
Family Members/Caregivers	41	
Adults with Disabilities	28	
Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
Legislators/Policymakers	0	
General Public/Community Members	0	
33 total		
Trainees Total	0	
Classroom Students	0	
Professionals & Para-Professionals	20	
Family Members/Caregivers	12	
Adults with Disabilities	1	
Children/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCN	0	
	Family Members/CaregiversAdults with DisabilitiesAdults with DisabilitiesChildren/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCNLegislators/PolicymakersGeneral Public/Community Members <b>7 total</b> Trainees TotalClassroom StudentsProfessionals & Para-ProfessionalsFamily Members/CaregiversAdults with DisabilitiesChildren/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCNLegislators/PolicymakersGeneral Public/Community Members/Trainees TotalClassroom StudentsFamily Members/CaregiversAdults with DisabilitiesFurginators/PolicymakersGeneral Public/Community Members/Family Members/CaregiversAdults with DisabilitiesFamily Members/CaregiversBasilities/SHCNChildren/Adolescents with Disabilities/SHCNFarinees TotalChildres TotalChasroom StudentsProfessionals & Para-ProfessionalsBastonal & Para-ProfessionalsStotalFanily Members/CaregiversAdults with DisabilitiesFamily Members/CaregiversFamily Members/CaregiversFamily Members/CaregiversFamily Members/CaregiversFamily Members/CaregiversFamily Members/Caregiv	

	Legislators/Policymakers	0
	General Public/Community Members	0
Number of discrete training events EMPHASIS	and/or training series IN AREA OF	49
Area of E	Emphasis	
Quality Assurance		7
Education & Early Intervention		5
Health-Related Activities		9
Quality of Life		1
Other - Assistive Technology		25
Other - Cultural Diversity		2
1		

## Initial Outcome Measures

For recipients of regular, on-going trainings, percent reporting an increase in knowledge gained IN AREA OF EMPHASIS:

Area of Emphasis	Initial Outcome Measure		
Education & Early Intervention	88%		
	Total number of activities	3	
	Total number surveyed	617	
	Total number responding	617	
	Number responding	·	
	Strongly Agree	77	
	Agree	466	
	Disagree	60	
	Strongly Disagree	14	
Health-Related Activities	59%		
	Total number of activities	4	
	Total number surveyed	549	
	Total number responding	549	
	Number responding		
	Strongly Agree	56	
	Agree	273	
	Disagree	181	

	Strongly Disagree		39		
Other - Assistive Technology	92%				
	Total number of activities	Total number of activities		19	
	Total number surveyed		333		
	Total number responding		333		
	Number responding				
	Strongly Agree		205	205	
	Agree		103		
	Disagree		6		
	Strongly Disagree		19		
Consumer Satisfaction Measure					
Area of Emphasis	Definition	Consumer Sa	atisfact	tion Measure	
Health-Related Activities		For those activit UCEDD was the		vhich the	
		Number of activ	rities	6	
		Total Number surveyed		523	
		Total Responde	ents	523	
		Response rate		100%	
		Number Responding			
		Strongly Agree		246 (47.0%)	
		Agree		215 (41.1%)	
		Disagree		23 (4.4%)	
		Strongly Disagr	ee	39 (7.5%)	
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to the health care needs of IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	88%	88%		
	Response Rate Explanation No explanation is required as the response rate was 30% or greater.				

	Sampling Procedures (Random sampling is anticipated. Indicate if non-random sampling procedures were used. If non-random sampling measures were used, explain why.)	All participants involved referenced programs w complete satisfaction/e measures. Participants to participate or not in o data collection.	vere asked to valuation could choose
Quality Assurance		For those activities in v UCEDD was the lead:	vhich the
		Number of activities	6
		Total Number surveyed	5
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to promoting quality assurance activities for IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	
Education & Early Intervention		For those activities in which the UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	5
		Total Number surveyed	733
		Total Respondents	733
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	428 (58.4%)
		Agree	259 (35.3%)
		Disagree	14 (1.9%)

		Strongly Disagree	32 (4.4%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to support the achievement of the developmental and educational goals of IWDD from birth to 22 years of age. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	94%	-
Quality of Life		For those activities in which the UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	1
		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	
Other - Assistive Technology		For those activities in which the UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	25
		Total Number surveyed	567
		Total Respondents	567
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	454 (80.1%)
		Agree	82 (14.5%)

		Disagree	7 (1.2%)
		Strongly Disagree	24 (4.2%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	95%	
Other - Cultural Diversity		For those activities in v UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	1
		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	

## OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Community Services: Technical Assistance

Direct problem-solving services provided by UCEDD faculty/staff to assist programs, agencies, or other entities in improving their outcomes, services, management, and/or policies. This includes TA provided to self-advocacy organizations, family support groups, and other organizations.

#### **Output Measures**

Number of hours of technical assistance provided in the areas of emphasis	1665 Total hours
Area of Emphasis	Hours
Quality Assurance	110
Transportation-Related Activities	32
Education & Early Intervention	363
Health-Related Activities	652
Recreation-Related Activities	10
Quality of Life	80
Other - Assistive Technology	177
Other - Leadership	240
Other	1
Number of hours of technical assistance per type of organization	1665 total hours
Type of Organization	Hours
State Title V Agency	100
Other MCHB Funded or Related Program	50
State Health Dept.	491
Clinical Programs/Hospitals	453
Other Health-Related Program	276
Health Insurance/Managed Care Organization	121
Medicaid	263
Development Disabilities Council	
	27
Protection & Advocacy Agency (P&A)	27 28
Protection & Advocacy Agency (P&A)	28

State/Local Special Education (3-21)	72
State/Local General Education	25
Post Secondary Education (Community College-University)	259
Employment/Voc Rehab	18
State/Local DD Agency or Provider	71
State/Local Social Services	75
Health Agency - Public/Private	410
Mental Health/Substance Abuse Agency	200
Recreation Agency	10
Transportation Agency	32
Provider Organization	86
Consumer/Advocacy Organization	27
State/Local Coalition	317
Community or Faith-Based Organization	1
National Association	16
Independent research or policy organization	80
Foundation	96
Other	421

## **Initial Outcome Measures**

	Total number of activities	38
-	Total number surveyed	2288
	Total number responding	2288
	Number responding	
	Strongly Agree	2282
	Agree	6
	Disagree	0
	Strongly Disagree	0
For TA recipients with a sustained relationship with the UCEDD, percent reporting an increase in any of the identified or requested item(s):Enhanced resources, Enhanced services, Strengthened networking of public and private entities across communities, Increased awareness of evidence-based practices, Enhanced capacity to assess current practices in relation to evidenced-based approaches, Identification of policy		

changes needed within the areas of emphasis:	
(Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	

Consumer Satisfaction Measure			
Area of Emphasis	Definition	Consumer Satisfac	tion Measure
Health-Related Activities		For those activities in v UCEDD was the lead:	which the
		Number of activities	14
		Total Number surveyed	2,716
		Total Respondents	2,716
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	2,716 (100.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to the health care needs of IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	
	Response Rate Explanation No explanation is required as the response rate was 30% or greater.		
	Sampling Procedures (Random sampling is anticipated. Indicate if non-random sampling procedures were used. If non-random sampling measures were used, explain why.)	All participants involved in the referenced programs were asked complete satisfaction/evaluation measures. Participants could cho to participate or not in evaluation data collection	
Quality Assurance		For those activities in v UCEDD was the lead:	which the
		Number of activities	2
		Total Number surveyed	0

		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	J
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to promoting quality assurance activities for IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	
Education & Early Intervention		For those activities in UCEDD was the lead	
		Number of activities	6
		Total Number surveyed	14
		Total Respondents	14
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	]
		Strongly Agree	14 (100.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained to support the achievement of the developmental and educational goals of IWDD from birth to 22 years of age. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	
Recreation-Related Activities		For those activities in UCEDD was the lead	
		Number of activities	1

		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD accessing and participating in recreational, leisure, and social activities in their communities. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	
Other - Assistive Technology		For those activities in UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	25
		Total Number surveyed	64
		Total Respondents	64
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	59 (92.2%)
		Agree	5 (7.8%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	
Other - Leadership		For those activities in which the UCEDD was the lead:	

		Number of activities	2
		Total Number surveyed	77
		Total Respondents	77
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	73 (94.8%)
		Agree	4 (5.2%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the knowledge and skills gained related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	
Other		For those activities in UCEDD was the lead:	
		Number of activities	1
		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	(Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	

## **OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Model Services** Specialized services delivered with the intention to enhance the well being and status of the recipient and not for testing new practices and may be integrated with training, research, and/or dissemination functions. Includes direct problem-solving services provided to assist individuals with developmental and other disabilities and their families. **Output Measure** Number of specialized services offered by the UCEDD to enhance the well being and status of the 42 recipient **Initial Outcome Measures** Number of individuals who received specialized services from the UCEDD to enhance 396 the well being and status of the recipient Number of Area of Emphasis Individuals 387 Other - Assistive Technology Other - Family Support 9 **Consumer Satisfaction Measure Area of Emphasis** Definition **Consumer Satisfaction Measure** For those activities in which the **Quality Assurance** UCEDD was the lead: Number of activities 1 Total Number 0 surveyed 0 **Total Respondents Response rate** 0% Number Responding Strongly Agree 0 (0.0%) 0 (0.0%) Agree 0 (0.0%) Disagree

Strongly Disagree

0 (0.0%)

	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported		
	satisfaction with the services and/or supports received related to promoting quality assurance activities for IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	
Other - Assistive Technology		For those activities in w UCEDD was the lead:	hich the
		Number of activities	39
		Total Number surveyed	389
		Total Respondents	389
		Response rate	100%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	362 (93.1%)
		Agree	27 (6.9%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
	Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the services and/or supports received related to IWDD in other areas. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	100%	
Other		For those activities in w UCEDD was the lead:	hich the
		Number of activities	1
		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)

	Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)
(Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%	

OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction
CORE FUNCTION: Community Services: Demonstration Services

Services that field test promising or exemplary practices and may be integrated with training, research, and/or dissemination functions.

#### **Output Measure**

Number of services offered solely by the UCEDD that are being field tested as promising or exemplary/best practices	1
Number of services offered in partnership with others that are being field tested as promising or exemplary/best practices	1

**Initial Outcome Measures** 

UCEDD and/or partnering agency adopts findings from field test to make at least one modification to the UCEDD services being field tested

Yes

Yes

1. ESRN Partnership Development Awardee: Inclusive Educational Engagement

2. ESRN Workgroup

#### **Consumer Satisfaction Measure**

Area of Emphasis	Definition	Consumer Satisfactio	n Measure
Health-Related Activities		For those activities in wh UCEDD was the lead:	ich the
		Number of activities	1
		Total Number surveyed	0
		Total Respondents	0
		Response rate	0%
		Number Responding	
		Strongly Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Agree	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)
		Strongly Disagree	0 (0.0%)

Percentage of individuals in the community who reported satisfaction with the services and/or supports received related to healthy outcomes for IWDD. (Strongly Agreed + Agreed)	0%
Response Rate Explanation The response rate is below 30%, please provide the reason(s).	With just one activity without a direct participant to request survey participation, this information is unavailable.
Sampling Procedures (Random sampling is anticipated. Indicate if non-random sampling procedures were used. If non-random sampling measures were used, explain why.)	All participants involved in the referenced programs were asked to complete satisfaction/evaluation measures. Participants could choose to participate or not in evaluation data collection

## **OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Research** Implementation of basic and applied research, program evaluation, and analysis of public policy on issues impacting individuals with developmental disabilities. **Output Measure** Number of active research activities 10 **Initial Outcome Measures** Have you adopted research findings from research activities completed in current or prior years by modifying a fiscal year? Yes Year: 2021 Assessing School Nurse Knowledge and Skills Differential Item Functioning of the SCQ ECHO Community of Practice **ECHO** Evaluation ESRN COVID-19 Healthcare Provider Study ESRN COVID-19 Patient Study **ESRN** Meeting Evaluation ESRN Spring 2021 Awards **ESRN Stakeholder Interviews** Family Forum Survey Friendships & Dating Application Power of Rural Conference Evaluation **Project SCOPE Evaluation** Project SCOPE Immersion Training Evaluation **Project SCOPE Orientation Evaluation** Secondary Data Analysis of the ADOS and ADI-R **Telehealth Provider Satisfaction Survey** WIND Website Satisfaction Survey

Wyoming Telehealth Enrollment Form

#### Year: 2020

Project SCOPE: Supporting Children of the Opioid Epidemic

The Psychometric Properties of the SRS and SCQ Autism D Demographic Characteristics

Understanding Patient Satisfaction of Rural Telehealth: An E

Understanding Provider Satisfaction of Rural Telehealth An

UW ECHO for Families (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Assistive Technology (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Autism (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Behavioral Health (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Early Childhood (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Integrative Care (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Student Health (Program Evaluation)

WIND: UW College of Health Sciences Grand Challenges in

#### Year: 2019

Frontiers: Psychology-Special Issue Editor

Research on Learner Implementation and Confidence: Proje Denver Model

Research: Telehealth Provider Satisfaction

Research: Wyoming Telehealth Patient Satisfaction

The impact of natural environments on autism

UW ECHO for Employers (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Autism (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Early Childhood (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in SCOPE (Program Evaluation)

UW ECHO in Student Health (Program Evaluation)

#### Year: 2018

CBRN: lit review

Developmental screening psychometrics literature review Friendships and Dating: Attitudes to Sexuality Questionnaire Friendships and Dating: Direct Service Provider (DSP) Surve Friendships and Dating: Project Evaluation (participant data) Friendships and Dating: Self-Advocate Survey - Relationship

ICAP/SIS: analysis
ICAP/SIS: Literautre review
ICAP/SIS: manuscript
Literature review: F2F AI study
UW ECHO for AT: analysis of program data
UW ECHO for AT: literature review
UW ECHO in Behavioral Health (Pilot/Research)
UW ECHO Return on Investment: Analysis

## OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction CORE FUNCTION: Product Development and Information Dissemination

Distribution of knowledge-based information through UCEDD developed products and activities.

Output Measures	
Number of products developed in the current Fiscal Year	101
Number of products disseminated (regardless of whether they were created in the current or previous Fiscal Years)	81
Number of conferences and conference presentations	23
Consumer Satisfaction Measure	
How satisfied were individuals surveyed with the info (At least 50 people should be surveyed.)	ormation on the UCEDD's website?
*Number surveyed	51
Number responding (auto filled from below)	51
Response rate	100%
Number Responding	
*Highly satisfied	35
*Satisfied	16
*Satisfied somewhat	0
*Not at all satisfied	0
Total	
Percent of Total who were Highly Satisfied or Satisfied	100%

# OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 2: Measures of Improvement and Consumer Satisfaction Leveraging

#### **Outcome Measure**

Number of grants and contracts and other funds leveraged.

39

This report provides details on the funds leveraged by the UCEDD for a particular year. The OIDD core funds are subtracted from the figures provided in the project records.

FY 2022 OIDD Program Performance Report (PPR)					
WY-Wyoming Institute for Disabilities, UCEDD					
TOTAL FUNDING LEVERAGED (excluding UCEDD core funding):	\$4,734,875.00				
Source	Funds Leveraged	% of Total Leveraged			
Federal	\$1,668,676.00	35 %			
ACL	\$1,797,834.00				
HRSA	\$111,750.00				
CDC	\$94,000.00				
Other HHS	\$125,000.00				
NSF	\$56,639.00				
Other Federal	\$60,902.00				
State	\$1,375,245.00	29 %			
Local	\$55,000.00	1 %			
Other	\$1,635,954.00	35 %			
Foundation	\$248,800.00				
Service Organization	\$10,000.00				
Fee for Services	\$19,214.00				
University	\$1,224,782.00				
AUCD	\$4,000.00				
Other	\$129,158.00				

## **OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 3: Measures of Collaboration**

#### **Required Reporting Elements**

\*1. Identify the critical issues/barriers affecting individuals with developmental disabilities and their families in your State that the DD Network (The State DD Council, Protection and Advocacy Agency, and UCEDD) has jointly identified: The Wyoming Developmental Disabilities Network identified several critical issues and/or barriers that affect individuals with developmental disabilities in our state. These include potential abuse and neglect, service provider and case manager training needs, the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on individuals with developmental disabilities, and their service providers, a shortage of direct care workers in community settings, direct service provider pay parity concerns, addressing the aging needs of individuals with developmental disabilities and an aging workforce. We deemed two emerging issues to be the most urgent: assuring access to vaccinations for individuals with developmental disabilities who elected to be vaccinated and addressing case manager training needs.

## 2. Describe the strategies collaboratively implemented by the DD Network for at least one of the issues/barriers identified above:

*a. Issue/Barrier	The Wyoming Developmental Disability Network directors met to discuss strategies to reduce any barriers for individuals with developmental disabilities to receive COVID-19 vaccinations. We discussed several approaches and determined our efforts would be best spent determining the needs of individuals with developmental disabilities related to vaccine access and providing information related to COVID-19 and vaccinations.			
*b. Provide a brief description of the collaborative strategies to address issue/barrier and expected outcome(s):	Resulting from further collaboration with the Wyoming Department of Health, all three network partners provided information on COVID-19, vaccines and vaccination access. The Wyoming Governor's Council on Developmental Disabilities provided funding to support stakeholders to participate in focus groups and individuals with developmental disabilities to participate in interviews for the Wyoming Institute for Disabilities' study, COVID Vaccine Hesitancy in Wyoming among Individuals with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities. The Council also conducted a study to determine the impacts of COVID-19 on individuals with developmental disabilities, their services, communities and employment. Wyoming Protection & Advocacy System, Inc. conducted statewide media activities on the COVID-19 initiatives and provided COVID-19, vaccine and related information to their clients and contacts. The Wyoming Institute for Disabilities, Protection & Advocacy System, Inc., the Wyoming Department of Health, and the Wyoming Service Providers Association, convened a task force to address the recruitment and retention of director support professionals. The task force continues to meet to identify strategies for resolution to these concerns.			
*c. Check applicable areas of emphasis	Quality Assurance Health-Related Activities Quality of Life Other - Cultural Diversity			

*d. Describe the UCEDD's specific role and responsibilities in this collaborative effort. Include any technical assistance expertise you can provide to other States in this area	The Wyoming Institute for Disabilities initiated the study to explore vaccine hesitancy and endorsement of COVID vaccination among individuals with developmental disabilities in Wyoming. With a mixed method approach, we 1) conducted focus groups among a variety of stakeholders, including service providers, case managers, state agency administrators and staff, family members and individual with developmental disabilities to explore system-level factors that promote or inhibit vaccinations; 2) disseminated a survey to assess individual perceptions about the efficacy and safety of COVID vaccines; and 3) initiated interviews with individuals with developmental disabilities to help identify the reasons for their decisions to receive COVID vaccinations or not. These studies will provide a better understanding for network partners, state agencies and organizations about the factors that impact vaccination decisions in this population and will enable those working in the Wyoming developmental disabilities in their vaccination choices.
*e. Briefly identify problems encountered as a result of this collaboration, and technical assistance, if any, desired	There were no problems related to this collaboration.
*f. Describe any unexpected benefits of this collaborative effort	Conducting the research study through the Wyoming Institute for Disabilities' Equality State Research Network, facilitating community-based participatory research, provided the opportunity for the compilation of a repository of individuals with developmental disabilities who are interested in participating in future research.
Optional Reporting Elements	
<ul> <li>3. Describe your collaborations with non-DD Act funded programs: <ul> <li>a. List which disability populations benefited from your collaborations.</li> <li>b. Estimate the number of individuals with disabilities, other than developmental disabilities, who were affected by your collaborations with non-DD Act funded programs.</li> <li>c. Estimate the number of individuals with developmental disabilities who were affected by your collaborations with non-DD Act funded</li> </ul></li></ul>	

programs.	

# OIDD Program Performance Report, Part 4: UCEDD Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) Measures

Data for the GPRA measures that has been collected through surveys of interdisciplinary pre-service trainees who are asked 2 questions at 2, 5, and 10 years post training.

FY 2022 OIDD Program Performance Report (PPR)

WY-Wyoming Institute for Disabilities, UCEDD

Data for the GPRA measures is collected through surveys of interdisciplinary pre-service trainees who are asked 2 questions at 2, 5, and 10 years post training (2020, 2017, 2012).

Measure 1:	Survey Question	number of former trainees to whom surveys were sent	Number of former trainees responding	Reported number of individuals who are receiving services
Percent of individuals with	What is the number of	16	2 years: 0	2 years: 0
developmental disabilities who are receiving services through	individuals with developmental disabilities who are receiving		5 years: 0	5 years: 0
activities in which	direct services through		10 years: 0	10 years: 0
UCEDD-trained professionals are involved.	activities in which you are involved?			
Measure 2:	Survey Question	number of former trainees to whom surveys were sent	Number of former trainees responding	Number of "Yes" Responses
Percent of UCEDD trainees who		16	2 years: 0	2 years: 0
demonstrate leadership in the developmental disabilities field	Are you in a leadership position in the field of		5 years: 0	5 years: 0
t 2, 5, and 10 years after developmental disabilities?		10 years: 0	10 years: 0	

Number of individuals to whom surveys were sent.

## **OIDD Program Performance Report: Optional Attachments**

Attachment A. <u>WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment A - Key Highlights.pdf</u> Attachment B. <u>WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment B - CAC Summary.pdf</u> Attachment C. <u>WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment C - WIND Long Term Trainees Graduates by Major</u> <u>and Courses.pdf</u> Attachment D. <u>WIND FY 2022 Report, Attachment D - Disability Studies Graduates Graph1.pdf</u>

Attachment E. WIND FY 2022 Annual Report - Attachment E Contributions to Scholarship.pdf