

The Pediatric "Spine at Risk" Program: 9-Year Review of a Novel Safety Screening Tool at a Single Institution



A. K. Galambas³, W. F. Krengel III^{2,1}, J. M. Bauer^{2,1}

1. Orthopaedics and Sports Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, United States.

2. Seattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, WA, United States.

3. University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, United States.

ABSTRACT

troduction: Spinal cord injury (SCI) under anesthesia during non- spine procedures for pediatric tients with pre-existing spinal deformities is rare, but serious. A novel EMR-based *Spine At Risk (SAR) alert program was implemented at our institution in 2011 to identify these patients, trigger fluation, and document precautions for perioperative positioning and care. We aimed to determine the rate of precautions needed for SAR patients, whether this was higher for those autom agged by diagnosis or by physician, and the success of the program based on number of SCI's during this time. <u>Methods:</u> We performed a retrospective chart review for all patients with a SAR alert from 2011-19, categorized by whether the patient was flagged by the system (based on an atrisk diagnosis) or assigned a SAR alert by a provider. We recorded whether and which precaution recommendations were made, as well as intraoperative SCI's. <u>Results:</u> Of the 3442 patients in the study, 1953 had a SAR alert activated due to a diagnosis and 1489 had an alert added by a provider. The system was 62.5% better than providers at identifying patients who needed precautions (p<0.001). For the diagnosis-flagged patients, 39% received at least one precaution form with rates for intraoperative recommendations as follows (reported as % of all forms): spinal cord monitoring (25%), fiberoptic intubation (14%), avoid c-spine flexion/extension /rotation (87%), avoid thoracolumbar flexion/extension/rotation (16%). 24% of provider-flagged patients received at least one precaution form with these recommendation rates at 6%, 6%, 30%, and 8%, respectively. No straoperative SCI's occurred for these patients during the study. Conclusions: This study provides a long-term look at a novel safety program that was designed to prevent devastating SCI's in high-risk pediatric patients during non-spine anesthetized procedures. It was found that the system was better than providers at identifying patients who needed precautions, cervical spine precautions were the most common intervention, and no intraoperative SCI's occurred in these patients during the study. This program may serve as a model for others to apply to high-risk spines

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

We performed a retrospective chart review containing all patients with a SAR alert from 2011-2019. We then documented:

- If the patient had a diagnosis that qualified them for SAR or if the alert was added manually by a provider
- If the patient had at least one precaution form fille out during the study
- Which precaution recommendations were made on the precaution form (Figure 2)

Figure 2. Example SAR precaution form.

This form to be completed by Spine Risk Team Onli

RESULTS

spinal deformities are at higher risk of spinal cord injury (SCI) under general anesthesia during non-spine In 2011, Seattle Children's Hospital developed the "Spine at Risk" (SAR) EMR-based alert program to preven intraoperative SCI's in this population SAR allows for evaluation and documentation of recommendations

Pediatric patients with pre-existing

- for perioperative positioning and care in a clear, concise, single form that is easily accessible to the surgical team Patients are flagged for SAR either automatically by the system if they have a qualifying diagnosis (Figure 1)
- or manually by a provider Studying the outcomes of the SAR program, specifically, how often certa precautions were needed and how many SCI's occurred in this population during the study, allows for evidence of whether this program has been

Diagnosis	
Cienvical Spiral Cord Injury	
Cervical Spine Fracture	
Clhondrodystrophy	
Collapsed Vertebrae	
Diastematomyslia	
Dislocation of C1/C2 Cervical Vertebrae	
Dislocation of Unspecified Cervical Verlebrae	
Displacement of Cervical or Thoracic Disc	
Immunodeficiency with Short-Limbed Stature	
Intraspinal Atracess	
Klippel-Fell Syndrome	
Mucopolysaccharidosis	
Neopleam of Spinal Cord	
Neoplasm of Vertebral Column	
Oisteogenesis Imperfecta	
Other Congenital Anomalies of Ribs and Sternum	
Other Congenital Osteodystrophies	
Paraplagia	
Pathologic Fracture of Other Specified Site	
Polycetotic Fibrous Dysplasia of Bone	
Spinal Instabilities, Lumbosacoral, Sacral, and Sacrococcygeal Regi	ion
Spinal Stanosis of Cervical Region	
Spine Instability	
Stress Fracture of Spine	
Thoracic and/or Lumbar Spinal Cord Injury	
Thoracic and/or Lumbar Spine Fracture	
Unspecified Displacement of Disc	
Unspecified Musculoskeletal Disorders and Symptoms Referable to	Neck

Figure 1. Diagnoses that automatically qualify a patient for SAR.

	Automatically by Diagnosis	Added Manually By Provider
Number of Patients With SAR Alert	1953	1499
Number of Patients Receiving 1+ Precaution Form	759	362
Total Number of Precaution Forms Completed During Study	1313	457
Procestion Form Response Factors (reported as number of forms total with the Critical Processions	hat recommendation)	
> critical arway	25	- 4
> difficult airway	14	3
> heat of risk	0	
> malignant hyperthermia/habdo	1	
> spine at risk	862	370
Resesses Critical Precautions		
> in one year	530	99
> In two years	121	43
> in three years	146	116
> never	142	118
Primary Service for Precautions	2,015	
> neurosurgery	316	71
> othopedos	1182	403
Diagnosis Spinal Precautions	219	36
General Recommendations		
 no special precautions needed – standard positioning and care 	837	391
> spinal cord monitoring for anesthetized procedures >45 minutes	331	28
 avoid multiple procedures involving transfers under single anesthetic if possible 	314	34
Cervical Spine Precautions	74.0	100
 cervical collar on when in hospital whenever possible 	57	10
> fibercotic intubation	183	26
avoid neck flexion and forward translation.	571	71
> avoid neck extension and posterior translation	870	52
> avoid neck rotation	197	14
➤ sandbags on side of head	10	1
 elevate chest/thorax on pad to avoid flexion/anterior translation of skull 	93	0.
Thoracis and Lumbar Spine Presautions		
> svoid thoracic/tumber extension	54	12
> avoid theracle/lumber flexion	121	15
> avoid theracic/tumber rotation	35	
Post-Operative Recommendations		
 no spinal or egidural anesthetic given until 8 hours postop and documented normal neurological exam 	662	99
 anesthetic plan allows for rapid emergence to allow neurological exam in recovery room within 1 hour 	628	106
 munsing orders in PACU to provide for awakening to level of correctourness that allows good neurological easin by surgical team before re-sectation 	577	102

Figure 3, SAR resulting precautions, categorized as patients with an automatic SAR flag due to a diagnosis or manually-added alert. Some patients have received more than one SAR precaution form over the study duration. Thus, the number of precaution forms exceeds the number of patients needing precautions.

RESULTS

- 3442 patients with SAR alert activated (Figure 3)

 - 1953 diagnosis-flagged (39% needed precautions) 1489 provider-flagged (24% needed precautions)
- Diagnosis-flagging system was 62.5% better than providers at identifying patients who would need precautions following evaluation (p<0.001)

Intervention:	Diagnosis-Flagged Rates	Provider-Flagged Rates
Spinal Cord Monitoring	25%	6%
Fiberoptic Intubation	14%	6%
C-Spine Precautions	87%	30%
Thoracolumbar Precautions	16%	8%

Figure 4. Precaution recommendation rates categorized as patients with an automatic SAR flag due to a diagnosis or manually-added alert. Precaution rates reported as percentage of all precaution forms containing that recommendation. Cervical spine precautions were the most frequently documented recommendations.

No intraoperative spinal cord injuries occurred in this population during the study.

CONCLUSIONS

- The SAR diagnosis-based system is highly effective for automatically flagging patients who warrant precautions
- Cervical spine precautions are needed most frequently
 The absence of SCI's in this population during the study highlights the program's effectiveness in ensuring patient safety
- The program can serve as a model for other institutions
- Future directions:
 - Cost to the institution to maintain the SAR program Patient burden of the SAR program (extra imaging studies, clinic visits, associated costs, etc.)
 - Frequency of intraoperative neuromonitoring (spinal cord monitoring) signal changes in patients receiving that precaution

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank the Spine Team at SCH for their hard work maintaining the SAR program and for reviewing the abstract. I would also like to thank Dr. Tim Robinson for providing guidance in statistical calculations.